



EKURHULENI METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY  
GROUP ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2011

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## General Information

---

### LEGAL FORM OF ENTITY

Municipality and its municipal entities

### LEGISLATION GOVERNING THE MUNICIPALITY'S OPERATIONS

Municipal Finance Management Act (Act No. 56 of 2003)  
New mayoral committee because on the Local Government Election held on 18 May 2011.

### MAYORAL COMMITTEE

Executive Mayor  
Councillors

Clr M Gungubele  
Speaker: Clr P Kumalo  
Chief Whip: Clr R Mashego  
MMC SRAC: Clr Z Tshongweni  
MMC Finance: Clr M Makwakwa  
MMC Environmental Development: Clr T V Mabena  
MMC City Planning and Economic Development: Clr B Nikani  
MMC Human Settlements: Clr Q Duba  
MMC Community Safety: Clr M Sibozza  
MMC Public Transport, Planning and Provision: Clr T Mahlangu  
MMC Health and Social Development: Clr M Maluleke  
MMC Roads and Stormwater: Clr P Nkunjana  
MMC Water and Energy: Clr A Nxumalo

### GRADING OF LOCAL AUTHORITY

The municipality is a category A grade 6 local authority in terms of item 4 of the Government Notice R1227 of 18 December 2007 published in terms of the Remuneration of Public Office Bearers Act, 1998.

### ACCOUNTING OFFICER

K Ngema  
011 999 0481

### CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER (CFO)

Z G Myeza  
011 999 6514

### REGISTERED OFFICE

Corner Cross and Rose Street  
Germiston  
1400

### BUSINESS ADDRESS

Corner Cross and Rose Street  
Germiston  
1400

### POSTAL ADDRESS

Private Bag X69  
Germiston  
1400

### BANKERS

ABSA Bank

### AUDITORS

Auditor General  
61 Central Street, Houghton

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Index

---

The reports and statements set out below comprise the group annual financial statements presented to the municipal council:

<b>Index</b>	<b>Page</b>
Accounting Officer's Responsibilities and Approval	3
Statement of Financial Position	4
Statement of Financial Performance	5
Statement of Changes in Net Assets	6 - 7
Cash Flow Statement	8
Accounting Policies	9 - 29
Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements	30 - 102

### Abbreviations

COID	Compensation for Occupational Injuries and Diseases
WCA	Workmen's Compensation
DBSA	Development Bank of South Africa
SA GAAP	South African Statements of Generally Accepted Accounting Practice
GRAP	Generally Recognised Accounting Practice
GAMAP	Generally Accepted Municipal Accounting Practice
HDF	Housing Development Fund
IAS	International Accounting Standards
IMFO	Institute of Municipal Finance Officers
IPSAS	International Public Sector Accounting Standards
ME's	Municipal Entities
MEC	Member of the Executive Council
MFMA	Municipal Finance Management Act (No. 56 of 2003)
MIG Cities	Municipal Infrastructure Grant for Metropolitan Municipalities
GMRF	Germiston Municipal Retirement Fund
USDG	Urban Settlement Development Grant

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Officer's Responsibilities and Approval

---

The accounting officer is required by the Municipal Finance Management Act (Act 56 of 2003), to maintain adequate accounting records and is responsible for the content and integrity of the group annual financial statements and related financial information included in this report. It is the responsibility of the accounting officer to ensure that the group annual financial statements fairly present the state of affairs of the economic entity as at the end of the financial year and the results of its operations and cash flows for the period then ended. The external auditors are engaged to express an independent opinion on the group annual financial statements and was given unrestricted access to all financial records and related data.

The group annual financial statements have been prepared in accordance with South African Statements of Generally Recognised Accounting Practice (GRAP) including any interpretations, guidelines and directives issued by the Accounting Standards Board.

The group annual financial statements are based upon appropriate accounting policies consistently applied and supported by reasonable and prudent judgements and estimates.

The accounting officer acknowledges that he is ultimately responsible for the system of internal financial control established by the economic entity and place considerable importance on maintaining a strong control environment. To enable the accounting officer to meet these responsibilities, the accounting officer sets standards for internal control aimed at reducing the risk of error or deficit in a cost effective manner. The standards include the proper delegation of responsibilities within a clearly defined framework, effective accounting procedures and adequate segregation of duties to ensure an acceptable level of risk. These controls are monitored throughout the economic entity and all employees are required to maintain the highest ethical standards in ensuring the economic entity's business is conducted in a manner that in all reasonable circumstances is above reproach. The focus of risk management in the economic entity is on identifying, assessing, managing and monitoring all known forms of risk across the economic entity. While operating risk cannot be fully eliminated, the economic entity endeavours to minimise it by ensuring that appropriate infrastructure, controls, systems and ethical behaviour are applied and managed within predetermined procedures and constraints.

The accounting officer is of the opinion, based on the information and explanations given by management, that the system of internal control provides reasonable assurance that the financial records may be relied on for the preparation of the group annual financial statements. However, any system of internal financial control can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance against material misstatement or deficit.

The accounting officer has reviewed the economic entity's cash flow forecast for the year to June 30, 2012 and, in the light of this review and the current financial position, he is satisfied that the economic entity has, or has access to, adequate resources to continue in operational existence for the foreseeable future.

Although the accounting officer is primarily responsible for the financial affairs of the municipality, he is supported by the economic entity's external auditors.

The group annual financial statements set out on pages 4 to 103, which have been prepared on the going concern basis, were approved by the accounting officer on December 14, 2011:

---

**Accounting Officer**  
**K NGEMA**

---

**Chief Financial Officer**  
**Z MYEZA**

**Germiston**

**Wednesday, December 14, 2011**

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Statement of Financial Position

Figures in Rand	Note(s)	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
		2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>ASSETS</b>					
<b>Current Assets</b>					
Inventories	12	157,507,473	159,983,121	144,813,919	147,362,841
Other Investments	8	24,110,861	246,305,961	20,000,000	246,305,961
Current tax receivable		-	12,374	-	-
Other receivables from exchange transactions	13	246,409,817	106,595,185	244,692,564	107,275,313
Other receivables from non-exchange transactions	14	130,187,427	163,381,813	130,187,427	163,381,813
Consumer debtors	15	1,780,586,993	1,485,072,121	1,780,586,993	1,485,072,121
Cash and cash equivalents	16	1,431,945,964	704,129,375	1,338,863,442	664,625,409
		<b>3,770,748,535</b>	<b>2,865,479,950</b>	<b>3,659,144,345</b>	<b>2,814,023,458</b>
<b>Non-Current Assets</b>					
Investment property	4	167,399,371	148,810,410	126,279,203	106,717,857
Property, plant and equipment	5	46,986,856,672	47,209,314,670	46,239,742,100	46,455,434,238
Intangible assets	6	33,111,499	26,061,403	31,396,293	24,316,844
Investments in controlled entities	7	-	-	306	306
Other Investments	8	230,895,311	87,995,156	230,895,311	84,670,381
Deferred tax	10	561,879	264,410	-	-
Long-term receivables		2,332,670	27,834,344	2,332,670	27,834,344
		<b>47,421,157,402</b>	<b>47,500,280,393</b>	<b>46,630,645,883</b>	<b>46,698,973,970</b>
<b>Total Assets</b>		<b>51,191,905,937</b>	<b>50,365,760,343</b>	<b>50,289,790,228</b>	<b>49,512,997,428</b>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>					
<b>Current Liabilities</b>					
Current tax payable		124,779	103,867	-	-
Trade and other payables from exchange transactions	21	2,463,693,483	2,354,522,380	2,463,874,079	2,339,237,685
Deposits	22	490,844,219	420,367,012	487,038,111	417,027,259
Unspent conditional grants and receipts	19	144,674,428	211,279,008	133,880,895	200,485,475
Provisions	20	258,199,927	294,806,998	232,612,266	275,420,461
Current portion of other long-term liabilities		1,400,000	-	-	-
Current portion of long-term liabilities	18	194,107,774	434,176,874	175,354,221	419,494,477
		<b>3,553,044,610</b>	<b>3,715,256,139</b>	<b>3,492,759,572</b>	<b>3,651,665,357</b>
<b>Non-Current Liabilities</b>					
Long-term liabilities	18	3,882,194,502	2,446,907,756	3,715,713,955	2,276,068,354
Retirement benefit obligation	11	1,378,112,990	1,281,182,918	1,378,112,990	1,281,182,918
Deferred tax	10	476,157	-	-	-
Provisions	20	506,791,124	453,193,541	503,152,405	450,773,773
Other long term liabilities		4,200,000	24,243,027	-	17,243,027
		<b>5,771,774,773</b>	<b>4,205,527,242</b>	<b>5,596,979,350</b>	<b>4,025,268,072</b>
<b>Total Liabilities</b>		<b>9,324,819,383</b>	<b>7,920,783,381</b>	<b>9,089,738,922</b>	<b>7,676,933,429</b>
<b>Net Assets</b>		<b>41,867,086,554</b>	<b>42,444,976,962</b>	<b>41,200,051,306</b>	<b>41,836,063,999</b>
<b>NET ASSETS</b>					
<b>Net Assets Attributable to Net Asset Holders of Controlling Entity</b>					
Share premium	17&17	7,442,007	7,442,007	-	-
Fair value adjustment assets-available-for-sale reserve		2,580,970	1,847,979	-	-
Accumulated surplus		41,837,109,875	42,417,729,787	41,200,051,306	41,836,063,999
		<b>41,847,132,852</b>	<b>42,427,019,773</b>	<b>41,200,051,306</b>	<b>41,836,063,999</b>
Minority interest		19,953,702	17,957,189	-	-
<b>Total Net Assets</b>		<b>41,867,086,554</b>	<b>42,444,976,962</b>	<b>41,200,051,306</b>	<b>41,836,063,999</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Statement of Financial Performance

Figures in Rand	Note(s)	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
		2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>Revenue</b>					
Property rates	25	2,415,577,170	2,129,917,993	2,416,331,522	2,131,999,385
Property rates - penalties and collection charges		62,530,966	71,207,519	62,530,966	71,207,519
Service charges	26	10,525,374,206	8,074,858,086	10,556,594,851	8,105,124,753
Rental of facilities and equipment		68,879,298	67,101,869	49,063,908	48,719,059
Interest earned - outstanding debtors		213,809,407	271,115,244	212,198,108	270,958,631
Fines		135,348,768	97,679,269	135,348,768	97,679,269
Licences and permits		30,049,466	27,662,851	30,049,466	27,662,851
Income from agency services		186,876,573	156,773,259	186,876,573	156,773,259
Government grants & subsidies	27	3,407,484,103	2,885,992,560	3,397,688,308	2,876,826,076
Recoveries		3,098,589	2,105,554	-	-
Rendering of services		7,397,104	5,851,972	-	-
Other income		100,930,949	115,234,552	69,723,590	92,012,250
Interest revenue	32	124,804,681	95,077,164	119,552,608	90,343,076
Dividends received	32	65,577	27,321	-	-
<b>Total Revenue</b>		<b>17,282,226,857</b>	<b>14,000,605,213</b>	<b>17,235,958,668</b>	<b>13,969,306,128</b>
<b>Expenditure</b>					
Employee related costs	29	(4,498,271,587)	(4,094,684,031)	(4,364,281,072)	(3,971,687,008)
Remuneration of councillors	30	(66,908,485)	(62,513,464)	(66,908,485)	(62,513,464)
Administration		(1,197,216)	(1,153,814)	-	-
Debt impairment	31	(1,445,288,730)	(1,425,172,320)	(1,445,304,490)	(1,417,262,543)
Depreciation and amortisation	33	(2,146,209,760)	(2,112,295,513)	(2,114,462,827)	(2,081,909,359)
Repairs and maintenance		(1,167,585,604)	(1,010,671,741)	(1,155,269,966)	(1,004,887,004)
Finance costs	35	(400,036,901)	(326,998,725)	(382,613,438)	(307,457,612)
Bulk purchases	41	(6,182,645,146)	(4,951,102,729)	(6,435,216,842)	(5,150,063,183)
Contracted services	39	(608,143,988)	(557,389,470)	(614,833,794)	(563,519,769)
Grants and subsidies paid	40	(123,792,034)	(57,093,069)	(123,792,034)	(57,093,069)
Impairment of assets	34	(23,001,843)	(357,859)	101,698	(330,955)
Collection costs		(113,016,821)	(74,256,762)	(112,614,930)	(73,529,768)
General Expenses	28	(1,064,185,308)	(873,332,178)	(1,036,873,776)	(842,873,554)
<b>Total Expenditure</b>		<b>(17,840,283,423)</b>	<b>(15,547,021,675)</b>	<b>(17,852,069,956)</b>	<b>(15,533,127,288)</b>
Gain on disposal of assets		4,628,429	34,708,229	4,871,992	34,665,026
Loss on disposal of assets		(25,011,367)	(1,126,471)	(24,773,397)	(926,212)
Taxation	36	(206,566)	(944,210)	-	-
<b>Deficit for the year</b>		<b>(578,646,070)</b>	<b>(1,513,778,914)</b>	<b>(636,012,693)</b>	<b>(1,530,082,346)</b>
<b>Attributable to:</b>					
Net Asset holders of the controlling entity		(580,619,912)	(1,514,250,470)	(636,012,693)	(1,530,082,346)
Minority interest		1,973,842	471,556	-	-

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Statement of Changes in Net Assets

	Share premium	Fair value adjustment assets-available-for-sale reserve	Accumulated surplus	Minority interest	Total net assets
Figures in Rand					
<b>Economic entity</b>					
Opening balance as previously reported	7,442,007	1,338,694	43,888,501,850	17,469,882	43,914,752,433
Adjustments					
Change in accounting policy	-	-	83,741,340	-	83,741,340
Prior year adjustments (Note 49)	-	-	(40,258,406)	-	(40,258,406)
<b>Balance at 01 July 2009 as restated</b>	<b>7,442,007</b>	<b>1,338,694</b>	<b>43,931,984,784</b>	<b>17,469,882</b>	<b>43,958,235,367</b>
Other fair value gains (losses)	-	509,285	-	15,751	525,036
Other adjustments	-	-	(4,527)	-	(4,527)
Net income (losses) recognised directly in net assets	-	509,285	(4,527)	15,751	520,509
Surplus for the year	-	-	(1,514,250,470)	471,556	(1,513,778,914)
Total recognised income and expenses for the year	-	509,285	(1,514,254,997)	487,307	(1,513,258,405)
Total changes	-	509,285	(1,514,254,997)	487,307	(1,513,258,405)
<b>Balance at July 01, 2010</b>	<b>7,442,007</b>	<b>1,847,979</b>	<b>42,417,729,787</b>	<b>17,957,189</b>	<b>42,444,976,962</b>
Changes in net assets					
Other fair value gains (losses)	-	732,991	-	22,671	755,662
Net income (losses) recognised directly in net assets	-	732,991	-	22,671	755,662
Surplus for the year	-	-	(580,619,912)	1,973,842	(578,646,070)
Total recognised income and expenses for the year	-	732,991	(580,619,912)	1,996,513	(577,890,408)
Total changes	-	732,991	(580,619,912)	1,996,513	(577,890,408)
<b>Balance at 30 June 2011</b>	<b>7,442,007</b>	<b>2,580,970</b>	<b>41,837,109,875</b>	<b>19,953,702</b>	<b>41,867,086,554</b>
Note(s)	17				
<b>Controlling entity</b>					
Opening balance as previously reported	-	-	43,322,663,411	-	43,322,663,411
Adjustments					
Change in accounting policy	-	-	83,741,340	-	83,741,340
Prior period error	-	-	(40,258,406)	-	(40,258,406)
<b>Balance at July 01, 2009 as restated</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>43,366,146,345</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>43,366,146,345</b>
Changes in net assets					
Surplus/(Deficit) for the year	-	-	(1,530,082,346)	-	(1,530,082,346)
Total changes	-	-	(1,530,082,346)	-	(1,530,082,346)

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Statement of Changes in Net Assets

				Fair value adjustment assets- available-for-sale reserve	
Figures in Rand					
<b>Balance at July 01, 2010</b>	-	-	<b>41,836,063,999</b>	-	<b>41,836,063,999</b>
Changes in net assets					
Surplus/(Deficit) for the year	-	-	(636,012,693)	-	(636,012,693)
Total changes	-	-	(636,012,693)	-	(636,012,693)
<b>Balance at 30 June 2011</b>	-	-	<b>41,200,051,306</b>	-	<b>41,200,051,306</b>

Note(s)

17



# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Cash Flow Statement

Figures in Rand	Note(s)	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
		2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>					
<b>Receipts</b>					
Property rates		2,078,226,423	1,826,877,056	2,072,674,774	1,826,877,056
Sale of goods and services		9,082,158,980	6,916,197,160	9,055,209,372	6,607,935,122
Grants		3,336,222,562	2,918,078,371	3,331,083,728	2,918,078,371
Interest income		338,614,088	366,192,409	331,750,716	361,301,707
Dividends received		65,577	27,321	-	-
Other receipts		935,024,214	809,099,617	533,593,269	492,884,199
		15,770,311,844	12,836,471,934	15,324,311,859	12,207,076,455
<b>Payments</b>					
Employee costs		(4,653,909,172)	(3,870,765,618)	(4,364,281,071)	(3,864,225,217)
Suppliers		(8,122,407,630)	(6,581,334,412)	(8,078,135,283)	(6,320,217,958)
Finance costs		(400,036,901)	(326,998,725)	(382,613,438)	(307,457,612)
Other payments		(1,250,165,526)	(1,000,906,321)	(1,257,400,382)	(984,935,893)
		(14,426,519,229)	(11,780,005,076)	(14,082,430,174)	(11,476,836,680)
<b>Net cash flows from operating activities</b>	42	<b>1,343,792,615</b>	<b>1,056,466,858</b>	<b>1,241,881,685</b>	<b>730,239,775</b>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities</b>					
Purchase of property, plant and equipment (PPE)	5	(1,961,395,118)	(1,975,067,555)	(1,913,683,900)	(1,927,503,432)
Proceeds from the sale of property, plant and equipment	5	4,887,798	38,603,926	4,499,472	38,600,927
Proceeds from sale of investment property	4	54,000	-	54,000	-
Purchase of intangible assets	6	(12,610,749)	(11,294,402)	(12,369,099)	(9,788,613)
Proceeds from sale of financial assets		80,081,031	27,651,485	80,081,031	14,676,709
Movement in current portion of long term receivables		-	(75,313,338)	-	149,766,772
Net movement in long term receivables - current		-	-	-	37,905,936
Net movement in long term receivables - non-current		25,501,674	(99,236,441)	25,501,674	(99,236,441)
<b>Net cash flows from investing activities</b>		<b>(1,863,481,364)</b>	<b>(2,094,656,325)</b>	<b>(1,815,916,822)</b>	<b>(1,795,578,142)</b>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities</b>					
Movement in long term liabilities		1,439,528,972	607,869,695	1,439,645,601	618,648,261
Movement in deposits		70,759,649	56,612,383	70,010,852	43,873,066
Movement in other long term liabilities		(262,783,283)	(529,253)	(261,383,283)	2,517,001
<b>Net cash flows from financing activities</b>		<b>1,247,505,338</b>	<b>663,952,825</b>	<b>1,248,273,170</b>	<b>665,038,328</b>
<b>Net increase/ (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</b>		<b>727,816,589</b>	<b>(374,236,642)</b>	<b>674,238,033</b>	<b>(400,300,039)</b>
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year		704,129,375	1,078,366,017	664,625,409	1,064,925,449
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year</b>	16	<b>1,431,945,964</b>	<b>704,129,375</b>	<b>1,338,863,442</b>	<b>664,625,410</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1. Presentation of Group Annual Financial Statements

The annual financial statements are prepared on an accrual basis of accounting and are in accordance with historical cost convention.

These economic entity annual financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Generally Recognised Accounting Practice (GRAP), issued by the Accounting Standards Board in accordance with Section 122(3) of the Municipal Finance Management Act, (Act No 56 of 2003).

The principal accounting policies adopted in the preparation of these annual financial statements are set out below.

Assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses have not been offset except when offsetting is required or permitted by a Standard of GRAP.

The accounting policies applied are consistent with those used to present the previous year's financial statements, unless explicitly stated. The details of any changes in accounting policies are explained in the relevant policy.

#### 1.1 Significant judgements and sources of estimation uncertainty

In preparing the group annual financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts represented in the group annual financial statements and related disclosures. Use of available information and the application of judgement is inherent in the formation of estimates. Actual results in the future could differ from these estimates which may be material to the group annual financial statements. When any significant judgements and sources of estimation uncertainty are applicable, they have been disclosed in the relevant notes and policies.

#### 1.2 Property, plant and equipment (PPE)

Property, plant and equipment (PPE) are tangible non-current assets (including infrastructure assets) that are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, rental to others, or for administrative purposes, and are expected to be used during more than one period.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1.2 Property, plant and equipment (PPE) (continued)

Property, plant and equipment, is stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment. Heritage assets, which are culturally significant resources and which are shown at cost, are not depreciated owing to the uncertainty regarding their estimated useful lives. Similarly, land is not depreciated as it is deemed to have an infinite life.

The cost of an item of property, plant and equipment is the purchase price and other costs attributable to bring the asset to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management. Trade discounts and rebates are deducted in arriving at the cost. Cost also includes initial estimate of the costs of dismantling and removing the asset and restoring the site on which it is located.

Where an asset is acquired at no cost, or for a nominal cost, its cost is its fair value as at the date of acquisition.

The cost of an item of property, plant and equipment acquired in exchange for a non-monetary asset or monetary assets, or a combination of monetary and non-monetary assets was measured at its fair value. If the acquired item could not be measured at its fair value, its cost was measured at the carrying amount of the asset given up.

Subsequent expenditure is capitalised when the recognition and measurement criteria of an asset are met.

The economic entity maintains and acquires assets to provide a social service to the community. The useful lives and economic lives of these assets are equal and consequently no residual values are determined.

Depreciation is calculated on cost, using the straight-line method, over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

<b>Item</b>	<b>Average useful life</b>
Land	Indefinite
Motor vehicles	
• Specialised vehicles	5 - 15 years
• Other vehicles	4 - 25 years
Infrastructure	
• Roads and stormwater	1 – 120 years
• Pedestrian malls	30 years
• Electricity	5 – 60 years
• Water	3 – 200 years
• Sewer	3 – 120 years
• Housing	50 years
• Solid Waste	5 – 60 years
• Servitudes	Indefinite
Community	
• Buildings	30 years
• Recreational facilities	20 – 30 years
• Security	11 – years
• Landfill sites	15 years
Other property, plant and equipment	
• Furniture and fittings	3 - 33 years
• Water craft	15 years
• Office equipment	3 – 33 years
• Specialised plant and equipment	10 – 26 years
• Other items of plant and equipment	2 – 26 years (Changed: 2 - 25 years in 2010)

The asset management policy contains the details of the components and their specific useful life estimates.

Items of Property, plant and equipment are derecognised when the asset is disposed of or when there are no further economic benefits or service potential expected from the use of the asset. The gain or loss arising on the disposal or retirement of an item of property, plant and equipment is determined as the difference between the sales proceeds and the carrying value and is recognised in the Statement of Financial Performance.

The residual value, the useful life and the depreciation method of PPE are reviewed at least at every reporting date.

At each reporting date all items of PPE are reviewed for any indication that it may be impaired. An impairment exists when an assets carrying amount is greater than its recoverable amount. The recoverable amount of an asset or cash generating unit is the higher of its fair value less costs to sell and its value in use. If there is an indication of impairment, the assets' recoverable amount is calculated. An impairment loss is recognised in the Statement of Financial Performance and the depreciation charge relating to the asset is adjusted for future periods.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1.2 Property, plant and equipment (PPE) (continued)

The economic entity depreciates separately each part of an item of property, plant and equipment that has a cost that is significant in relation to the total cost of the item. Costs of replacing parts are capitalised and the existing parts being replaced are derecognised.

### 1.3 Investments in controlled entities

#### Economic entity group annual financial statements

The group annual financial statements include those of the controlling entity and its controlled entities. The revenue and expenses of the controlled entities are included from the effective date of acquisition.

On acquisition the economic entity recognises the controlled entity's identifiable assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities at fair value, except for assets classified as held-for-sale, which are recognised at fair value less costs to sell.

#### Controlling entity group annual financial statements

In the municipality's separate annual financial statements, investments in controlled entities are carried at cost less any accumulated impairment.

Municipal controlled entities are those entities which the Municipality owns or over whose financial and operating policies it has the power to exercise beneficial control.

In the municipality's Separate Financial Statements, investments in controlled entities are accounted for at cost less any accumulated impairment.

### 1.4 Financial instruments

#### Trade and other receivables

Trade receivables are measured at initial recognition at fair value, and are subsequently measured at amortised cost using the effective interest rate method. Appropriate allowances for estimated irrecoverable amounts are recognised in surplus or deficit when there is objective evidence that the asset is impaired. Significant financial difficulties of the debtor, probability that the debtor will enter bankruptcy or financial reorganisation, and default or delinquency in payments (more than 30 days overdue) are considered indicators that the trade receivable is impaired. The allowance recognised is measured as the difference between the asset's carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows discounted at the effective interest rate computed at initial recognition.

The carrying amount of the asset is reduced through the use of an allowance account, and the amount of the deficit is recognised in surplus or deficit within operating expenses. When a trade receivable is uncollectible, it is written off against the allowance account for trade receivables. Subsequent recoveries of amounts previously written off are credited against operating expenses in surplus or deficit.

Trade and other receivables are classified as loans and receivables.

#### Trade and other payables

Trade payables are initially measured at fair value, and are subsequently measured at amortised cost, using the effective interest rate method.

#### Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents comprise cash on hand and demand deposits, and other short-term highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to a known amount of cash and are subject to an insignificant risk of changes in value. These are initially and subsequently recorded at fair value.

#### Held to maturity

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1.4 Financial instruments (continued)

These financial assets are initially measured at fair value plus direct transaction costs.

At subsequent reporting dates these are measured at amortised cost using the effective interest rate method, less any impairment loss recognised to reflect irrecoverable amounts. An impairment loss is recognised in surplus or deficit when there is objective evidence that the asset is impaired, and is measured as the difference between the investment's carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows discounted at the effective interest rate computed at initial recognition. Impairment losses are reversed in subsequent periods when an increase in the investment's recoverable amount can be related objectively to an event occurring after the impairment was recognised, subject to the restriction that the carrying amount of the investment at the date the impairment is reversed shall not exceed what the amortised cost would have been had the impairment not been recognised.

Financial assets that the municipality has the positive intention and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held to maturity.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1.4 Financial instruments (continued)

#### Classification

The economic entity classifies financial assets and financial liabilities into the following categories:

- Held-to-maturity investment financial assets
- Loans and receivables financial assets
- Available-for-sale financial assets
- Financial liabilities measured at amortised cost

Classification depends on the purpose for which the financial instruments were obtained / incurred and takes place at initial recognition. Classification is re-assessed on an annual basis.

#### Recognition

Financial assets and financial liabilities are initially recognised on the statement of financial position when the economic entity becomes party to the contractual provisions of the instrument.

#### Measurement

When a financial asset or financial liability is recognised initially, the economic entity measure it at its fair value plus, in the case of a financial asset or financial liability not at fair value through profit or loss, transaction costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition or issue of the financial asset or financial liability.

The best evidence of fair value is quoted prices in an active market. If the market for a financial instrument is not active, the economic entity establishes fair value by using a valuation technique. The objective of using a valuation technique is to establish what the transaction price would have been on the measurement date in an arm's length exchange motivated by normal business considerations. Valuation techniques include using recent arm's length market transactions between knowledgeable, willing parties, if available, reference to the current fair value of another instrument that is substantially the same, discounted cash flow analysis and option pricing models. If there is a valuation technique commonly used by market participants to price the instrument and that technique has been demonstrated to provide reliable estimates of prices obtained in actual market transactions, the economic entity uses that technique. The chosen valuation technique makes maximum use of market inputs and relies as little as possible on entity specific inputs. It incorporates all factors that market participants would consider in setting a price and is consistent with accepted economic methodologies for pricing financial instruments. Periodically, the economic entity calibrates the valuation technique and tests it for validity using prices from any observable current market transactions in the same instrument (i.e. without modification or repackaging) or based on any available observable market data.

The fair value of a financial liability with a demand feature (e.g. a demand deposit) is not less than the amount payable on demand, discounted from the first date that the amount could be required to be paid.

The economic entity assesses at the end of each reporting period whether there is any objective evidence that a financial asset or group of financial assets is impaired. If any such evidence exists, the economic entity applies the following to determine the amount of any impairment loss:

Financial assets carried at amortised cost: If there is objective evidence that an impairment loss on loans and receivables or held-to-maturity investments carried at amortised cost has been incurred, the amount of the loss is measured as the difference between the asset's carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows (excluding future credit losses that have not been incurred) discounted at the financial asset's original effective interest rate (i.e. the effective interest rate computed at initial recognition). The amount of the loss is recognised in surplus or deficit.

Financial assets carried at cost: If there is objective evidence that an impairment loss has been incurred on an unquoted equity instrument that is not carried at fair value because its fair value cannot be reliably measured, or on a derivative asset that is linked to and must be settled by delivery of such an unquoted equity instrument, the amount of the impairment loss is measured as the difference between the carrying amount of the financial asset and the present value of estimated future cash flows discounted at the current market rate of return for a similar financial asset. Such impairment losses are not reversed.

Available-for-sale financial assets: When a decline in the fair value of an available-for-sale financial asset has been recognised in accumulated surplus or deficit and there is objective evidence that the asset is impaired, the cumulative loss that had been recognised in accumulated surplus or deficit is reclassified from accumulated surplus or deficit to surplus or deficit as a reclassification adjustment even though the financial asset has not been derecognised.

#### Held-to-maturity investments

Held-to-maturity investments are non-derivative financial assets with fixed or determinable payments and fixed maturity that the economic entity has the positive intention and ability to hold to maturity.

Held-to-maturity investments are subsequently measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method.

The effective interest method is a method of calculating the amortised cost of a financial asset or a financial liability (or group of financial assets or financial liabilities) and of allocating the interest income or interest expense over the relevant period. The effective interest rate

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1.4 Financial instruments (continued)

is the rate that exactly discounts estimated future cash payments or receipts through the expected life of the financial instrument or, when appropriate, a shorter period to the net carrying amount of the financial asset or financial liability. When calculating the effective interest rate, the economic entity estimates cash flows considering all contractual terms of the financial instrument but does not consider future credit losses. The calculation includes all fees and points paid or received between parties to the contract that are an integral part of the effective interest rate, transaction costs, and all other premiums or discounts. In those rare cases when it is not possible to estimate reliably the cash flows or the expected life of a financial instrument (or group of financial instruments) the economic entity uses the contractual cash flows over the full contractual term of the financial instrument (or group of financial instruments).

#### Loans and receivables

Loans and receivables are non-derivative financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market.

Loans and receivables are subsequently measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method.

The effective interest method is a method of calculating the amortised cost of a financial asset or a financial liability (or group of financial assets or financial liabilities) and of allocating the interest income or interest expense over the relevant period. The effective interest rate is the rate that exactly discounts estimated future cash payments or receipts through the expected life of the financial instrument or, when appropriate, a shorter period to the net carrying amount of the financial asset or financial liability. When calculating the effective interest rate, the economic entity estimates cash flows considering all contractual terms of the financial instrument but does not consider future credit losses. The calculation includes all fees and points paid or received between parties to the contract that are an integral part of the effective interest rate, transaction costs, and all other premiums or discounts. In those rare cases when it is not possible to estimate reliably the cash flows or the expected life of a financial instrument (or group of financial instruments) the economic entity uses the contractual cash flows over the full contractual term of the financial instrument (or group of financial instruments).

#### Available-for-sale financial assets

Available-for-sale financial assets are those non-derivative financial assets that are designated as available for sale or are not classified as loans and receivables, held-to-maturity investments or financial assets at fair value through profit or loss.

Available-for-sale financial assets are subsequently measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognised in accumulated surplus.

Impairment losses, interest income and dividend income are reported in surplus or deficit.

#### Other financial liabilities

Other financial liabilities are subsequently measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method.

The effective interest method is a method of calculating the amortised cost of a financial asset or a financial liability (or group of financial assets or financial liabilities) and of allocating the interest income or interest expense over the relevant period. The effective interest rate is the rate that exactly discounts estimated future cash payments or receipts through the expected life of the financial instrument or, when appropriate, a shorter period to the net carrying amount of the financial asset or financial liability. When calculating the effective interest rate, the economic entity estimates cash flows considering all contractual terms of the financial instrument but does not consider future credit losses. The calculation includes all fees and points paid or received between parties to the contract that are an integral part of the effective interest rate, transaction costs, and all other premiums or discounts. In those rare cases when it is not possible to estimate reliably the cash flows or the expected life of a financial instrument (or group of financial instruments) the economic entity uses the contractual cash flows over the full contractual term of the financial instrument (or group of financial instruments).

#### De-recognition

##### *Financial assets*

A financial asset is de-recognized where the contractual rights to receive cash flow from the asset have expired, or the economic entity has transferred the asset and the transfer qualifies for de-recognition. A transfer qualifying for de-recognition occurs when the economic entity transfers the contractual rights to receive the cash flows of the financial asset. Where the economic entity has transferred its rights to the cash flows from an asset and has neither transferred nor retained substantially all the risks and rewards of the asset nor transferred control of the asset, the asset is recognized to the extent of the economic entity's continuing involvement in the asset.

##### *Financial liabilities*

A financial liability is derecognised when the obligation specified in the contract is discharged, cancelled or expired.

#### Categorisation

The economic entity has various types of financial instruments and these can be broadly categorised as either financial assets or financial liabilities.

A financial asset is any asset that is:

- cash;
- a contractual right to receive cash or to receive another financial asset from another entity;
- a contractual right to exchange financial instruments on potentially favourable terms;

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1.4 Financial instruments (continued)

- an equity instrument of another entity;
- a contract that may or will be settled in the entity's own equity instruments (subject to certain conditions).

The economic entity has the following types of financial assets as reflected on the face of the Statement of Financial Position or in the notes thereto:

- Investments
- Long term receivables
- Consumer debtors
- Other receivables
- Cash and cash equivalents
- Unlisted shares

In accordance with IAS 39.09 the financial assets of the economic entity are classified as follows into one of the four categories allowed by this standard:

Type of financial asset	Classification in terms of IAS 39.09
Investments	Held to maturity
Long term receivables	Loans and receivables
Consumer debtors	Loans and receivables
Other receivables	Loans and receivables
Bank balances and cash	Available for sale
Unlisted shares	Available for sale

A financial liability is any liability that is:

- a contractual obligation to deliver cash or to deliver another financial asset;
- a contractual obligation to exchange financial instruments on potentially unfavourable terms;

The economic entity has the following types of financial liabilities as reflected on the face of the Statement of Financial Position or in the notes thereto:

- Long term liabilities
- Trade and other payables
- Consumer deposits
- Unspent conditional grants and receipts

There are two main categories of financial liabilities, classified based on how they are measured.

Any other financial liabilities are classified as financial liabilities that are not measured at fair value through profit or loss.

In accordance with IAS 39.09 the financial liabilities of the economic entity are classified only as financial liabilities that are not measured at fair value through profit or loss because none of the following instruments are held for trading.

Type of financial liability	Classification in terms of IAS 39.09
Long term liabilities	Financial liability that is not measured at fair value through profit or loss
Consumer deposits	Financial liability that is not measured at fair value through profit or loss
Trade and other payables	Financial liability that is not measured at fair value through profit or loss
Unspent conditional grants and receipts	Financial liability that is not measured at fair value through profit or loss

### Impairment of financial assets

Consumer debtors, long term receivables and other debtors are stated at cost less a provision for bad debts. The provision is made on an individual basis or group, based on expected cash flows.

At each reporting date an assessment is made of whether there is any objective evidence of impairment of financial assets. If there is evidence then the recoverable amount is estimated and an impairment loss is recognised in accordance with IAS 39 as an expense in the Statement of Financial Performance.

Separate classes of loans and receivables were assessed for impairment using the following methodologies:

#### Sundry Deposits:

Sundry deposits are assessed for impairment to ensure that no objective evidence exists that these deposits are irrecoverable.

#### Sundry Debtors:

Sundry Debtors are those Suspense Control Accounts classified as financial instruments with debit balances as at year-end. Sundry Debtors are assessed individually for impairment to ensure that no objective evidence exists that these debtors are irrecoverable.

#### Capital Projects:

Capital Projects are conditional grant accounts with debit balances as at year-end, carrying a debit balance as at year-end. Capital Projects are assessed individually for impairment to ensure that no objective evidence exists that these debtors are irrecoverable.

#### Insurance Claims:



# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1.4 Financial instruments (continued)

Insurance Claims are respect of expenditure incurred for assets replaced by the economic entity and the settlement from the insurers is awaited. These happened before GRAP was implemented and are assessed individually for impairment to ensure that no objective evidence exists that these debtors are irrecoverable.

#### **Government Subsidy Claims:**

Government subsidy claims are individually assessed for impairment to ensure that no objective evidence exists that these debtors are irrecoverable.

#### **Investments**

Other investments includes unlisted shares in Rand Airport (available-for-sale) as well as held to maturity investments

#### **Other receivables**

Other receivables consist of receivables from exchange and non-exchange transactions classified as loans and receivables.

#### **Long-term receivables**

Consist of housing debtors and is classified as loans and receivables.

### 1.5 Tax

#### **Current tax assets and liabilities**

Current tax for current and prior periods is, to the extent unpaid, recognised as a liability. If the amount already paid in respect of current and prior periods exceeds the amount due for those periods, the excess is recognised as an asset.

Current tax liabilities (assets) for the current and prior periods are measured at the amount expected to be paid to (recovered from) the tax authorities, using the tax rates (and tax laws) that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the end of the reporting period.

#### **Deferred tax assets and liabilities**

A deferred tax liability is recognised for all taxable temporary differences, except to the extent that the deferred tax liability arises from the initial recognition of an asset or liability in a transaction which at the time of the transaction, affects neither accounting surplus nor taxable profit (tax loss).

A deferred tax asset is recognised for all deductible temporary differences to the extent that it is probable that taxable surplus will be available against which the deductible temporary difference can be utilised. A deferred tax asset is not recognised when it arises from the initial recognition of an asset or liability in a transaction at the time of the transaction, affects neither accounting surplus nor taxable profit (tax loss).

A deferred tax asset is recognised for the carry forward of unused tax losses and unused STC credits to the extent that it is probable that future taxable surplus will be available against which the unused tax losses and unused STC credits can be utilised.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured at the tax rates that are expected to apply to the period when the asset is realised or the liability is settled, based on tax rates (and tax laws) that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the end of the reporting period.

#### **Tax expenses**

Current tax and deferred taxes are charged or credited to net assets if the tax relates to items that are credited or charged, in the same or a different period, to net assets.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1.6 Leases

Where substantially all the risks and rewards associated with ownership of an asset are transferred to the economic entity, the lease is classified as a finance lease.

Operating leases are those leases that do not transfer substantially all the risks and rewards associated with ownership of an asset to the economic entity.

#### **Finance leases - As lessor**

The economic entity recognise lease payments receivable as assets in the statement of financial position. The economic entity present such assets as a receivable at an amount equal to the net investment in the lease.

The recognition of finance revenue is based on a pattern reflecting a constant periodic rate of return on the economic entity's net investment in the finance lease. Lease payments relating to the period, excluding costs for services, are applied against the gross investment in the lease to reduce both the principal and the unearned finance revenue.

#### **Finance leases – As lessee**

At the commencement of the lease term, the economic entity recognise finance leases as assets and liabilities in the statement of financial position at an amount equal to the fair value of the leased asset or, if lower, the present value of the minimum lease payments, each determined at the inception of the lease. The discount rate used in calculating the present value of the minimum lease payments is the interest rate implicit in the lease, if this is practicable to determine; if not, the economic entity's incremental borrowing rate is used. Any initial direct costs of the economic entity are added to the amount recognised as an asset.

Minimum lease payments are apportioned between the finance charge and the reduction of the outstanding liability. The finance charge is allocated to each period during the lease term so as to produce a constant periodic rate of interest on the remaining balance of the liability. Contingent rents are charged as expenses in the periods in which they are incurred.

A finance lease gives rise to a depreciation expense for depreciable assets as well as finance expense for each accounting period. The depreciation policy for depreciable leased assets is consistent with that for depreciable assets that are owned, and the depreciation recognised is calculated in accordance with the relevant accounting policy that the specific depreciable leased asset relates to. If there is no reasonable certainty that the economic entity will obtain ownership by the end of the lease term, the asset is fully depreciated over the shorter of the lease term and its useful life.

#### **Operating leases - As lessor**

The economic entity present assets subject to operating leases in the statement of financial position according to the nature of the asset.

Lease revenue from operating leases is recognised as revenue on a straight-line basis over the lease term, unless another systematic basis is more representative of the time pattern in which benefit derived from the leased asset is diminished.

Costs, including depreciation, incurred in earning the lease revenue are recognised as an expense. Lease revenue is recognised on a straight-line basis over the lease term even if the receipts are not on such a basis, unless another systematic basis is more representative of the time pattern in which use benefit derived from the leased asset is diminished. Initial direct costs incurred by the economic entity in negotiating and arranging an operating lease is added to the carrying amount of the leased asset and recognised as an expense over the lease term on the same basis as the lease revenue.

The depreciation policy for depreciable leased assets is consistent with the economic entity's normal depreciation policy for similar assets.

#### **Operating leases – As lessee**

Lease payments under an operating lease are recognised as an expense in the statement of financial performance on a straight-line basis over the lease term unless another systematic basis is more representative of the time pattern of the benefit to the economic entity.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1.7 Inventories

Inventories comprise current assets held for sale, consumption or distribution during the ordinary course of business. Inventories are initially recognised at cost. Cost generally refers to the purchase price, plus taxes, transport costs and any other costs in bringing the inventories to their current location and condition. Where inventory is manufactured, constructed or produced, the cost includes the cost of labour, materials and overheads used during the manufacturing process.

Where inventory is acquired by the economic entity for no or nominal consideration (i.e. a non-exchange transaction), the cost is deemed to be equal to the fair value of the item on the date acquired.

Inventories, consisting of consumable stores, raw materials, work-in-progress and finished goods, are valued at the lower of cost and net realisable value unless they are to be distributed at no or nominal charge, in which case they are measured at the lower of cost and current replacement cost. Redundant and slow-moving inventories are identified and written down in this way. Inventories identified for write down/write off, but for which a council resolution, to authorise the write down/write off, has not yet been obtained, is provided for as a provision for obsolete stock. Differences arising on the valuation of inventory are recognised in the Statement of Financial Performance in the year in which they arose. The amount of any reversal of any write-down of inventories arising from an increase in net realisable value or current replacement cost is recognised as a reduction in the amount of inventories recognised as an expense in the period in which the reversal occurs.

The carrying amount of inventories is recognised as an expense in the period that the inventory was sold, distributed, written off or consumed, unless that cost qualifies for capitalisation to the cost of another asset. The first-in-first-out method is the basis of allocating costs to inventories.

Unsold properties are valued at cost. Direct costs are accumulated for each separately identifiable development.

### 1.8 Share premium

An equity instrument is any contract that evidences a residual interest in the assets of an economic entity after deducting all of its liabilities.

### 1.9 Employee benefits

#### Benefits

#### Retirement Funds

The economic entity contributes to defined contribution and defined benefit funds. These funds are multi employer funds.

#### Defined contribution plans

Payments to defined contribution retirement benefit plans are charged as an expense as they fall due.

Payments made to industry-managed (or state plans) retirement benefit schemes are dealt with as defined contribution plans where the entity's obligation under the schemes is equivalent to those arising in a defined contribution retirement benefit plan.

Where an employee has rendered services to the economic entity during the year, the economic entity recognises the contribution payable to a defined contribution plan in exchange for that service immediately as an expense.

The economic entity provides retirement benefits for its employees and councillors. The contributions to fund obligations for the payment of retirement benefits are expensed in the year in which they become payable.

#### Defined benefit plans

For defined benefit plans the cost of providing the benefits is determined using the projected credit method.

Actuarial valuations are conducted on an annual basis by independent actuaries separately for each plan.

Past service costs are recognised immediately to the extent that the benefits are already vested, and are otherwise amortised on a straight line basis over the average period until the amended benefits become vested.

To the extent that, at the beginning of the financial period, any cumulative unrecognised actuarial gain or loss exceeds ten percent of the greater of the present value of the projected benefit obligation and the fair value of the plan assets (the corridor), that portion is recognised in surplus or deficit over the expected average remaining service lives of participating employees. Actuarial gains or losses within the corridor are not recognised.

Gains or losses on the curtailment or settlement of a defined benefit plan is recognised when the entity is demonstrably committed to curtailment or settlement.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1.9 Employee benefits (continued)

When it is virtually certain that another party will reimburse some or all of the expenditure required to settle a defined benefit obligation, the right to reimbursement is recognised as a separate asset. The asset is measured at fair value. In all other respects, the asset is treated in the same way as plan assets. In surplus or deficit, the expense relating to a defined benefit plan is presented as the net of the amount recognised for a reimbursement.

The amount recognised in the statement of financial position represents the present value of the defined benefit obligation as adjusted for unrecognised actuarial gains and losses and unrecognised past service costs, and reduces by the fair value of plan assets.

Any asset is limited to unrecognised actuarial losses and past service costs, plus the present value of available refunds and reduction in future contributions to the plan.

The economic entity does not apply "defined benefit accounting" to the defined benefit funds to which it is a member where these funds as classified in terms of IAS 19 as multi-employer plans, as sufficient information is not available to apply the principles involved. As a result, paragraph 30 of IAS 19 is applied and such funds are accounted for as defined contribution funds.

To the extent that a surplus or deficit in the place, based on available information, may affect the amount of future contributions, these are assessed. In the case of surpluses, no change is made in the rate of contributions. In the case of deficits, the economic entity will increase contributions on a phased basis. To the extent that the full discounted value of obligations to the funds is not fully accounted for at year end, a contingent liability arises and is reported on accordingly.

#### **Medical Aid: Continued Members**

The economic entity provides post-retirement benefits by subsidising the medical aid contributions of certain retired staff. According to the rules of the medical aid funds, with which the economic entity is associated, a member (subject to the applicable conditions of service), on retirement, is entitled to remain a continued member of such medical aid fund, in which case the member is liable for the portion as determined by Council from time to time, of the medical aid membership fee, and the economic entity for the remaining portion.

### 1.10 Provisions and contingencies

Contingent assets and contingent liabilities are not recognised. Contingencies are disclosed in note 44.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1.10 Provisions and contingencies (continued)

A provision is recognised when the economic entity has a present obligation (legal or constructive) as a result of a past event and it is probable (i.e. more likely than not) that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits or service potential will be required to settle the obligation and a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation.

The economic entity does not recognise a contingent liability or contingent asset. A contingent liability is disclosed unless the possibility of an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits is remote. A contingent asset is disclosed where an inflow of economic benefits is probable.

Provisions are reviewed annually and those estimated to be settled within the next twelve months are treated as current liabilities. All other provisions are treated as long term liabilities.

#### a) Leave Provision

Liabilities for annual leave are recognised as they accrue to employees. The liability is based on the total accrued leave days at year end.

#### b) COID Provision

The provision for COID pensions and medical aid liability is based on eligible members, their current age and their future life expectancy. Cash flows are projected on the basis of current pension payments escalated at 6.10% (2010 - 7.00%) per annum over member's expected lives. Resulting cash flows have been discounted to Net Present Value applying a discount rate of 11.50% (2010 - 12.00%).

#### c) Landfill Rehabilitation Provision

The Landfill Rehabilitation Provision is created for the rehabilitation of the current operational sites at the future estimated time of closure.

The value of the Provision is based on the expected future cost to rehabilitate the various sites discounted back to the balance sheet date at the cost of capital, which is currently 11.50% (2010 - 12.00%).

The economic entity has an obligation to rehabilitate these Landfill sites. The cost of such property includes the initial estimate of the costs of rehabilitating the land and restoring the site on which it is located, the obligation for which a economic entity incurs as a consequence of having used the property during a particular period for landfill purposes. The economic entity estimates the useful lives and make assumptions as to the useful lives of these assets, which influence the provision for future costs.

The asset is measured using the cost model:

- (a) subject to (b), changes in the liability are added to, or deducted from, the cost of the related asset in the current period;
- (b) if a decrease in the liability exceeds the carrying amount of the asset, the excess is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit; and
- (c) if the adjustment results in an addition to the cost of an asset, the economic entity considers whether this is an indication that the new carrying amount of the asset may not be fully recoverable. If it is such an indication, the asset is tested for impairment by estimating its recoverable amount, and any impairment loss is recognised in surplus or deficit.

#### d) Workmenscompensation provision

The provision is for the unpaid periods, estimated in the latest return submitted to the compensation commissioner.

#### e) Long service awards provision

The economic entity offers various types of long service awards to its employees. The provision is to recognise the present value of the obligation as at the reporting date.

#### f) GMRF provision

The provision is for the economic entity's obligation to the Germiston Municipal Retirement Fund due to the economic entity failing to meet its obligation to contribute to the fund due to the required investment yield not being achieved.

#### g) Bonus provision

The provision is to provide for performance bonuses of the economic entity's section 57 employees and, where applicable, independent contractors.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1.11 Revenue from exchange transactions

Revenue from exchange transactions refers to revenue that accrued to the economic entity directly in return for services rendered / goods sold, the value of which approximates the consideration received or receivable.

Revenue is the gross inflows of economic benefits or service potential during the reporting period when those inflows result in increases in net assets, other than increases relating to contributions from owners.

Revenue is measured at the fair value of the consideration received or receivable.

When the inflow of cash or cash equivalents is deferred and the fair value of the consideration is less than the nominal amount of cash received or receivable, the arrangement effectively constitutes a financing transaction. The fair value of the consideration is determined by discounting all future receipts using an imputed rate of interest. The imputed rate of interest is the more clearly determinable of either:

- The prevailing rate for a similar instrument of an issuer with a similar credit rating; or
- A rate of interest that discounts the nominal amount of the instrument to the current cash sales price of the goods or services.

The difference between the fair value and the nominal amount of the consideration is recognised as interest revenue.

Service charges relating to electricity and water are based on consumption. Meters are read on a periodic basis and revenue is recognised when invoiced. Provisional estimates of consumption are made monthly when meter readings have not been performed and are based on the consumption history. The provisional estimates of consumption are recognised as revenue when invoiced. Adjustments to provisional estimates of consumption are made in the invoicing period when meters have been read. These adjustments are recognised as revenue in the invoicing period. There are areas within the economic entity where an un-metered water tariff is applied based on estimated consumption as per promulgated tariffs. Revenue for these is recognised when invoiced.

Revenue from the sale of electricity prepaid meter cards is recognised at the point of sale.

Service charges relating to refuse removal are recognised on a monthly basis in arrears by applying the approved tariff to each property. Tariffs are determined per category of property size, and are levied monthly.

Service charges from sewerage and sanitation are based on the number of sewerage connections on each developed property using the tariffs approved from Council and are levied monthly.

Interest revenue is recognised on a time proportion basis.

Revenue from the rental of facilities and equipment is recognised on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease agreement.

Revenue arising from the application of the approved tariff of charges is recognised when the relevant service is rendered by applying the relevant gazetted tariff. This includes the issuing of licences and permits.

Income for agency services is recognised on a monthly basis once the income collected on behalf of agents has been quantified. The income recognised is in terms of the agency agreement.

Dividends are recognised when the economic entity's right to receive payment is established.

Revenue from the sale of goods is recognised when the following conditions have been satisfied:

- The economic entity has transferred to the buyer the significant risks and rewards of ownership.
- The economic entity retains neither continuing managerial involvement to the degree usually associated with ownership nor effective control over the goods sold.
- The amount of revenue can be measured reliably.
- It is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the economic entity.
- The costs incurred or to be incurred in respect of the transaction can be measured reliably.

### 1.12 Borrowing costs

Borrowing costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition, construction or production of a qualifying asset are capitalised as part of the cost of that asset until such time as the asset is ready for its intended use. The amount of borrowing costs eligible for capitalisation is determined as follows:

- Actual borrowing costs on funds specifically borrowed for the purpose of obtaining a qualifying asset less any investment income on the temporary investment of those borrowings.
- Weighted average of the borrowing costs applicable to the economic entity on funds generally borrowed for the purpose of obtaining a qualifying asset. The borrowing costs capitalised do not exceed the total borrowing costs incurred.

When the carrying amount or the expected ultimate cost of the qualifying asset exceeds its recoverable amount or recoverable service amount or net realisable value, the carrying amount is written down or written off in accordance with the accounting policy on Impairment of Assets as per accounting policy number 1.16 and 1.17. In certain circumstances, the amount of the write-down or write-off is written back in accordance with the same accounting policy.

Capitalisation is suspended during extended periods in which active development is interrupted.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1.12 Borrowing costs (continued)

Extended periods is periods that exceeds 3 months.

Capitalisation ceases when substantially all the activities necessary to prepare the qualifying asset for its intended use or sale are complete.

When the economic entity completes the construction of a qualifying asset in parts and each part is capable of being used while construction continues on other parts, the entity ceases capitalising borrowing costs when it completes substantially all the activities necessary to prepare that part for its intended use or sale.

All other borrowing costs are recognised as an expense in the period in which they are incurred.

### 1.13 Presentation of currency

These group annual financial statements are presented in South African Rand, which is the functional currency of the economic entity.

### 1.14 Investment property

Investment property includes property (land or a building, or part of a building, or both land and buildings held under a finance lease) held to earn rentals and/or for capital appreciation, rather than held to meet service delivery objectives, the production or supply of goods or services, or the sale of an asset in the ordinary course of operations.

At initial recognition, the economic entity measures investment property at cost including transaction costs once it meets the definition of investment property. However, where an investment property was acquired through a non-exchange transaction (i.e. where it acquired the investment property for no or a nominal value), its cost is its fair value as at the date of acquisition.

#### Cost model

Investment property is subsequently measured using the cost model. Under the cost model, investment property is carried at cost less any accumulated depreciation and any accumulated impairment losses.

Depreciation is calculated on the depreciable amount, using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Components of assets that are significant in relation to the whole asset and that have different useful lives are depreciated separately. The annual depreciation rates are based on the following estimated average asset lives:

Depreciation is provided to write down the cost by equal installments over the useful life of the property, which is as follows:

<b>Item</b>	<b>Useful life</b>
Property - land	indefinite
Property - buildings	50 - 60 years (Changed: 50 years in 2010)

Investment property is derecognised on disposal or when the investment property is permanently withdrawn from use and no future economic benefits or service potential are expected from its disposal.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1.15 Intangible assets

An intangible asset is an identifiable, non-monetary asset without physical substance. Intangible assets are identifiable resources controlled by the economic entity from which the economic entity expects to derive future economic benefits or service potential.

Intangible assets are identifiable when they can be separated from the economic entity, i.e. is capable of being separated or divided from the economic entity and sold, exchanged, licensed or, when they arise as a result of a contractual or other legal right, excluding those legal rights that arise from statute.

The economic entity recognises an intangible asset in its statement of financial position only when it is probable that the expected future economic benefits or service potential that are attributable to the asset will flow to the economic entity; and the economic entity can measure the cost or fair value of the asset reliably.

An intangible asset is measured initially at cost.

Where the economic entity acquires intangible assets, it recognises them as assets in the statement of financial position at cost.

Where the economic entity generates its own intangible assets through research and development or the acquisition of another entity, recognition is based on whether or not it is probable that the intangible assets will generate future economic benefits or service potential. Expenditure on research is not recognised as an asset.

An intangible asset arising from development (or from the development phase of an internal project) is recognised if, and only if, the economic entity can demonstrate all of the following:

- the technical feasibility of completing the intangible asset so that it will be available for use or sale.
- its intention to complete the intangible asset and use or sell it.
- its ability to use or sell the intangible asset.
- how the intangible asset will generate probable future economic benefits or service potential. Among other things, the economic entity can demonstrate the existence of a market for the output of the intangible asset or the intangible asset itself or, if it is to be used internally, the usefulness of the intangible asset.
- the availability of adequate technical, financial and other resources to complete the development and to use or sell the intangible asset.
- its ability to measure reliably the expenditure attributable to the intangible asset during its development.

The economic entity does not recognise internally generated goodwill as an intangible asset. It also does not recognise internally generated brands, mastheads, publishing titles, customer lists and items similar in substance, as intangible assets.

The cost of an internally generated intangible asset is the sum of expenditure incurred from the date when the intangible asset first meets the recognition criteria.

Intangible assets are subsequently carried at cost less accumulated amortisation and accumulated impairment losses.

The economic entity assesses whether the useful life or service potential of an intangible asset is finite or indefinite. The economic entity regards an intangible asset as having an indefinite useful life when there is no foreseeable limit to the period over which the entity expects the asset to generate net cash inflows or service potential for the entity. Intangible assets with indefinite useful lives are not amortised.

The economic entity tests intangible assets with finite useful lives for impairment where there is an indication that an asset may be impaired. An assessment of whether there is an indication of possible impairment is done at each reporting date. Where the carrying amount of an item of an intangible asset is greater than the estimated recoverable amount (or recoverable service amount), it is written down immediately to its recoverable amount (or recoverable service amount) and an impairment loss is charged to the Statement of Financial Performance.

The useful life of an intangible asset that arises from contractual or legal rights does not exceed the period of the contractual or legal rights, but may be shorter depending on the period over which the economic entity expects to use the asset.

The economic entity reviews the amortisation method, useful lives and residual values of intangible assets annually.

The estimated useful lives are as follows:

Item	Useful life
Computer software	3 - 14 years

Intangible assets are derecognised when the asset is disposed of or when there are no further economic benefits or service potential expected from the use of the asset. The gain or loss arising on the disposal or retirement of an intangible asset is determined as the difference between the sales proceeds and the carrying value and is recognised in the Statement of Financial Performance.

### 1.16 Impairment of cash-generating assets

Cash-generating assets are those assets held by the economic entity with the primary objective of generating a commercial return. When an asset is deployed in a manner consistent with that adopted by a profit-orientated entity, it generates a commercial return.



# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1.16 Impairment of cash-generating assets (continued)

Impairment is a loss in the future economic benefits or service potential of an asset, over and above the systematic recognition of the loss of the asset's future economic benefits or service potential through depreciation (amortisation).

Carrying amount is the amount at which an asset is recognised in the statement of financial position after deducting any accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses thereon.

A cash-generating unit is the smallest identifiable group of assets held with the primary objective of generating a commercial return that generates cash inflows from continuing use that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets.

Costs of disposal are incremental costs directly attributable to the disposal of an asset, excluding finance costs and income tax expense.

Depreciation (Amortisation) is the systematic allocation of the depreciable amount of an asset over its useful life.

Fair value less costs to sell is the amount obtainable from the sale of an asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties, less the costs of disposal.

Recoverable amount of an asset or a cash-generating unit is the higher its fair value less costs to sell and its value in use.

Useful life is either:

- (a) the period of time over which an asset is expected to be used by the economic entity; or
- (b) the number of production or similar units expected to be obtained from the asset by the economic entity.

#### Identification

When the carrying amount of a cash-generating asset exceeds its recoverable amount, it is impaired.

The economic entity assesses at each reporting date whether there is any indication that a cash-generating asset may be impaired. If any such indication exists, the economic entity estimates the recoverable amount of the asset.

Irrespective of whether there is any indication of impairment, the economic entity also test a cash-generating intangible asset with an indefinite useful life or a cash-generating intangible asset not yet available for use for impairment annually by comparing its carrying amount with its recoverable amount. This impairment test is performed at the same time every year. If an intangible asset was initially recognised during the current reporting period, that intangible asset was tested for impairment before the end of the current reporting period.

#### Value in use

Value in use of a cash-generating asset is the present value of the estimated future cash flows expected to be derived from the continuing use of an asset and from its disposal at the end of its useful life.

When estimating the value in use of an asset, the economic entity estimates the future cash inflows and outflows to be derived from continuing use of the asset and from its ultimate disposal and the economic entity applies the appropriate discount rate to those future cash flows.

#### Basis for estimates of future cash flows

In measuring value in use the economic entity:

- base cash flow projections on reasonable and supportable assumptions that represent management's best estimate of the range of economic conditions that will exist over the remaining useful life of the asset. Greater weight is given to external evidence;
- base cash flow projections on the most recent approved financial budgets/forecasts, but excludes any estimated future cash inflows or outflows expected to arise from future restructuring's or from improving or enhancing the asset's performance. Projections based on these budgets/forecasts covers a maximum period of five years, unless a longer period can be justified; and
- estimate cash flow projections beyond the period covered by the most recent budgets/forecasts by extrapolating the projections based on the budgets/forecasts using a steady or declining growth rate for subsequent years, unless an increasing rate can be justified. This growth rate does not exceed the long-term average growth rate for the products, industries, or country or countries in which the entity operates, or for the market in which the asset is used, unless a higher rate can be justified.

#### Composition of estimates of future cash flows

Estimates of future cash flows include:

- projections of cash inflows from the continuing use of the asset;
- projections of cash outflows that are necessarily incurred to generate the cash inflows from continuing use of the asset (including cash outflows to prepare the asset for use) and can be directly attributed, or allocated on a reasonable and consistent basis, to the asset; and
- net cash flows, if any, to be received (or paid) for the disposal of the asset at the end of its useful life.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1.16 Impairment of cash-generating assets (continued)

Estimates of future cash flows exclude:

- cash inflows or outflows from financing activities; and
- income tax receipts or payments.

The estimate of net cash flows to be received (or paid) for the disposal of an asset at the end of its useful life is the amount that the economic entity expects to obtain from the disposal of the asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties, after deducting the estimated costs of disposal.

#### Discount rate

The discount rate is a pre-tax rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money, represented by the current risk-free rate of interest and the risks specific to the asset for which the future cash flow estimates have not been adjusted.

#### Recognition and measurement (individual asset)

If the recoverable amount of a cash-generating asset is less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable amount. This reduction is an impairment loss.

An impairment loss is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

Any impairment loss of a revalued cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation decrease.

When the amount estimated for an impairment loss is greater than the carrying amount of the cash-generating asset to which it relates, the economic entity recognises a liability only to the extent that is a requirement in the Standard of GRAP.

After the recognition of an impairment loss, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

#### Cash-generating units

If there is any indication that an asset may be impaired, the recoverable amount is estimated for the individual asset. If it is not possible to estimate the recoverable amount of the individual asset, the economic entity determines the recoverable amount of the cash-generating unit to which the asset belongs (the asset's cash-generating unit).

If an active market exists for the output produced by an asset or group of assets, that asset or group of assets is identified as a cash-generating unit, even if some or all of the output is used internally. If the cash inflows generated by any asset or cash-generating unit are affected by internal transfer pricing, the economic entity use management's best estimate of future price(s) that could be achieved in arm's length transactions in estimating:

- the future cash inflows used to determine the asset's or cash-generating unit's value in use; and
- the future cash outflows used to determine the value in use of any other assets or cash-generating units that are affected by the internal transfer pricing.

Cash-generating units are identified consistently from period to period for the same asset or types of assets, unless a change is justified.

The carrying amount of a cash-generating unit is determined on a basis consistent with the way the recoverable amount of the cash-generating unit is determined.

An impairment loss is recognised for a cash-generating unit if the recoverable amount of the unit is less than the carrying amount of the unit. The impairment is allocated to reduce the carrying amount of the cash-generating assets of the unit on a pro rata basis, based on the carrying amount of each asset in the unit. These reductions in carrying amounts are treated as impairment losses on individual assets.

In allocating an impairment loss, the entity does not reduce the carrying amount of an asset below the highest of:

- its fair value less costs to sell (if determinable);
- its value in use (if determinable); and
- zero.

The amount of the impairment loss that would otherwise have been allocated to the asset is allocated pro rata to the other cash-generating assets of the unit.

Where a non-cash-generating asset contributes to a cash-generating unit, a proportion of the carrying amount of that non-cash-generating asset is allocated to the carrying amount of the cash-generating unit prior to estimation of the recoverable amount of the cash-generating unit.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1.16 Impairment of cash-generating assets (continued)

#### Reversal of impairment loss

The economic entity assess at each reporting date whether there is any indication that an impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a cash-generating asset may no longer exist or may have decreased. If any such indication exists, the entity estimates the recoverable amount of that asset.

An impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a cash-generating asset is reversed if there has been a change in the estimates used to determine the asset's recoverable amount since the last impairment loss was recognised. The carrying amount of the asset is increased to its recoverable amount. The increase is a reversal of an impairment loss. The increased carrying amount of an asset attributable to a reversal of an impairment loss does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of depreciation or amortisation) had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior periods.

A reversal of an impairment loss for a cash-generating asset is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

Any reversal of an impairment loss of a revalued cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation increase.

After a reversal of an impairment loss is recognised, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

A reversal of an impairment loss for a cash-generating unit is allocated to the cash-generating assets of the unit pro rata with the carrying amounts of those assets. These increases in carrying amounts are treated as reversals of impairment losses for individual assets. No part of the amount of such a reversal is allocated to a non-cash-generating asset contributing service potential to a cash-generating unit.

In allocating a reversal of an impairment loss for a cash-generating unit, the carrying amount of an asset is not increased above the lower of:

- its recoverable amount (if determinable); and
- the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of amortisation or depreciation) had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior periods.

The amount of the reversal of the impairment loss that would otherwise have been allocated to the asset is allocated pro rata to the other assets of the unit.

#### Redesignation

The redesignation of assets from a cash-generating asset to a non-cash-generating asset or from a non-cash-generating asset to a cash-generating asset only occur when there is clear evidence that such a redesignation is appropriate.

### 1.17 Impairment of non-cash-generating assets

Cash-generating assets are those assets held by the economic entity with the primary objective of generating a commercial return. When an asset is deployed in a manner consistent with that adopted by a profit-orientated entity, it generates a commercial return.

Non-cash-generating assets are assets other than cash-generating assets.

Impairment is a loss in the future economic benefits or service potential of an asset, over and above the systematic recognition of the loss of the asset's future economic benefits or service potential through depreciation (amortisation).

Carrying amount is the amount at which an asset is recognised in the statement of financial position after deducting any accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses thereon.

A cash-generating unit is the smallest identifiable group of assets held with the primary objective of generating a commercial return that generates cash inflows from continuing use that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets.

Costs of disposal are incremental costs directly attributable to the disposal of an asset, excluding finance costs and income tax expense.

Depreciation (Amortisation) is the systematic allocation of the depreciable amount of an asset over its useful life.

Fair value less costs to sell is the amount obtainable from the sale of an asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties, less the costs of disposal.

Recoverable service amount is the higher of a non-cash-generating asset's fair value less costs to sell and its value in use.

Useful life is either:

- (a) the period of time over which an asset is expected to be used by the economic entity; or

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

- (b) the number of production or similar units expected to be obtained from the asset by the economic entity.

Criteria developed by the economic entity to distinguish non-cash-generating assets from cash-generating assets are as follow: [Specify criteria]

### Identification

When the carrying amount of a non-cash-generating asset exceeds its recoverable service amount, it is impaired.

The economic entity assesses at each reporting date whether there is any indication that a non-cash-generating asset may be impaired. If any such indication exists, the economic entity estimates the recoverable service amount of the asset.

Irrespective of whether there is any indication of impairment, the entity also test a non-cash-generating intangible asset with an indefinite useful life or a non-cash-generating intangible asset not yet available for use for impairment annually by comparing its carrying amount with its recoverable service amount. This impairment test is performed at the same time every year. If an intangible asset was initially recognised during the current reporting period, that intangible asset was tested for impairment before the end of the current reporting period.

### Value in use

Value in use of an asset is the present value of the asset's remaining service potential.

The present value of the remaining service potential of an asset is determined using the following approach:

### Depreciated replacement cost approach

The present value of the remaining service potential of a non-cash-generating asset is determined as the depreciated replacement cost of the asset. The replacement cost of an asset is the cost to replace the asset's gross service potential. This cost is depreciated to reflect the asset in its used condition. An asset may be replaced either through reproduction (replication) of the existing asset or through replacement of its gross service potential. The depreciated replacement cost is measured as the reproduction or replacement cost of the asset, whichever is lower, less accumulated depreciation calculated on the basis of such cost, to reflect the already consumed or expired service potential of the asset.

The replacement cost and reproduction cost of an asset is determined on an "optimised" basis. The rationale is that the economic entity would not replace or reproduce the asset with a like asset if the asset to be replaced or reproduced is an overdesigned or overcapacity asset. Overdesigned assets contain features which are unnecessary for the goods or services the asset provides. Overcapacity assets are assets that have a greater capacity than is necessary to meet the demand for goods or services the asset provides. The determination of the replacement cost or reproduction cost of an asset on an optimised basis thus reflects the service potential required of the asset.

### Recognition and measurement

If the recoverable service amount of a non-cash-generating asset is less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable service amount. This reduction is an impairment loss.

An impairment loss is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

Any impairment loss of a revalued non-cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation decrease.

When the amount estimated for an impairment loss is greater than the carrying amount of the non-cash-generating asset to which it relates, the economic entity recognises a liability only to the extent that is a requirement in the Standards of GRAP.

After the recognition of an impairment loss, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the non-cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the non-cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

### Reversal of an impairment loss

The economic entity assess at each reporting date whether there is any indication that an impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a non-cash-generating asset may no longer exist or may have decreased. If any such indication exists, the economic entity estimates the recoverable service amount of that asset.

An impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a non-cash-generating asset is reversed if there has been a change in the estimates used to determine the asset's recoverable service amount since the last impairment loss was recognised. The carrying amount of the asset is increased to its recoverable service amount. The increase is a reversal of an impairment loss. The increased carrying amount of an asset attributable to a reversal of an impairment loss does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of depreciation or amortisation) had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior periods.

A reversal of an impairment loss for a non-cash-generating asset is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

Any reversal of an impairment loss of a revalued non-cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation increase.

After a reversal of an impairment loss is recognised, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the non-cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the non-cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

### Redesignation

The redesignation of assets from a cash-generating asset to a non-cash-generating asset or from a non-cash-generating asset to a cash-generating asset only occur when there is clear evidence that such a redesignation is appropriate.

### 1.18 Revenue from non-exchange transactions

Revenue from non-exchange transactions refers to transactions where the economic entity received revenue from another entity without directly giving approximately equal value in exchange. Revenue from non-exchange transactions is generally recognised to the extent that the related receipt or receivable qualifies for recognition as an asset and there is no liability to repay the amount.

Revenue from property rates is recognised when the legal entitlement to this revenue arises. Collection charges are recognised when such amounts are legally enforceable. Penalty interest on unpaid rates is recognised on a time proportion basis.

A rating system charging one tariff is employed. Rebates and remissions are granted to certain categories of ratepayers and are recognised net of revenue.

Fines constitute both spot fines and summonses. Revenue from spot fines and summonses is recognised when payment is received, together with an estimate of fines that will be received based on past experience of amounts collected.

Revenue from public contributions and donations is recognized when all conditions associated with the contribution have been met or where the contribution is to finance property, plant and equipment, when such items of property, plant and equipment qualifies for recognition and first becomes available for use by the economic entity. Where public contributions have been received but the economic entity has not met the related conditions, a deferred income (liability) is recognized.

Contributed property, plant and equipment is recognised when such items of property, plant and equipment qualifies for recognition and become available for use by the economic entity.

Revenue from the recovery of unauthorised, irregular, fruitless and wasteful expenditure is based on legislated procedures, including those set out in the Municipal Finance Management Act (Act No.56 of 2003) and is recognised when the recovery thereof from the responsible councillors or officials is virtually certain.

### 1.19 Comparative figures

Budget information has been provided in an annexure to these financial statements.

When the presentation or classification of items in the annual financial statements is amended, prior period comparative amounts are reclassified. The nature and reason for the reclassification is disclosed. Where accounting errors have been identified in the current year, the correction is made retrospectively as far as is practicable, and the prior year comparatives are restated accordingly. Where there has been a change in accounting policy in the current year, the adjustment is made retrospectively as far as is practicable, and the prior year comparatives are restated accordingly.

### 1.20 Unauthorised expenditure

Unauthorised expenditure is expenditure that has not been budgeted for, expenditure that is not in terms of the conditions of an allocation received from another sphere of government, economic entity or organ of state and expenditure in the form of a grant that is not permitted in terms of the Municipal Finance Management Act (Act No.56 of 2003). Unauthorised expenditure is accounted for as an expense in the Statement of Financial Performance and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the Statement of Financial Performance.

### 1.21 Fruitless and wasteful expenditure

Fruitless and wasteful expenditure is expenditure that was made in vain and would have been avoided had reasonable care been exercised. Fruitless and wasteful expenditure is accounted for as expenditure in the Statement of Financial Performance and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the Statement of Financial Performance.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Accounting Policies

---

### 1.22 Irregular expenditure

Irregular expenditure is expenditure that is contrary to the Municipal Finance Management Act (Act No.56 of 2003), the Municipal Systems Act (Act No.32 of 2000), and the Public Office Bearers Act (Act No. 20 of 1998) or is in contravention of the economic entity's supply chain management policy. Irregular expenditure excludes unauthorised expenditure. Irregular expenditure is accounted for as expenditure in the Statement of Financial Performance and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the Statement of Financial Performance.

### 1.23 Use of estimates

The preparation of group annual financial statements in conformity with Standards of GRAP requires the use of certain critical accounting estimates. It also requires management to exercise its judgement in the process of applying the economic entity's accounting policies. The areas involving a higher degree of judgement or complexity, or areas where assumptions and estimates are significant to the group annual financial statements are disclosed in the relevant sections of the group annual financial statements. Although these estimates are based on management's best knowledge of current events and actions they may undertake in the future, actual results ultimately may differ from those estimates.

### 1.24 Internal Reserves

#### Asset Fair Value Adjustment Reserve

On the implementation of GRAP 17, certain assets were adjusted to reflect the fair values of the assets, where insufficient cost were previously capitalized. This fair value adjustment have been transferred to the Asset Fair Value Adjustment Reserve via the accumulated surplus account.

The Asset Fair Value Adjustment Reserve is transferred to accumulated surplus on a basis that is appropriate as to realise this reserve on a straight-line basis over a pre-determined period, which is in line with service delivery objectives of the economic entity.

### 1.25 Conditional grants and receipts

Revenue received from conditional grants, donations and funding are recognised as revenue to the extent that the economic entity has complied with any of the criteria, conditions or obligations embodied in the agreement. To the extent that the criteria, conditions or obligations have not been met a liability is recognised.

### 1.26 Segmental information

The principal segments have been identified on a primary basis by service operation and on a secondary basis by the classification of income and expenditure. The primary basis is representative of the internal structure for both budgeting and management purposes.

Refer to note on statement of comparatives and actual information.

### 1.27 Research and development expenditure

Research costs are charged against operating surplus as incurred. Development costs are recognised as an expense in the period in which they are incurred unless the following criteria are met:

- The product or process is clearly defined and the costs attributable to the process or product can be separately identified and measured reliably;
- The technical feasibility of the product or process can be demonstrated;
- The existence of a market or, if to be used internally rather than sold, its usefulness to the economic entity can be demonstrated;
- Adequate resources exist, or their availability can be demonstrated, to complete the project and then market or use the product or process; and
- The asset must be separately identifiable.

Where development costs are deferred, they are written off on a straight-line basis over the life of the process or product, subject to a maximum of five years. The amortization begins from the commencement of the commercial production of the product or use of the process to which they relate.

### 1.28 Going concern

These annual financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis.

### 1.29 Additional Note

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 2. CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICY

#### Post Retirement Benefit

During the year, the economic entity changed its accounting policy with respect to the treatment of post retirement benefits. To the extent that, at the beginning of the financial period, any cumulative unrecognised actuarial gain or loss exceeds ten percent of the greater of the present value of the projected benefit obligation and the fair value of the plan assets (the corridor), that portion is recognised in surplus or deficit over the expected average remaining service lives of participating employees. Actuarial gains or losses within the corridor are not recognised.

The aggregate effect of the changes in accounting policy on the group annual financial statements for the year ended 30 June 2011 is as follows:

#### Statement of financial position

##### Retirement benefit obligation

Adjustment	99,244,675	-	99,244,675	-
------------	------------	---	------------	---

##### Opening accumulated surplus

Adjustment	(83,741,340)	-	(83,741,340)	-
------------	--------------	---	--------------	---

#### Statement of Financial Performance

##### Employee related costs

Adjustment	(15,503,335)	-	(15,503,335)	-
------------	--------------	---	--------------	---

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

---

### 3. NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS

#### GRAP 18: Segment Reporting

Segments are identified by the way in which information is reported to management, both for purposes of assessing performance and making decisions about how future resources will be allocated to the various activities undertaken by the economic entity. The major classifications of activities identified in budget documentation will usually reflect the segments for which an entity reports information to management.

Segment information is either presented based on service or geographical segments. Service segments relate to a distinguishable component of an entity that provides specific outputs or achieves particular operating objectives that are in line with the economic entity's overall mission. Geographical segments relate to specific outputs generated, or particular objectives achieved, by an entity within a particular region.

This Standard has been approved by the Board but its effective date has not yet been determined by the Minister of Finance. The effective date indicated is a provisional date and could change depending on the decision of the Minister of Finance.

Directive 2 - Transitional provisions for public entities, municipal entities and constitutional institutions, states that no comparative segment information need to be presented on initial adoption of this Standard.

Directive 3 - Transitional provisions for high capacity municipalities states that no comparative segment information need to be presented on initial adoption of the Standard. Where items have not been recognised as a result of transitional provisions under the Standard of GRAP on Property, Plant and Equipment, recognition requirements of this Standard would not apply to such items until the transitional provision in that Standard expires.

Directive 4 – Transitional provisions for medium and low capacity municipalities states that no comparative segment information need to be presented on initial adoption of the Standard. Where items have not been recognised as a result of transitional provisions under the Standard of GRAP on Property, Plant and Equipment and the Standard of GRAP on Agriculture, the recognition requirements of the Standard would not apply to such items until the transitional provision in that standard expires.

The effective date of the standard has not yet been set.

It is unlikely that the standard will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### GRAP 23: Revenue from Non-exchange Transactions

Revenue from non-exchange transactions arises when an entity receives value from another entity without directly giving approximately equal value in exchange. An asset acquired through a non-exchange transaction shall initially be measured at its fair value as at the date of acquisition.

This revenue will be measured at the amount of increase in net assets recognised by the economic entity.

An inflow of resources from a non-exchange transaction recognised as an asset shall be recognised as revenue, except to the extent that a liability is recognised for the same inflow. As an entity satisfies a present obligation recognised as a liability in respect of an inflow of resources from a non-exchange transaction recognised as an asset, it will reduce the carrying amount of the liability recognised as an amount equal to that reduction.

This Standard has been approved by the Board but its effective date has not yet been determined by the Minister of Finance. The effective date indicated is a provisional date and could change depending on the decision of the Minister of Finance.

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after July 01, 2012.

It is unlikely that the standard will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### GRAP 24: Presentation of Budget Information in the Financial Statements

Subject to the requirements of paragraph .19, an entity shall present a comparison of the budget amounts for which it is held publicly accountable and actual amounts either as a separate additional financial statement or as additional budget columns in the financial statements currently presented in accordance with Standards of GRAP. The comparison of budget and actual amounts shall present separately for each level of legislative oversight:

- the approved and final budget amounts;



# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

---

### 3. NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS (continued)

- the actual amounts on a comparable basis; and
- by way of note disclosure, an explanation of material differences between the budget for which the economic entity is held publicly accountable and actual amounts, unless such explanation is included in other public documents issued in conjunction with the financial statements, and a cross reference to those documents is made in the notes.

Where an entity prepares its budget and group annual financial statements on a comparable basis, it includes the comparison as an additional column in the primary group annual financial statements. Where the budget and group annual financial statements are not prepared on a comparable basis, a separate statement is prepared called the 'Statement of Comparison of Budget and Actual Amounts'. This statement compares the budget amounts with the amounts in the group annual financial statements adjusted to be comparable to the budget.

A comparable basis means that the budget and group annual financial statements:

- are prepared using the same basis of accounting i.e. either cash or accrual;
- include the same activities and entities;
- use the same classification system; and
- are prepared for the same period.

This Standard has been approved by the Board but its effective date has not yet been determined by the Minister of Finance. The effective date indicated is a provisional date and could change depending on the decision of the Minister of Finance.

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after July 01, 2012.

The impact of this standard is currently being assessed.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **GRAP 103: Heritage Assets**

Grap 103 defines heritage assets as assets which have a cultural, environmental, historical, natural, scientific, technological or artistic significance and are held indefinitely for the benefit of present and future generations.

Certain heritage assets are described as inalienable items thus assets which are retained indefinitely and cannot be disposed of without consent as required by law or otherwise.

A heritage asset should be recognised as an asset only if:

- it is probable that future economic benefits or service potential associated with the asset will to the economic entity; and
- the cost of fair value of the asset can be measured reliably.

The standard required judgment in applying the initial recognition criteria to the specific circumstances surrounding the entity and the assets.

Grap 103 states that a heritage asset should be measured at its cost unless it is acquired through a non-exchange transaction which should then be measured at its fair value as at the date of acquisition.

In terms of the standard, an entity has a choice between the cost and revaluation model as accounting policy for subsequent recognition and should apply the chosen policy to an entire class of heritage assets.

The cost model requires a class of heritage assets to be carried at its cost less any accumulated impairment losses.

The revaluation model required a class of heritage assets to be carried at its fair value at the date of the revaluation less any subsequent impairment losses. The standard also states that a restriction on the disposal of a heritage asset does not preclude the entity from determining the fair value.

Grap 103 prescribes that when determining the fair value of a heritage asset that has more than one purpose, the fair value should reflect both the asset's heritage value and the value obtained from its use in the production or supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes.

If a heritage asset's carrying amount is increased as a result of a revaluation, the increase should be credited directly to a revaluation surplus. However, the increase should be recognised in surplus or deficit to the extent that it reverses a revaluation decrease of the same heritage asset previously recognised in surplus or deficit. If a heritage asset's carrying amount is decreased as a result of a revaluation, the decrease should be recognised in surplus or deficit. However, the decrease should be debited directly to a revaluation surplus to the extent of any credit balance existing in the revaluation surplus in respect of that heritage asset.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

---

### 3. NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS (continued)

Grp 103 states that a heritage asset should not be depreciated but an entity should assess at each reporting date whether there is an indication that it may be impaired.

In terms of the standard, compensation from third parties for heritage assets that have been impaired, lost or given up, should be included in surplus or deficit when the compensation becomes receivable.

For a transfer from heritage assets carried at a revalued amount to property, plant and equipment, investment property, inventories or intangible assets, the asset's deemed cost for subsequent accounting should be its revalued amount at the date of transfer. The entity should treat any difference at that date between the carrying amount of the heritage asset and its fair value in the same way as a revaluation in accordance with this Standard. If an item of property, plant and equipment or an intangible asset carried at a revalued amount, or investment property carried at fair value is reclassified as a heritage asset carried at a revalued amount, the entity applies the applicable Standard of GRAP to that asset up to the date of change. The entity treats any difference at that date between the carrying amount of the asset and its fair value in accordance with the applicable Standard of GRAP relating to that asset. For a transfer from investment property carried at fair value, or inventories to heritage assets at a revalued amount, any difference between the fair value of the asset at that date and its previous carrying amount should be recognised in surplus or deficit.

The carrying amount of a heritage asset should be derecognised:

- on disposal, or
- when no future economic benefits or service potential are expected from its use or disposal.

The gain or loss arising from the derecognition of a heritage asset should be determined as the difference between the net disposal proceeds, if any, and the carrying amount of the heritage asset. Such difference is recognised in surplus or deficit when the heritage asset is derecognised.

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after July 01, 2012.

The impact of this standard is currently being assessed.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **GRAP 21: Impairment of non-cash-generating assets**

Non-cash-generating assets are assets other than cash-generating assets.

When the carrying amount of a non-cash-generating asset exceeds its recoverable service amount, it is impaired.

An economic entity assesses at each reporting date whether there is any indication that a non-cash-generating asset may be impaired. If any such indication exists, an entity estimates the recoverable service amount of the asset.

The present value of the remaining service potential of a non-cash-generating asset is determined using one of the following approaches:

- Depreciated replacement cost approach
- Restoration cost approach
- Service units approach

If the recoverable service amount of a non-cash-generating asset is less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable service amount. This reduction is an impairment loss. An impairment loss is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit. Any impairment loss of a revalued non-cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation decrease.

An economic entity assess at each reporting date whether there is any indication that an impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a non-cash-generating asset may no longer exist or may have decreased. If any such indication exists, an entity estimates the recoverable service amount of that asset.

A reversal of an impairment loss for a non-cash-generating asset is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit. Any reversal of an impairment loss of a revalued non-cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation increase.

This Standard has been approved by the Board but its effective date has not yet been determined by the Minister of Finance. The effective date indicated is a provisional date and could change depending on the decision of the Minister of Finance.

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after July 01, 2012.

It is unlikely that the standard will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

---

### 3. NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS (continued)

#### GRAP 26: Impairment of cash-generating assets

Cash-generating assets are those assets held by an economic entity with the primary objective of generating a commercial return. When an asset is deployed in a manner consistent with that adopted by a profit-orientated entity, it generates a commercial return.

When the carrying amount of a cash-generating asset exceeds its recoverable amount, it is impaired.

An entity assesses at each reporting date whether there is any indication that a cash-generating asset may be impaired. If any such indication exists, an economic entity estimates the recoverable amount of the asset. When estimating the value in use of an asset, an economic entity estimates the future cash inflows and outflows to be derived from continuing use of the asset and from its ultimate disposal and an economic entity applies the appropriate discount rate to those future cash flows.

If the recoverable amount of a cash-generating asset is less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable amount. This reduction is an impairment loss. An impairment loss is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit. Any impairment loss of a revalued cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation decrease.

If there is any indication that an asset may be impaired, the recoverable amount is estimated for the individual asset. If it is not possible to estimate the recoverable amount of the individual asset, an economic entity determines the recoverable amount of the cash-generating unit to which the asset belongs (the asset's cash-generating unit).

If an active market exists for the output produced by an asset or group of assets, that asset or group of assets is identified as a cash-generating unit, even if some or all of the output is used internally. If the cash inflows generated by any asset or cash-generating unit are affected by internal transfer pricing, an entity use management's best estimate of future price(s) that could be achieved in arm's length transactions in estimating:

- the future cash inflows used to determine the asset's or cash-generating unit's value in use; and
- the future cash outflows used to determine the value in use of any other assets or cash-generating units that are affected by the internal transfer pricing.

Cash-generating units are identified consistently from period to period for the same asset or types of assets, unless a change is justified.

An impairment loss is recognised for a cash-generating unit if the recoverable amount of the unit is less than the carrying amount of the unit. The impairment is allocated to reduce the carrying amount of the cash-generating assets of the unit on a pro rata basis, based on the carrying amount of each asset in the unit. These reductions in carrying amounts are treated as impairment losses on individual assets.

Where a non-cash-generating asset contributes to a cash-generating unit, a proportion of the carrying amount of that non-cash-generating asset is allocated to the carrying amount of the cash-generating unit prior to estimation of the recoverable amount of the cash-generating unit.

An economic entity assess at each reporting date whether there is any indication that an impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a cash-generating asset may no longer exist or may have decreased. If any such indication exists, an economic entity estimates the recoverable amount of that asset.

A reversal of an impairment loss for a cash-generating asset is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit. Any reversal of an impairment loss of a revalued cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation increase.

This Standard has been approved by the Board but its effective date has not yet been determined by the Minister of Finance. The effective date indicated is a provisional date and could change depending on the decision of the Minister of Finance.

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after July 01, 2012.

It is unlikely that the standard will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### GRAP 25: Employee benefits

The objective of GRAP25 is to prescribe the accounting and disclosure for employee benefits. The Standard requires an economic entity to recognise:

- a liability when an employee has provided service in exchange for employee benefits to be paid in the future; and
- an expense when an economic entity consumes the economic benefits or service potential arising from service provided by an employee in exchange for employee benefits.

GRAP25 must be applied by an employer in accounting for all employee benefits, except share based payment transactions.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

---

### 3. NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS (continued)

GRAP25 defines, amongst others, the following:

- Employee benefits as all forms of consideration given by an economic entity in exchange for service rendered by employees;
- Defined contribution plans as post-employment benefit plans under which an economic entity pays fixed contributions into a separate entity (a fund) and will have no legal or constructive obligation to pay further contributions if the fund does not hold sufficient assets to pay all employee benefits relating to employee service in the current and prior periods;
- Defined benefit plans as post-employment benefit plans other than defined contribution plans;
- Multi-employer plans as defined contribution plans (other than state plans and composite social security programmes) or defined benefit plans (other than state plans) that:
  - pool the assets contributed by various entities that are not under common control; and
  - use those assets to provide benefits to employees of more than one entity, on the basis that contribution and benefit levels are determined without regard to the identity of the economic entity that employs the employees concerned;
- Other long-term employee benefits as employee benefits (other than post-employment benefits and termination benefits) that is not due to be settled within twelve months after the end of the period in which the employees render the related service;
- Post-employment benefits as employee benefits (other than termination benefits) which are payable after the completion of employment;
- Post-employment benefit plans as formal or informal arrangements under which an economic entity provides post-employment benefits for one or more employees;
- Short-term employee benefits as employee benefits (other than termination benefits) that are due to be settled within twelve months after the end of the period in which the employees render the related service;
- State plans as plans other than composite social security programmes established by legislation which operate as if they are multi-employer plans for all entities in economic categories laid down in legislation;
- Termination benefits as employee benefits payable as a result of either:
  - an entity's decision to terminate an employee's employment before the normal retirement date; or
  - an employee's decision to accept voluntary redundancy in exchange for those benefits;
- Vested employee benefits as employee benefits that are not conditional on future employment.

The standard states the recognition, measurement and disclosure requirements of:

- Short-term employee benefits;
  - All short-term employee benefits;
  - Short-term compensated absences;
  - Bonus, incentive and performance related payments;
- Post-employment benefits: Defined contribution plans;
- Other long-term employee benefits;
- Termination benefits.

The standard states Post-employment benefits: Distinction between defined contribution plans and defined benefit plans:

- Multi-employer plans;
- Defined benefit plans where the participating entities are under common control;
- State plans;
- Composite social security programmes;
- Insured benefits.

The standard states, for Post-employment benefits: Defined benefit plans, the following requirements:

- Recognition and measurement;
- Presentation;
- Disclosure;
- Accounting for the constructive obligation;
- Statement of financial position;
- Asset recognition ceiling;
- Asset recognition ceiling: When a minimum funding requirement may give rise to a liability;
- Statement of financial performance.

The standard prescribes recognition and measurement for:

- Present value of defined benefit obligations and current service cost:
  - Actuarial valuation method;
  - Attributing benefits to periods of service;
  - Actuarial assumptions;
  - Actuarial assumptions: Discount rate;
  - Actuarial assumptions: Salaries, benefits and medical costs;
  - Actuarial gains and losses;
  - Past service cost.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

---

### 3. NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS (continued)

- Plan assets:
  - Fair value of plan assets;
  - Reimbursements;
  - Return on plan assets.

The standard also deals with Entity combinations and Curtailments and settlements.

This Standard has been approved by the Board but its effective date has not yet been determined by the Minister of Finance. The effective date indicated is a provisional date and could change depending on the decision of the Minister of Finance.

The effective date of the standard has not yet been set.

It is unlikely that the standard will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### GRAP 104: Financial Instruments

The standard prescribes recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure requirements for financial instruments. Financial instruments are defined as those contracts that results in a financial asset in one economic entity and a financial liability or residual interest in another economic entity. A key distinguishing factor between financial assets and financial liabilities and other assets and liabilities, is that they are settled in cash or by exchanging financial instruments rather than through the provision of goods or services.

One of the key considerations in initially recognising financial instruments is the distinction, by the issuers of those instruments, between financial assets, financial liabilities and residual interests. Financial assets and financial liabilities are distinguished from residual interests because they involve a contractual right or obligation to receive or pay cash or another financial instrument. Residual interests entitle an economic entity to a portion of another economic entity's net assets in the event of liquidation and, to dividends or similar distributions paid at management's discretion.

In determining whether a financial instrument is a financial asset, financial liability or a residual interest, an economic entity considers the substance of the contract and not just the legal form.

Where a single instrument contains both a liability and a residual interest component, the issuer allocates the instrument into its component parts. The issuer recognises the liability component at its fair value and recognises the residual interest as the difference between the carrying amount of the instrument and the fair value of the liability component. No gain or loss is recognised by separating the instrument into its component parts.

Financial assets and financial liabilities are initially recognised at fair value. Where an economic entity subsequently measures financial assets and financial liabilities at amortised cost or cost, transactions costs are included in the cost of the asset or liability.

The transaction price usually equals the fair value at initial recognition, except in certain circumstances, for example, where interest free credit is granted or where credit is granted at a below market rate of interest.

Concessionary loans are loans either received by or granted to another economic entity on concessionary terms, e.g. at low interest rates and flexible repayment terms. On initial recognition, the fair value of a concessionary loan is the present value of the agreed contractual cash flows, discounted using a market related rate of interest for a similar transaction. The difference between the proceeds either received or paid and the present value of the contractual cash flows is accounted for as non-exchange revenue by the recipient of a concessionary loan in accordance with Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Non-exchange Revenue Transactions (Taxes and Transfers), and using the Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements (usually as an expense) by the grantor of the loan.

Financial assets and financial liabilities are subsequently measured either at fair value or, amortised cost or cost. An economic entity measures a financial instrument at fair value if it is:

- a derivative;
- a combined instrument designated at fair value, i.e. an instrument that includes a derivative and a non-derivative host contract;
- held-for-trading;
- a non-derivative instrument with fixed or determinable payments that is designated at initial recognition to be measured at fair value;
- an investment in a residual interest for which fair value can be measured reliably; and
- other instruments that do not meet the definition of financial instruments at amortised cost or cost.

Derivatives are measured at fair value. Combined instruments that include a derivative and non-derivative host contract are accounted for as follows:

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

---

### 3. NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS (continued)

- Where an embedded derivative is included in a host contract which is a financial instrument within the scope of this Standard, an entity can designate the entire contract to be measured at fair value or, it can account for the host contract and embedded derivative separately using GRAP 104. An economic entity is however required to measure the entire instrument at fair value if the fair value of the derivative cannot be measured reliably.
- Where the host contract is not a financial instrument within the scope of this Standard, the host contract and embedded derivative are accounted for separately using GRAP 104 and the relevant Standard of GRAP.

Financial assets and financial liabilities that are non-derivative instruments with fixed or determinable payments, for example deposits with banks, receivables and payables, are measured at amortised cost. At initial recognition, an economic entity can however designate such an instrument to be measured at fair value.

An economic entity can only measure investments in residual interests at cost where the fair value of the interest cannot be determined reliably.

Once an economic entity has classified a financial asset or a financial liability either at fair value or amortised cost or cost, it is only allowed to reclassify such instruments in limited instances.

An entity derecognises a financial asset, or the specifically identified cash flows of an asset, when:

- the cash flows from the asset expire, are settled or waived;
- significant risks and rewards are transferred to another party; or
- despite having retained significant risks and rewards, an economic entity has transferred control of the asset to another economic entity.

An economic entity derecognises a financial liability when the obligation is extinguished. Exchanges of debt instruments between a borrower and a lender are treated as the extinguishment of an existing liability and the recognition of a new financial liability. Where an economic entity modifies the term of an existing financial liability, it is also treated as the extinguishment of an existing liability and the recognition of a new liability.

An economic entity cannot offset financial assets and financial liabilities in the statement of financial position unless a legal right of set-off exists, and the parties intend to settle on a net basis.

GRAP 104 requires extensive disclosures on the significance of financial instruments for an economic entity's statement of financial position and statement of financial performance, as well as the nature and extent of the risks that an economic entity is exposed to as a result of its group annual financial statements. Some disclosures, for example the disclosure of fair values for instruments measured at amortised cost or cost and the preparation of a sensitivity analysis, are encouraged rather than required.

GRAP 104 does not prescribe principles for hedge accounting. An economic entity is permitted to apply hedge accounting, as long as the principles in IAS 39 are applied.

This Standard has been approved by the Board but its effective date has not yet been determined by the Minister of Finance. The effective date indicated is a provisional date and could change depending on the decision of the Minister of Finance.

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after July 01, 2012.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

### IGRAP 2: Changes in Existing Decommissioning, Restoration and Similar Liabilities

The interpretation applies to changes in the measurement of any existing decommissioning, restoration or similar liability that is both:

- recognised as part of the cost of an item of property, plant and equipment in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Property, Plant and Equipment (as revised in 2010); and
- recognised as a liability in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets (as revised in 2010).

The interpretation addresses how the effect of the following events that change the measurement of an existing decommissioning, restoration or similar liability should be accounted for:

- a change in the estimated outflow of resources embodying economic benefits (e.g. cash flows) or service potential required to settle the obligation;
- a change in the current market-based discount rate as defined in paragraph .52 of the Standard of GRAP on Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets (as revised in 2010) (this includes changes in the time value of money and the risks specific to the liability); and
- an increase that reflects the passage of time (also referred to as the unwinding of the discount).

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

---

### 3. NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS (continued)

The effective date of the interpretation is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **IGRAP 3: Determining Whether an Arrangement Contains a Lease**

This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP does not apply to arrangements that are, or contain, leases excluded from the scope of the Standard of GRAP on Leases (as revised in 2010).

The issues addressed in this Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP are:

- how to determine whether an arrangement is, or contains, a lease as defined in the Standard of GRAP on Leases (as revised in 2010);
- when the assessment or a reassessment of whether an arrangement is, or contains, a lease should be made; and
- if an arrangement is, or contains, a lease, how the payments for the lease should be separated from payments for any other elements in the arrangement.

The effective date of the interpretation is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **IGRAP 4: Rights to Interests Arising from Decommissioning, Restoration and Environmental Rehabilitation Funds**

This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP applies to accounting in the financial statements of a contributor for interests arising from decommissioning funds that have both of the following features:

The issues addressed in this Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP are:

- the assets are administered separately (either by being held in a separate legal entity or as segregated assets within another entity); and
- a contributor's right to access the assets is restricted.

A residual interest in a fund that extends beyond a right to reimbursement, such as a right to distributions once all the decommissioning has been completed or on winding up the fund, may be an equity instrument within the scope of the Standard of GRAP on Financial Instruments and is not within the scope of this Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP.

The issues addressed in this Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP are:

- how should a contributor account for its interest in a fund?
- when a contributor has an obligation to make additional contributions, for example, in the event of the liquidation of another contributor, how should that obligation be accounted for?

The effective date of the interpretation is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **IGRAP 5: Applying the Restatement Approach under the Standard of GRAP on Financial Reporting in Hyperinflationary Economies**

This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP provides guidance on how to apply the requirements of the Standard of GRAP on Financial Reporting in Hyperinflationary Economies (as revised in 2010) in a reporting period in which an entity identifies the existence of hyperinflation in the economy of its functional currency, when that economy was not hyperinflationary in the prior period, and the entity therefore restates its financial statements in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Financial Reporting in Hyperinflationary Economies (as revised in 2010).

The questions addressed in this Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP are:

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

---

### 3. NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS (continued)

- how should the requirement '... stated in terms of the measuring unit current at the reporting date' in paragraph .10 of the Standard of GRAP on Financial Reporting in Hyperinflationary Economies (as revised in 2010) be interpreted when an entity applies the Standard of GRAP?
- a contributor's right to access the assets is restricted.

The effective date of the interpretation is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

### IGRAP 6: Loyalty Programmes

This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP applies to customer loyalty award credits that:

- an entity grants to its customers as part of a transaction, i.e. a sale of goods, rendering of services, use by a customer of entity assets; and
- subject to meeting any further qualifying conditions, the customers can redeem in the future for free or discounted goods or services.

The Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP addresses accounting by the entity that grants award credits to its customers.

The issues addressed in this Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP are:

- whether the entity's obligation to provide free or discounted goods or services ('awards') in the future should be recognised and measured by
  - allocating some of the consideration received or receivable from the sales transaction to the award credits and deferring the recognition of revenue (applying the Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Exchange Transactions (as revised in 2010) and the Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Non-exchange Transactions (Taxes and Transfers); or
  - providing for the estimated future costs of supplying the awards; and
- if consideration is allocated to the award credits:
  - how much should be allocated to them;
  - when revenue should be recognised; and
  - if a third party supplies the awards, how revenue should be measured.

The effective date of the interpretation is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

### IGRAP 7: The Limit on a Defined Benefit Asset, Minimum Funding Requirements and their Interaction

This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP applies to all post-employment defined benefits and other long-term employee defined benefits.

For the purpose of this Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP, minimum funding requirements are any requirements to fund a post-employment or other long-term defined benefit plan.

The issues addressed in this Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP are:

- When refunds or reductions in future contributions should be regarded as available in accordance with paragraph .68 of the Standard of GRAP on Employee Benefits.
- How a minimum funding requirement might affect the availability of reductions in future contributions

The Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP addresses accounting by the entity that grants award credits to its customers.

The effective date of the interpretation is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

### IGRAP 8: Agreements for the Construction of Assets from Exchange Transactions



# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

---

### 3. NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS (continued)

This Interpretation applies to the accounting for revenue and associated expenses by entities that undertake the construction of assets in exchange transactions directly or through subcontractors. The construction of assets entered into by entities where funding to support the construction activity will be provided by an appropriation or similar allocation of general government revenue or by aid or grant funds are excluded from the scope of this Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP.

Agreements in the scope of this Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP are agreements for the construction of assets in exchange transactions. In addition to the construction of assets in exchange transactions, such agreements may include the delivery of other goods or services.

The Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP addresses two issues:

- Is the agreement within the scope of the Standard of GRAP on Construction Contracts (as revised in 2010) or the Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Exchange Transactions (as revised in 2010)?
- When should revenue from the construction of assets in exchange transactions be recognised?

The effective date of the interpretation is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### IGRAP 9: Distributions of Non-cash Assets to Owners

This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP applies to the following types of non-reciprocal distributions of assets by an entity to its owners acting in their capacity as owners:

- distributions of non-cash assets (e.g. items of property, plant and equipment, entity combinations as defined in the Standard of GRAP on Entity Combinations, ownership interests in another entity or disposal groups as defined in the Standard of GRAP on Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations (as revised in 2010)); and
- distributions that give owners a choice of receiving either non-cash assets or a cash alternative.

This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP applies only to distributions in which all owners of the same class of residual interests are treated equally.

This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP does not apply to a distribution of a non-cash asset that is ultimately controlled by the same party or parties before and after the distribution. This exclusion applies to the separate, individual and consolidated financial statements of an entity that makes the distribution.

This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP does not apply when the non-cash asset is ultimately controlled by the same parties both before and after the distribution. The Standard of GRAP on Entity Combinations states that 'A group of individuals shall be regarded as controlling an entity when, as a result of binding arrangements, they collectively have the power to govern its financial and operating policies so as to obtain benefits from its activities.' Therefore, for a distribution to be outside the scope of this Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP on the basis that the same parties control the asset both before and after the distribution, a group of individual owners receiving the distribution must have, as a result of binding arrangements, such ultimate collective power over the entity making the distribution.

This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP does not apply when an entity distributes some of its ownership interests in a controlled entity but retains control of the controlled entity. The entity making a distribution that results in the entity recognising a minority interest in its controlled entity accounts for the distribution in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements.

This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP addresses only the accounting by an entity that makes a non-cash asset distribution. It does not address the accounting by owners who receive such a distribution.

When an entity declares a dividend or similar distribution and has an obligation to distribute the assets concerned to its owners, it must recognise a liability for the dividend or similar distribution payable. Consequently, this Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP addresses the following issues:

- When should the entity recognise the dividend or similar distribution payable?
- How should an entity measure the dividend or similar distribution payable?
- When an entity settles the dividend or similar distribution payable, how should it account for any difference between the carrying amount of the assets distributed and the carrying amount of the dividend or similar distribution payable?

The effective date of the interpretation is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

---

### 3. NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS (continued)

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **IGRAP 10: Assets Received from Customers**

This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP applies to the accounting for the receipt of items of property, plant and equipment by entities that receive such assets from their customers.

Agreements within the scope of this Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP are those in which an entity receives from a customer an item of property, plant and equipment that the entity must then use either to connect the customer to a network or to provide the customer with ongoing access to a supply of goods or services, or to do both.

This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP also applies to agreements in which an entity receives cash from a customer when that amount of cash must be used only to construct or acquire an item of property, plant and equipment and the entity must then use the item of property, plant and equipment either to connect the customer to a network or to provide the customer with ongoing access to a supply of goods or services, or to do both.

This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP does not apply to agreements in which the receipt occurs as part of a non-exchange transaction as defined in the Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Non-exchange Transactions (Taxes and Transfers), or infrastructure used in a public-private partnership agreement (see the Guideline on Accounting for Public-private Partnerships), or assets received in a transfer of functions.

The Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP addresses the following issues:

- Is the definition of an asset met?
- If the definition of an asset is met, how should the received item of property, plant and equipment be measured on initial recognition?
- If the item of property, plant and equipment is measured at fair value on initial recognition, how should the resulting credit be accounted for?
- How should the entity account for a receipt of cash from its customer?

The effective date of the interpretation is for years beginning on or after ) 01 July 2011.

The impact of this amendment is currently being assessed.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **IGRAP 13: Operating Leases – Incentives**

In negotiating a new or renewed operating lease, the lessor may provide incentives for the lessee to enter into the agreement. Examples of such incentives are an up-front cash payment to the lessee or the reimbursement or assumption by the lessor of costs of the lessee (such as relocation costs, leasehold improvements and costs associated with a pre-existing lease commitment of the lessee). Alternatively, initial periods of the lease term may be agreed to be rent free or at a reduced rent.

The issue is how incentives in an operating lease should be recognised in the financial statements of both the lessee and the lessor.

The effective date of the interpretation is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **IGRAP 14: Evaluating the Substance of Transactions Involving the Legal Form of a Lease**

An entity may enter into a transaction or a series of structured transactions (an arrangement) with an unrelated party or parties (an investor) that involves the legal form of a lease. For example, an entity may lease assets to an investor and lease the same assets back, or alternatively, legally sell assets and lease the same assets back. The form of each arrangement and its terms and conditions can vary significantly. In the lease and leaseback example, it may be that the arrangement is designed to achieve a tax advantage for the investor that is shared with the entity in the form of a fee, and not to convey the right to use an asset.

When an arrangement with an investor involves the legal form of a lease, the issues are:

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

---

### 3. NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS (continued)

- how to determine whether a series of transactions is linked and should be accounted for as one transaction;
- whether the arrangement meets the definition of a lease under the Standard of GRAP on Leases (as revised in 2010); and, if not,
  - whether a separate investment account and lease payment obligations that might exist represent assets and liabilities of the entity;
  - how the entity should account for other obligations resulting from the arrangement; and
  - how the entity should account for a fee it might receive from an investor.

The effective date of the interpretation is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

### **IGRAP 15: Revenue – Barter Transactions Involving Advertising Services**

An entity (seller) may enter into a barter transaction to provide advertising services in exchange for receiving other services from its customer (customer). Advertisements may be displayed on the Internet or poster sites, broadcast on the television or radio, published in magazines or journals, or presented in another medium. An example could be where a municipality offers advertising services to local businesses in its community newsletters in exchange for repairs and maintenance services provided by those businesses. These repair and maintenance services may, for example, take the form of repairing and maintaining office buildings or motor vehicles owned by the municipality.

In some cases, no cash or other consideration is exchanged between the entities. In some other cases, equal or approximately equal amounts of cash or other consideration are also exchanged.

A seller that provides advertising services in the course of its ordinary activities recognises revenue under the Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Exchange Transactions (as revised in 2010) from a barter transaction involving advertising when, amongst other criteria, the services exchanged are dissimilar in terms of paragraph .18 in the Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Exchange Transactions (as revised in 2010) and the amount of revenue can be measured reliably in terms of paragraph .20(a) in the Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Exchange Transactions (as revised in 2010). This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP only applies to an exchange of dissimilar services. An exchange of similar advertising services is not a transaction that generates revenue under the Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Exchange Transactions (as revised in 2010).

The issue is under what circumstances can a seller reliably measure revenue at the fair value of advertising services received or provided in a barter transaction.

The effective date of the interpretation is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

### **GRAP 1 (as revised 2010): Presentation of Financial Statements**

The revision resulted in various terminology and definition changes.

Additional commentary has been added, describing the purpose of financial statements in the public sector.

Commentary has been added to explain that where legislation requires a departure from a particular Standard of GRAP and that departure is material, entities cannot claim compliance with the Standards of GRAP.

Additional disclosure requirements have been added regarding the following areas: assets and liabilities included in disposal groups classified as held for sale, biological assets, deferred tax assets (liabilities), tax expense, post-tax surplus or deficit and the use of transitions provision in the accounting policy.

All amendments to be applied retrospectively.

The effective date of the amendment is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

---

### 3. NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS (continued)

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### GRAP 2 (as revised 2010): Cash Flow Statements

The revision resulted in various terminology and definition changes.

Operating cash flows:

- Where an entity is in the business of renting and subsequently selling the same assets, these cash flows should be regarded as operating rather than investing cash flows.

Investing cash flows:

- Only expenditures incurred on a recognised asset qualify to be classified as investing activities in the cash flow statement.

Acquisitions and disposals of controlled entities and other operating units:

- Guidance relating to acquisitions and disposals of entities, particularly those on another basis of accounting, has been deleted.

Disclosure of undrawn borrowing facilities, restricted cash balances and the operating, investing and financing cash flows of jointly controlled entities accounted for using the proportionate consolidation method, now encouraged rather than required.

The effective date of the amendment is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### GRAP 3 (as revised 2010): Accounting policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors

The revision resulted in various terminology and definition changes.

Paragraphs added to Changes in accounting policies

- A change from one basis of accounting to another basis of accounting is a change in accounting policy.
- A change in the accounting treatment, recognition or measurement of a transaction, event or condition within a basis of accounting is regarded as a change in accounting policy.

Selection of accounting policies

- The reference to the Accounting Practices Committee (APC) of SAICA has been deleted from paragraph .11 on the basis that it is not a standard setter and that entities would consider information from a wide range of sources in formulating an accounting policy and not just the pronouncements of the APC.
- Commentary on the selection of benchmark and alternative accounting policies has been deleted.

The effective date of the amendment is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### GRAP 4 (as revised 2010): The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates

Terminology changes:

Where reference has been made to the net realisable values of inventories, current replacement cost has also been included to allow for the appropriate valuation of inventories where they are distributed as part of a non-exchange transaction. Reference to 'trade' receivables has been amended to 'receivables'.

Monetary items:

Paragraph .15 clarifies that child support grants are 'payables', and not just 'obligations' in terms of the current requirements of the Standard of GRAP on Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets (GRAP 19).

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

---

### 3. NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS (continued)

All amendments to be applied retrospectively.

The effective date of the amendment is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **GRAP 9 (as revised 2010): Revenue from Exchange Transactions**

The revision resulted in various terminology and definition changes.

Dividends or similar distributions declared from pre-acquisition surpluses:

Paragraph .36 has been amended to encompass not only securities, but any contributed capital.

Various amendments, deletions and additions to examples included in the appendix.

All amendments to be applied retrospectively

The effective date of the amendment is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **GRAP 10 (as revised 2010): Financial Reporting in Hyperinflationary Economies**

Certain terminology changes:

- The reference to 'current cost' in paragraph .30 has been deleted.
- Where reference has been made to 'net realisable value', 'current replacement cost' has been added.

Net monetary position:

References to 'surplus' or 'deficit' have been changed, throughout the document, to 'gain' or 'loss'.

Interpretations:

Text included in this Standard of GRAP from IFRIC Interpretation 7 on Applying the Restatement Approach under IAS 29 Financial Reporting in Hyperinflationary Economies has been deleted.

The effective date of the amendment is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **GRAP 11 (as revised 2010): Construction Contracts**

The revision resulted in certain terminology changes:

Other amendments:

- An example has been added to clarify when an entity acts as a contractor in a construction contract arrangement.
- The example in paragraph .11 has been deleted as it is inappropriate for the South African public sector.
- The explanatory text relating to 'contractors' has been amended to clarify that an entity can be a contractor if it performs construction related activities itself or through subcontractors.

All amendments to be applied retrospectively

The effective date of the amendment is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

---

### 3. NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS (continued)

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **GRAP 12 (as revised 2010): Inventories**

The revision resulted in various terminology and definition changes.

Cost formulas:

Paragraph .34 was amended and .35 was added to separate the principle from the exception when applying the cost formula for inventories with a similar nature and use to the entity.

Recognition as an expense:

Where reference has been made to 'net realisable value', 'current replacement cost' has been added.

Fair value measurement:

The appendix on how to determine fair value has been deleted.

All amendments to be applied retrospectively

The effective date of the amendment is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **GRAP 13 (as revised 2010): Leases**

The revision resulted in various terminology and definition changes.

Scope:

Paragraph .04 has been included to clarify that this Standard does not apply to lease agreements to explore for or use natural resources such as oil, gas, timber, metals and other mineral rights and licensing agreements for such items as motion picture films, video recordings, plays, manuscripts, patents and copyrights.

Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations:

Paragraph .51 has been added to clarify that finance lease assets classified as held for sale in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations shall be accounted for in accordance with that Standard.

Guidance on accounting for finance leases by lessors:

The paragraph (previously paragraph .53) that provided guidance on the recognition of assets where entities enter into arrangements with private sector entities has been deleted as the Guideline on Accounting for Public Private Partnerships supersedes this guidance.

Guidance on operating lease incentives and substance over legal form:

The guidance included in the original text on substance over legal form has been deleted.

Classification of leases on land and buildings elements:

The guidance on the classification of land and buildings has been amended to ensure that the element of the lease relating to the land is classified as a finance lease where significant risks and rewards have been transferred, despite there being no transfer of title, consistent with the general classification guidance.

All amendments to be applied retrospectively

The effective date of the amendment is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **GRAP 14 (as revised 2010): Events After the Reporting Date**

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

---

### 3. NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS (continued)

Existence of a liability for dividends or similar distributions:

Paragraph .13 of GRAP 14 was amended to clarify that no liability exists at the reporting date for dividends or similar distributions declared after the reporting date.

The effective date of the amendment is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **GRAP 19 (as revised 2010): Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets**

The revision resulted in certain terminology changes.

Social benefits:

Paragraphs .08 and .16(a) clarify that social benefits due at year end are 'payables', as the amounts due are certain in terms of legislation.

Binding agreements for restructurings:

Paragraph .87 has been amended to clarify that restructurings may take place in the public sector in terms of directives, legislation or other means. These alternative means are enforceable and may give rise to an obligation.

Interpretations:

In developing the Standard initially, the Board included relevant text from any Interpretation that had been issued by the International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee (IFRIC) relating to provisions, contingent liabilities and contingent assets. The Board included selected text from IFRIC 1 on Changes in Decommissioning, Restoration and Similar Liabilities and IFRIC 5 Rights to Interests Arising from Decommissioning, Restoration and Environmental Rehabilitation Funds in line with the Board's decisions. The Board concluded at its May 2008 meeting that it would issue any Interpretations as separate documents rather than dispersing the text of the Interpretations across various Standards. As a result, paragraphs .37 to .43, .74 to .80, and Appendix F of the previous version of GRAP 19, have been deleted.

All amendments to be applied retrospectively.

The effective date of the amendment is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **GRAP 100 (as revised 2010): Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations**

The revision resulted in various terminology and definition changes.

Scope:

Paragraph .07 has been added to clarify the application of other Standards of GRAP to assets classified as non-current assets (or disposal groups) held for sale.

Plan to sell the controlling interest in a controlled entity:

- The Standard has been amended to clarify that an entity that is committed to a sales plan involving loss of control in a controlled entity shall classify all the assets and liabilities of that controlled entity as held for sale when the required criteria are met.
- The Standard has been amended to clarify that an entity that is committed to a sales plan involving loss of control of a controlled entity shall disclose the information required when the controlled entity is a disposal group that meets the definition of a discontinued operation.

Examples included in Appendix:

An additional example has been included regarding sale expected to be completed within one year.

Amendments to be applied as follow:

Paragraphs .13 and .42 were added by the Improvements to GRAP. An entity shall apply those amendments prospectively for annual periods beginning on or after 01 July 2011. If an entity elects to apply the amendments earlier, it shall disclose this fact.

- Any other amendments to the Standards of GRAP shall be applied retrospectively.

The effective date of the amendment is for years beginning on or after 01 July 2011.

---

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

---

### 3. NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS (continued)

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **GRAP 105: Transfers of functions between entities under common control**

The objective of this Standard is to establish accounting principles for the acquirer and transferor in a transfer of functions between entities under common control. It requires an acquirer and a transferor that prepares and presents financial statements under the accrual basis of accounting to apply this Standard to a transaction or event that meets the definition of a transfer of functions. It includes a diagram and requires that entities consider the diagram in determining whether this Standard should be applied in accounting for a transaction or event that involves a transfer of functions or merger.

It furthermore covers Definitions, Identifying the acquirer and transferor, Determining the transfer date, Assets acquired or transferred and liabilities assumed or relinquished, Accounting by the acquirer and transferor, Disclosure, Transitional provisions as well as the Effective date of the standard.

The effective date of the standard has not yet been set.

The impact of this amendment is currently being assessed.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **GRAP 106: Transfers of functions between entities not under common control**

The objective of this Standard is to establish accounting principles for the acquirer in a transfer of functions between entities not under common control. It requires an entity that prepares and presents financial statements under the accrual basis of accounting to apply this Standard to a transaction or other event that meets the definition of a transfer of functions. It includes a diagram and requires that entities consider the diagram in determining whether this Standard should be applied in accounting for a transaction or event that involves a transfer of functions or merger.

It furthermore covers Definitions, Identifying a transfer of functions between entities not under common control, The acquisition method, Recognising and measuring the difference between the assets acquired and liabilities assumed and the consideration transferred, Measurement period, Determining what is part of a transfer of functions, Subsequent measurement and accounting, Disclosure, Transitional provisions as well as the Effective date of the standard.

The effective date of the standard has not yet been set.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.

#### **GRAP 107: Mergers**

The objective of this Standard is to establish accounting principles for the acquirer in a transfer of functions between entities not under common control. It requires an entity that prepares and presents financial statements under the accrual basis of accounting to apply this Standard to a transaction or other event that meets the definition of a transfer of functions. It includes a diagram and requires that entities consider the diagram in determining whether this Standard should be applied in accounting for a transaction or event that involves a transfer of functions or merger.

It furthermore covers Definitions, Identifying a transfer of functions between entities not under common control, The acquisition method, Recognising and measuring the difference between the assets acquired and liabilities assumed and the consideration transferred, Measurement period, Determining what is part of a transfer of functions, Subsequent measurement and accounting, Disclosure, Transitional provisions as well as the Effective date of the standard.

The effective date of the standard has not yet been set.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's group annual financial statements.

The municipality does not envisage the adoption of the standard / interpretation until such time as it becomes applicable to the municipality's operations.



# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 4. INVESTMENT PROPERTY

Economic entity	2011			2010		
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value
Investment property	179,173,278	(11,773,907)	167,399,371	159,018,200	(10,207,790)	148,810,410

Controlling entity	2011			2010		
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value
Investment property	126,872,935	(593,732)	126,279,203	106,717,857	-	106,717,857

#### Reconciliation of investment property - Economic entity - 2011

	Opening balance	Disposals	Transfers	Depreciation	Total
Investment property	148,810,410	(54,000)	20,209,078	(1,566,117)	167,399,371

#### Reconciliation of investment property - Economic entity - 2010

	Opening balance	Depreciation	Total
Investment property	149,782,796	(972,386)	148,810,410

#### Reconciliation of investment property - Controlling entity - 2011

	Opening balance	Disposals	Transfers	Depreciation	Total
Investment property	106,717,857	(54,000)	20,209,078	(593,732)	126,279,203

#### Reconciliation of investment property - Controlling entity - 2010

	Opening balance	Total
Investment property	106,717,857	106,717,857

#### Pledged as security

Carrying value of assets pledged as security:

Property in West Germiston	16,468,364	16,859,337	-	-
Airport Park and Delville Flats	24,651,804	25,233,216	-	-

A loan from NHFC is secured first continuous covering mortgage over the consolidated property in West Germiston.

A loan from NHFC is secured by a first continuous covering mortgage over the consolidated property of Airport Park and Delville Flats.

#### Details of property

The municipality has, during the 2010-2011 financial year, elected to early apply the revised Standard of GRAP on Investment Property (GRAP 16), which is only effective for financial periods beginning on or after 1 April 2011

A register containing the information required by section 63 of the Municipal Finance Management Act is available for inspection at the registered office of the municipality and its controlled entities.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand

### 5. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (PPE)

Economic entity	2011			2010		
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value
Land	1,539,890,851	(33,246,595)	1,506,644,256	1,534,787,272	(29,454,206)	1,505,333,066
Infrastructure	41,722,156,176	(5,185,766,326)	36,536,389,850	40,448,064,305	(3,499,284,335)	36,948,779,970
Community	3,825,122,464	(529,984,422)	3,295,138,042	3,451,571,635	(357,852,699)	3,093,718,936
Other property, plant and equipment	6,762,187,011	(1,191,897,672)	5,570,289,339	6,503,099,226	(920,011,713)	5,583,087,513
Heritage	78,395,185	-	78,395,185	78,395,185	-	78,395,185
<b>Total</b>	<b>53,927,751,687</b>	<b>(6,940,895,015)</b>	<b>46,986,856,672</b>	<b>52,015,917,623</b>	<b>(4,806,602,953)</b>	<b>47,209,314,670</b>

Controlling entity	2011			2010		
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value
Land	1,229,565,565	-	1,229,565,565	1,224,101,666	-	1,224,101,666
Infrastructure	41,097,201,929	(4,997,409,895)	36,099,792,034	39,842,254,988	(3,329,838,515)	36,512,416,473
Community	3,825,122,464	(529,984,422)	3,295,138,042	3,451,571,635	(357,852,699)	3,093,718,936
Other property, plant and equipment	6,690,926,394	(1,154,075,120)	5,536,851,274	6,434,138,085	(887,336,107)	5,546,801,978
Heritage	78,395,185	-	78,395,185	78,395,185	-	78,395,185
<b>Total</b>	<b>52,921,211,537</b>	<b>(6,681,469,437)</b>	<b>46,239,742,100</b>	<b>51,030,461,559</b>	<b>(4,575,027,321)</b>	<b>46,455,434,238</b>

### Reconciliation of property, plant and equipment (ppe) - Economic entity - 2011

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand

### 5. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (PPE) (continued)

	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Other changes, movements	Depreciation	Impairment loss	Impairment reversal	Total
Land and buildings	1,505,333,066	14,907,399	(2,210,209)	(7,473,000)	-	(3,887,629)	(25,371)	-	1,506,644,256
Infrastructure	36,948,779,970	1,271,107,791	(84,272)	27,043,843	(320,203)	(1,687,215,780)	(23,023,197)	101,698	36,536,389,850
Community	3,093,718,936	379,981,152	-	9,021,659	(15,451,982)	(172,131,723)	-	-	3,295,138,042
Other property, plant and equipment	5,583,087,513	295,398,776	(22,859,732)	(9,021,659)	(296,629)	(275,856,781)	(382,094)	219,945	5,570,289,339
Heritage	78,395,185	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	78,395,185
	<b>47,209,314,670</b>	<b>1,961,395,118</b>	<b>(25,154,213)</b>	<b>19,570,843</b>	<b>(16,068,814)</b>	<b>(2,139,091,913)</b>	<b>(23,430,662)</b>	<b>321,643</b>	<b>46,986,856,672</b>

### Reconciliation of property, plant and equipment (ppe) - Economic entity - 2010

	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Other changes, movements	Depreciation	Impairment loss	Total
Land	1,505,764,989	7,292,600	(3,935,900)	-	(3,788,623)	-	1,505,333,066
Infrastructure	37,259,632,773	1,370,876,625	(770,705)	-	(1,680,620,008)	(338,715)	36,948,779,970
Community	3,111,994,401	247,250,117	-	(92,853,603)	(172,671,979)	-	3,093,718,936
Other property, plant and equipment	5,486,265,826	349,648,213	(315,563)	-	(252,491,819)	(19,144)	5,583,087,513
Heritage	78,395,185	-	-	-	-	-	78,395,185
	<b>47,442,053,174</b>	<b>1,975,067,555</b>	<b>(5,022,168)</b>	<b>(92,853,603)</b>	<b>(2,109,572,429)</b>	<b>(357,859)</b>	<b>47,209,314,670</b>

### Reconciliation of property, plant and equipment (ppe) - Controlling entity - 2011

	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Other changes, movements	Depreciation	Impairment reversal	Total
Land	1,224,101,666	14,907,399	(1,970,500)	(7,473,000)	-	-	-	1,229,565,565
Infrastructure	36,512,416,473	1,228,226,121	-	27,043,843	(320,202)	(1,667,675,899)	101,698	36,099,792,034
Community	3,093,718,936	379,981,152	-	9,021,659	(15,451,982)	(172,131,723)	-	3,295,138,042
Other property, plant and equipment	5,546,801,978	290,569,228	(22,430,377)	(9,021,659)	(296,074)	(268,771,822)	-	5,536,851,274
Heritage	78,395,185	-	-	-	-	-	-	78,395,185
	<b>46,455,434,238</b>	<b>1,913,683,900</b>	<b>(24,400,877)</b>	<b>19,570,843</b>	<b>(16,068,258)</b>	<b>(2,108,579,444)</b>	<b>101,698</b>	<b>46,239,742,100</b>

### Reconciliation of property, plant and equipment (ppe) - Controlling entity - 2010

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand

### 5. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (PPE) (continued)

	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Other changes, movements	Depreciation	Impairment loss	Total
Land	1,223,471,538	4,566,029	(3,935,901)	-	-	-	1,224,101,666
Infrastructure	36,828,307,822	1,346,047,799	(770,705)	-	(1,660,837,488)	(330,955)	36,512,416,473
Community	3,111,994,401	247,250,117	-	(92,853,603)	(172,671,979)	-	3,093,718,936
Other property, plant and equipment	5,464,341,264	329,639,487	(155,508)	-	(247,023,265)	-	5,546,801,978
Heritage	78,395,185	-	-	-	-	-	78,395,185
	<b>46,706,510,210</b>	<b>1,927,503,432</b>	<b>(4,862,114)</b>	<b>(92,853,603)</b>	<b>(2,080,532,732)</b>	<b>(330,955)</b>	<b>46,455,434,238</b>

### Borrowing costs capitalised

Infrastructure	44,342,373	5,723,674	44,342,373	5,723,674
Community	9,383,669	178,965	9,383,669	178,965
Other property, plant and equipment	3,969,028	268,827	3,969,028	268,827
	<b>57,695,070</b>	<b>6,171,466</b>	<b>57,695,070</b>	<b>6,171,466</b>

Capitalisation rates used during the year were 10.68%, 10.56% 10.72% respectively (2010 - 11%), depending on the finance source or external loan facility.

### Other information

Fully depreciated property, plant and equipment still in use	664,430,859	359,711,374	656,503,332	354,868,554
--	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

### Work-in-progress reconciliation of the controlling entity

Included in the cost price is the following work-in-progress projects:

### Work-in-progress

Opening balance	1,813,525,882	780,886,890	1,813,525,882	780,886,890
Capital expenditure towards work-in-progress	1,303,429,668	1,231,074,481	1,303,429,668	1,231,074,481
Transferred to completed projects	(922,684,772)	(198,435,489)	(922,684,772)	(198,435,489)
	<b>2,194,270,778</b>	<b>1,813,525,882</b>	<b>2,194,270,778</b>	<b>1,813,525,882</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 5. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (PPE) (continued)

The municipality has, during the 2010-2011 financial year, elected to early apply the revised Standard of GRAP on property, plant and equipment (GRAP 17), which is only effective for financial periods beginning on or after 1 April 2011

ERWAT's Grootvlei Biosure plant (Cost 2011: 22,996,855 & 2010: R22 996 855) is not currently operational as the Grootvlei Mine was liquidated. The contract between ERWAT and the new owners of the mine (AURORA mining) could not be renewed due to the financial difficulties the new owners are experiencing. The probability is that the plant can be used in the near future as there will be development in the area where the plant is situated.

A register containing the information required by section 63 of the Municipal Finance Management Act is available for inspection at the registered office of the municipality and its controlled entities.

### 6. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Economic entity	2011			2010		
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated amortisation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated amortisation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value
Computer software	43,324,045	(10,212,546)	33,111,499	30,930,121	(4,868,718)	26,061,403

Controlling entity	2011			2010		
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated amortisation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated amortisation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value
Computer software	40,233,712	(8,837,419)	31,396,293	27,864,612	(3,547,768)	24,316,844

#### Reconciliation of intangible assets - Economic entity - 2011

	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Amortisation	Impairment reversal	Total
Computer software	26,061,403	12,610,749	(116,100)	(5,551,727)	107,174	33,111,499

#### Reconciliation of intangible assets - Economic entity - 2010

	Opening balance	Additions	Amortisation	Total
Computer software	16,517,700	11,294,402	(1,750,699)	26,061,403

#### Reconciliation of intangible assets - Controlling entity - 2011

	Opening balance	Additions	Amortisation	Total
Computer software	24,316,844	12,369,099	(5,289,650)	31,396,293

#### Reconciliation of intangible assets - Controlling entity - 2010

	Opening balance	Additions	Amortisation	Total
Computer software	15,904,858	9,788,613	(1,376,627)	24,316,844

### Work-in-progress

#### Reconciliation of work-in-progress of the controlling entity

Included in additions is the following amounts relating to software still in development:

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>6. INTANGIBLE ASSETS (continued)</b>				
<b>Work-in-progress</b>				
Opening balance	18,471,873	11,644,258	18,471,873	11,644,258
Software development incurred during the year	9,572,314	6,827,615	9,572,314	6,827,615
Work in progress cleared during the year	(17,145,312)	-	(17,145,312)	-
	<b>10,898,875</b>	<b>18,471,873</b>	<b>10,898,875</b>	<b>18,471,873</b>

## 7. INVESTMENTS IN CONTROLLED ENTITIES

Name of company	% holding 2011	% holding 2010	Carrying amount 2011	Carrying amount 2010
Brakpan Bus Company	100.00 %	100.00 %	6	6
Ekurhuleni Development Company	100.00 %	100.00 %	100	100
East Rand Water Care Company	97.00 %	97.00 %	-	-
Pharoe Park Housing Company	93.46 %	93.46 %	100	100
Germiston Phase II Housing Company	92.59 %	92.59 %	100	100
Lethabong Housing Institute	100.00 %	100.00 %	-	-
			<b>306</b>	<b>306</b>

## 8. OTHER INVESTMENTS

<b>Available-for-sale</b>				
Unlisted shares	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000
Old Mutual and Sanlam	4,110,861	3,324,775	-	-
	<b>8,110,861</b>	<b>7,324,775</b>	<b>4,000,000</b>	<b>4,000,000</b>
<b>Held to maturity</b>				
Investments	246,895,311	326,976,342	246,895,311	326,976,342
<b>Total other financial assets</b>	<b>255,006,172</b>	<b>334,301,117</b>	<b>250,895,311</b>	<b>330,976,342</b>
<b>Non-current assets</b>				
Available-for-sale	4,000,000	7,324,775	4,000,000	4,000,000
Held to maturity	226,895,311	80,670,381	226,895,311	80,670,381
	<b>230,895,311</b>	<b>87,995,156</b>	<b>230,895,311</b>	<b>84,670,381</b>
<b>Current assets</b>				
Available-for-sale	4,110,861	-	-	-
Held to maturity	20,000,000	246,305,961	20,000,000	246,305,961
	<b>24,110,861</b>	<b>246,305,961</b>	<b>20,000,000</b>	<b>246,305,961</b>
	<b>255,006,172</b>	<b>334,301,117</b>	<b>250,895,311</b>	<b>330,976,342</b>

### Available-for-sale equity investments not at fair value

Fair value information has not been provided for equity instruments that do not have a quoted market price and for which a fair value cannot be measured reliably.

The carrying amount of these financial instruments is as follows:

Rand Airport 20% interest in ordinary shares	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000
---	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------

The Company's Equity amounted to R535,728,513 (2010 - R535,737,521) represented by Share Capital of R5,201,000 (2010 - R5,201,000), Reserves of R165,755,503 (2010 - R165,755,503) as well as Retained Income of R364,772,010 (2010 - R364,781,018) as at 28 February 2011.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 8. OTHER INVESTMENTS (continued)

The economic entity has not reclassified any financial assets from cost or amortised cost to fair value during the current or prior year.

There were no gains or losses realised on the disposal of held to maturity financial assets in 2011 and 2010, as all the financial assets were disposed of at their redemption date.

Investments with a carrying value of R224,185,159 (2010 - R 301,501,285) is encumbered in respect of long term liabilities as disclosed in the long-term liabilities note..

#### Credit quality of other financial assets

The credit quality of financial assets that are neither past due nor impaired can be assessed by reference to external credit ratings (if available) or to historical information about counterparty default rates.

### 9. FINANCIAL ASSETS BY CATEGORY

The accounting policies for financial instruments have been applied to the line items below:

#### Economic entity - 2011

	Loans and receivables	Held to maturity investments	Available-for-sale	Total
Other investments	-	246,895,311	-	246,895,311
Long term receivables	2,332,670	-	-	2,332,670
Consumer debtors	1,780,586,993	-	-	1,780,586,993
Other receivables	376,597,244	-	-	376,597,244
Cash and cash equivalents	-	-	1,431,945,964	1,431,945,964
Unlisted shares	-	-	4,000,000	4,000,000
Unit Trusts and Demutualisation shares	-	-	4,110,861	4,110,861
	<b>2,159,516,907</b>	<b>246,895,311</b>	<b>1,440,056,825</b>	<b>3,846,469,043</b>

#### Economic entity - 2010

	Loans and receivables	Held to maturity investments	Available-for-sale	Total
Other investments	-	326,976,342	-	326,976,342
Long term receivables	27,834,344	-	-	27,834,344
Consumer debtors	1,485,072,121	-	-	1,485,072,121
Other receivables	269,976,998	-	-	269,976,998
Cash and cash equivalents	-	-	704,129,378	704,129,378
Unlisted shares	-	-	4,000,000	4,000,000
Unit Trusts and Demutualisation shares	-	-	3,324,775	3,324,775
	<b>1,782,883,463</b>	<b>326,976,342</b>	<b>711,454,153</b>	<b>2,821,313,958</b>

#### Controlling entity - 2011

	Loans and receivables	Held to maturity investments	Available-for-sale	Total
Other investments	-	246,895,311	-	246,895,311
Long term receivables	2,332,670	-	-	2,332,670
Consumer debtors	1,780,586,993	-	-	1,780,586,993
Other receivables	374,879,991	-	-	374,879,991
Cash and cash equivalents	-	-	1,338,863,442	1,338,863,442
Unlisted shares	-	-	4,000,000	4,000,000
	<b>2,157,799,654</b>	<b>246,895,311</b>	<b>1,342,863,442</b>	<b>3,747,558,407</b>

#### Controlling entity - 2010

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 9. FINANCIAL ASSETS BY CATEGORY (continued)

	Loans and receivables	Held to maturity investments	Available-for-sale	Total
Other investments	-	326,976,342	-	326,976,342
Long term receivables	27,834,344	-	-	27,834,344
Consumer debtors	1,485,072,121	-	-	1,485,072,121
Other receivables	270,657,123	-	-	270,657,123
Cash and cash equivalents	-	-	664,625,409	664,625,409
Unlisted shares	-	-	4,000,000	4,000,000
	<b>1,783,563,588</b>	<b>326,976,342</b>	<b>668,625,409</b>	<b>2,779,165,339</b>

### 10. DEFERRED TAX

#### Deferred tax asset and liability

Other	561,879	22,450	-	-
Provision for bonuses	(476,157)	241,960	-	-
	<b>85,722</b>	<b>264,410</b>	-	-

#### Reconciliation of net deferred tax asset (liability)

At beginning of the year	264,410	228,737	-	-
Movement in provisions	(178,688)	35,673	-	-
	<b>85,722</b>	<b>264,410</b>	-	-

### 11. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT OBLIGATIONS

#### Retirement Funds

The economic entity provides retirement benefits for its employees and councillors. The contributions to fund obligations for the payment of retirement benefits are expensed in the year in which they become payable.

The economic entity contributes to defined contribution and defined benefit funds. These funds are multi employer funds.

#### Defined Contribution Funds

Where an employee has rendered services to the economic entity during the year, the economic entity recognises the contribution payable to a defined contribution plan in exchange for that service immediately as an expense.

#### Defined Benefit Plans

The economic entity does not apply "defined benefit accounting" to the defined benefit funds to which it is a member where these funds are classified in terms of IAS 19 as multi-employer plans, as sufficient information is not available to apply the principles involved. Information necessary to apply "defined benefit accounting" was requested from the various funds, but information received from these funds were insufficient and in some instances no information could be obtained from these funds. This issue will be addressed in future to ensure that these benefit plans could be accounted for as "defined benefit accounting". As a result, paragraph 30 of IAS 19 is applied and such funds are accounted for as defined contribution funds. The following funds have been treated as defined contribution plans although they are defined benefit funds:

1. Joint Municipal Pension Fund
2. Municipal Employees Pension Fund
3. South African Local Authorities Pension Fund

To the extent that a surplus or deficit is in place, based on available information, this may affect the amount of future contributions once these are assessed. In the case of surpluses, no change is made in the rate of contributions. In the case of deficits, the economic entity will increase contributions on a phased basis. To the extent that the full discounted value of obligations to the funds is not fully accounted for at year end, a contingent liability arises and is reported on accordingly.

#### Accrued Leave Pay

Liabilities for annual leave are recognised as they accrue to employees. The liability is based on the total accrued leave days at year end.

#### Post retirement medical aid plan



# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 11. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT OBLIGATIONS (continued)

The economic entity provides post-retirement benefits by subsidising the medical aid contributions of certain retired staff. According to the rules of the medical aid funds, with which the economic entity is associated, a member (subject to the applicable conditions of service), on retirement, is entitled to remain a continued member of such medical aid fund, in which case the member is liable for the portion as determined by Council from time to time, of the medical aid membership fee, and the economic entity for the remaining portion.

An actuarial valuation was performed by ARCH Actuarial Consulting at 30 June 2011 as well as at 30 June 2010.

#### The amounts recognised in the statement of financial position are as follows:

Present value of the defined benefit obligation-wholly unfunded	(1,471,976,148)	(1,117,959,386)	(1,471,976,148)	(1,117,959,386)
Net actuarial gains or losses not recognised	93,863,158	(163,223,532)	93,863,158	(163,223,532)
	<b>(1,378,112,990)</b>	<b>(1,281,182,918)</b>	<b>(1,378,112,990)</b>	<b>(1,281,182,918)</b>

#### Movements for the year

Opening balance	(1,281,182,918)	(1,174,754,665)	(1,281,182,918)	(1,174,754,665)
Benefits paid	45,056,688	40,883,760	45,056,688	40,883,760
Net expense recognised in the statement of financial performance	(141,986,760)	(147,312,013)	(141,986,760)	(147,312,013)
	<b>(1,378,112,990)</b>	<b>(1,281,182,918)</b>	<b>(1,378,112,990)</b>	<b>(1,281,182,918)</b>

#### Net expense recognised in the statement of financial performance

Current service cost	(43,519,609)	(45,297,300)	(43,519,609)	(45,297,300)
Interest cost	(101,013,071)	(102,014,713)	(101,013,071)	(102,014,713)
Actuarial gains (losses)	2,545,920	-	2,545,920	-
	<b>(141,986,760)</b>	<b>(147,312,013)</b>	<b>(141,986,760)</b>	<b>(147,312,013)</b>

#### Key assumptions used

Assumptions used on last valuation on Thursday, June 30, 2011.

Discount rates used	8.63 %	9.22 %	8.63 %	9.22 %
Health care cost inflation rate	7.29 %	7.27 %	7.29 %	7.27 %

#### Other assumptions:

##### Key Demographic Assumptions

Assumption	Value		
Average retirement age	63		
Continuation of membership at retirement	90%		
Proportion assumed married at retirement	90%		
Proportion of eligible non-member employees joining the scheme by retirement	20%		
Mortality during employment	SA 85-90		
Mortality post-retirement	PA90-1		
Withdrawal from service (sample annual rates)	<b>Age</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>
	20	24%	16%
	30	15%	10%
	40	6%	6%
	50	2%	2%
	>55	0%	0%

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>12. INVENTORIES</b>				
Inventories Bedfordview Stanford Gardens	6,830,000	6,830,000	-	-
Inventories Bedfordview Tennis Court	5,790,280	5,790,280	-	-
Other inventories for sale	73,274	-	-	-
Electrical Stock	95,418,369	91,795,321	95,418,369	91,795,321
Sewerage	24,557	58,304	24,557	58,304
Cleansing	15,967	31,501	15,967	31,501
Consumable stores	3,430,682	4,294,877	3,430,682	4,294,877
Maintenance materials	3,765,941	4,826,697	3,765,941	4,826,697
Water	7,730,509	14,789,686	7,730,509	14,789,686
Unsold Properties Held for Resale	24,719,700	25,430,100	24,719,700	25,430,100
Food and Beverage	24,178	21,050	24,178	21,050
Fleet and Transport	2,772,248	2,013,817	2,772,248	2,013,817
Fuel (Diesel, Petrol)	7,189,527	6,270,614	7,189,527	6,270,614
	157,785,232	162,152,247	145,091,678	149,531,967
Provision for obsolete Inventories	(277,759)	(2,169,126)	(277,759)	(2,169,126)
	<b>157,507,473</b>	<b>159,983,121</b>	<b>144,813,919</b>	<b>147,362,841</b>

Inventory includes land owned in Bedfordview that will be developed for the affordable market. Stanford Gardens Phase III will commence once the township application is approved by Council. The Bedford Tennis Court is also available for development.

## 13. OTHER RECEIVABLES FROM EXCHANGE TRANSACTIONS

Trade debtors	7,601,707	5,246,903	-	-
Other deposits	522,988	522,988	-	-
Other receivable	219,242,648	70,451,494	216,064,129	75,585,304
Debtor for interest on investments	2,752,911	1,130,110	2,752,911	1,130,110
VAT debtor	16,975,272	38,116,552	22,920,163	35,721,154
Lease rental receipts asset	16,790,042	16,159,827	16,790,042	16,159,827
Provision for debt impairment	(17,475,751)	(25,032,689)	(13,834,681)	(21,321,082)
	<b>246,409,817</b>	<b>106,595,185</b>	<b>244,692,564</b>	<b>107,275,313</b>

### Credit quality of trade and other receivables

The credit quality of trade and other receivables that are neither past nor due nor impaired can be assessed by reference to external credit ratings (if available) or to historical information about counterparty default rate.

## 14. OTHER RECEIVABLES FROM NON-EXCHANGE TRANSACTIONS

Current	130,187,427	163,381,813	130,187,427	163,381,813
---------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

Consists of Grant debtors R126,400,201 (2010 - R160,493,614) and Traffic Fine debtors of R3,787,226 (2010 - R2,888,198).

## 15. CONSUMER DEBTORS

### Gross balances

Rates	1,721,579,539	1,522,569,228	1,721,579,539	1,522,569,228
Electricity	1,308,611,327	1,097,337,445	1,308,611,327	1,097,337,445
Water	2,233,730,971	1,798,859,518	2,233,730,971	1,798,859,518
Waste water	638,435,618	511,287,467	638,435,618	511,287,467
Arrangement debt	249,152,531	262,986,046	249,152,531	262,986,046
Refuse	715,176,525	592,942,615	715,176,525	592,942,615
Housing rental	36,508,601	30,768,516	36,508,601	30,768,516
Other	2,064,974,443	1,923,359,875	2,064,974,443	1,923,359,875
	<b>8,968,169,555</b>	<b>7,740,110,710</b>	<b>8,968,169,555</b>	<b>7,740,110,710</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>15. CONSUMER DEBTORS (continued)</b>				
<b>Less: Provision for debt impairment</b>				
Rates	(1,429,410,883)	(1,202,745,486)	(1,429,410,883)	(1,202,745,486)
Electricity	(1,188,669,860)	(860,920,549)	(1,188,669,860)	(860,920,549)
Water	(1,890,019,366)	(1,528,436,128)	(1,890,019,366)	(1,528,436,128)
Waste water	(546,370,753)	(477,605,182)	(546,370,753)	(477,605,182)
Arrangement debt	(249,152,531)	(262,986,046)	(249,152,531)	(262,986,046)
Refuse	(611,327,852)	(436,121,255)	(611,327,852)	(436,121,255)
Housing rental	(36,508,601)	(30,768,516)	(36,508,601)	(30,768,516)
Other	(1,236,122,716)	(1,455,455,427)	(1,236,122,716)	(1,455,455,427)
	<b>(7,187,582,562)</b>	<b>(6,255,038,589)</b>	<b>(7,187,582,562)</b>	<b>(6,255,038,589)</b>
<b>Net balance</b>				
Rates	292,168,656	319,823,742	292,168,656	319,823,742
Electricity	119,941,467	236,416,896	119,941,467	236,416,896
Water	343,711,605	270,423,390	343,711,605	270,423,390
Waste water	92,064,865	33,682,285	92,064,865	33,682,285
Refuse	103,848,673	156,821,360	103,848,673	156,821,360
Other	828,851,727	467,904,448	828,851,727	467,904,448
	<b>1,780,586,993</b>	<b>1,485,072,121</b>	<b>1,780,586,993</b>	<b>1,485,072,121</b>
<b>Rates</b>				
Current (0 -30 days)	242,674,240	160,187,754	242,674,240	160,187,754
31 - 60 days	72,242,561	69,975,935	72,242,561	69,975,935
61 - 90 days	48,820,505	48,647,248	48,820,505	48,647,248
91 - 120+ days	1,357,842,233	1,243,758,291	1,357,842,233	1,243,758,291
	<b>1,721,579,539</b>	<b>1,522,569,228</b>	<b>1,721,579,539</b>	<b>1,522,569,228</b>
<b>Electricity</b>				
Current (0 -30 days)	488,745,819	428,580,382	488,745,819	428,580,382
31 - 60 days	113,260,766	87,807,802	113,260,766	87,807,802
61 - 90 days	74,527,515	40,010,434	74,527,515	40,010,434
91 - 120+ days	632,077,227	540,938,827	632,077,227	540,938,827
	<b>1,308,611,327</b>	<b>1,097,337,445</b>	<b>1,308,611,327</b>	<b>1,097,337,445</b>
<b>Water</b>				
Current (0 -30 days)	199,485,313	112,873,725	199,485,313	112,873,725
31 - 60 days	79,283,449	72,763,623	79,283,449	72,763,623
61 - 90 days	69,075,222	60,868,489	69,075,222	60,868,489
91 - 120+ days	1,885,886,987	1,552,353,681	1,885,886,987	1,552,353,681
	<b>2,233,730,971</b>	<b>1,798,859,518</b>	<b>2,233,730,971</b>	<b>1,798,859,518</b>
<b>Waste water</b>				
Current (0 -30 days)	48,416,710	25,635,278	48,416,710	25,635,278
31 - 60 days	25,930,872	23,125,685	25,930,872	23,125,685
61 - 90 days	21,431,414	17,827,004	21,431,414	17,827,004
91 - 120+ days	542,656,622	444,699,500	542,656,622	444,699,500
	<b>638,435,618</b>	<b>511,287,467</b>	<b>638,435,618</b>	<b>511,287,467</b>
<b>Consumer arrangements</b>				
> 365 days	249,152,530	262,986,046	249,152,530	262,986,046

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>15. CONSUMER DEBTORS (continued)</b>				
<b>Refuse</b>				
Current (0 -30 days)	37,989,010	31,663,399	37,989,010	31,663,399
31 - 60 days	21,682,920	18,003,230	21,682,920	18,003,230
61 - 90 days	18,701,197	15,818,160	18,701,197	15,818,160
91 - 120+ days	636,803,398	527,457,826	636,803,398	527,457,826
	<b>715,176,525</b>	<b>592,942,615</b>	<b>715,176,525</b>	<b>592,942,615</b>
<b>Housing rental</b>				
Current (0 -30 days)	665,965	543,386	665,965	543,386
31 - 60 days	815,189	786,926	815,189	786,926
61 - 90 days	740,374	808,535	740,374	808,535
91 - 120+ days	34,287,073	28,629,669	34,287,073	28,629,669
	<b>36,508,601</b>	<b>30,768,516</b>	<b>36,508,601</b>	<b>30,768,516</b>
<b>Other</b>				
Current (0 -30 days)	53,775,736	111,371,246	53,775,736	111,371,246
31 - 60 days	29,359,337	48,347,258	29,359,337	48,347,258
61 - 90 days	30,953,141	35,634,821	30,953,141	35,634,821
91 - 120+ days	1,950,886,229	1,728,006,550	1,950,886,229	1,728,006,550
	<b>2,064,974,443</b>	<b>1,923,359,875</b>	<b>2,064,974,443</b>	<b>1,923,359,875</b>
<b>Reconciliation of debt impairment provision</b>				
Balance at beginning of the year	(6,255,038,588)	(5,433,743,327)	(6,255,038,588)	(5,433,743,327)
Contributions to provision	(1,445,304,490)	(1,383,962,067)	(1,445,304,490)	(1,383,962,067)
Debt impairment written off against provision	512,760,516	562,666,806	512,760,516	562,666,806
	<b>(7,187,582,562)</b>	<b>(6,255,038,588)</b>	<b>(7,187,582,562)</b>	<b>(6,255,038,588)</b>

### Credit quality of consumer debtors

The credit quality of consumer debtors that are neither past, nor due, nor impaired can be assessed by reference to external credit ratings (if available) or to historical information about counterparty default rates.

### 16. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents consist of:

Cash on hand	509,310	503,060	483,610	480,060
Bank balances	1,401,181,504	690,376,315	1,338,379,832	664,145,349
Short-term deposits	30,255,150	13,250,000	-	-
	<b>1,431,945,964</b>	<b>704,129,375</b>	<b>1,338,863,442</b>	<b>664,625,409</b>

The controlling entity has provided bank guarantees to the amount of R20,081,932 (2010: R20,081,932) with regards to special clauses in contracts concluded with various third parties.

### Credit quality of cash at bank and short term deposits, excluding cash on hand

The credit quality of cash at bank and short term deposits, excluding cash on hand that are neither past due nor impaired can be assessed by reference to external credit ratings (if available) or historical information about counterparty default rates.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>16. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS (continued)</b>				
<b>ABSA</b>				
Alberton income account	2,517,470	3,311,582	2,517,470	3,311,582
Benoni Income Account	5,067,159	3,027,128	5,067,159	3,027,128
Benoni Direct Banking	1,106,234	1,337,862	1,106,234	1,337,862
Boksburg Income Account	3,164,906	3,650,827	3,164,906	3,650,827
Brakpan Income Account	3,695,677	1,019,870	3,695,677	1,019,870
Germiston Income Account	7,380,967	5,909,250	7,380,967	5,909,250
Kempton Park Income Account	3,437,801	8,095,380	3,437,801	8,095,380
Kempton Park Direct Banking Account	769,521	850,560	769,521	850,560
Lethabong Direct Banking Account	259,570	149,990	259,570	149,990
Lethabong Income Account	2,670,918	467,337	2,670,918	467,337
Nigel Income Account	3,206,833	1,859,622	3,206,833	1,859,622
Springs Income Account	102,736	502,402	102,736	502,402
Springs Direct Banking Account	714,667	1,125,912	714,667	1,125,912
Springs Market Account	(629,801)	1,220,120	(629,801)	1,220,120
RSC Levies Account	802,650	802,650	802,650	802,650
External Finance Fund Account	542,045,629	275,133,232	542,045,629	275,133,232
Capital Replacement Reserve Account	769	753	769	753
Primary Bank Account (Capital from revenue account)	142,303,806	136,953,414	142,303,806	136,953,414
Salary Account	32,393,374	4,235,901	32,393,374	4,235,901
Treasury Account	389,622,245	63,266,255	389,622,245	63,266,255
Expenditure Imprest Account	(8,365,408)	(19,255,230)	(8,365,408)	(19,255,230)
Chip Account (MIG)	84,806,962	51,311,824	84,806,962	51,311,824
Housing Account	99,377,265	116,240,993	99,377,265	116,240,993
Short Term Deposits at various institutions with dates within 3 months	117,006	2,927,431	117,006	2,927,431
ABSA Licence income bank account	-	284	-	284
Lease bank account	20,505,576	-	20,505,576	-
Guarantee Account	1,305,300	-	1,305,300	-
Petty Cash and Floats	483,610	480,060	483,610	480,060
Brakpan Bus Company (BBC)	7,337,680	7,271,652	-	-
Cash on hand - entities	25,700	23,000	-	-
Ekurhuleni Development Company (EDC)	129,873	415,626	-	-
Lethabong Housing Institute (LHI)	22,920	13,612	-	-
Call deposits - entities	30,255,150	13,250,000	-	-
Germiston Phase II Housing Company (Phase II)	1,225,074	863,671	-	-
East Rand Water Care Company (ERWAT)	53,937,784	17,211,548	-	-
Pharoe Park Housing Company (PP)	148,341	454,857	-	-
	<b>1,431,945,964</b>	<b>704,129,375</b>	<b>1,338,863,442</b>	<b>664,625,409</b>

The economic entity had the following bank accounts

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity			Controlling entity		
	2011	2010		2011	2010	
<b>16. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS (continued)</b>						
Account number / description	Bank statement balances			Cash book balances		
	30 June 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009	30 June 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
ABSA BANK - Income Alberton-111-841-0641	-	-	-	2,517,470	3,311,582	1,621,885
ABSA BANK - Direct Banking Alberton - 111-840-0646	-	-	-	-	-	4,972
ABSA BANK - Income Benoni - 4055327394	-	-	-	5,067,159	3,027,128	7,269,973
ABSA BANK - Direct Banking Benoni - 4055328015	-	-	-	1,106,234	1,337,862	-
ABSA BANK - Mask Account Benoni - 4065622380	-	-	-	-	-	-
ABSA BANK - Income Boksburg - 230000069	-	-	-	3,164,906	3,650,827	4,825,630
ABSA BANK - Direct Banking KL Boksburg - 230000220	-	-	-	-	-	-
ABSA BANK - Direct Banking BT Boksburg - 230000255	-	-	-	-	-	(1,800)
ABSA BANK - Income Brakpan - 240000024	-	-	-	-	-	-
ABSA BANK - Income Brakpan - 240000024	-	-	-	3,695,677	1,019,870	1,137,043
ABSA BANK - Prepaid Sales Account Brakpan-240159392	-	-	-	-	-	1,239,490
ABSA BANK - Income Germiston - 2500002277	-	-	(15,289,000)	7,380,967	5,909,250	(4,733,719)
ABSA BANK - Direct Banking Germiston - 250000804	-	-	-	-	-	-
ABSA BANK - Direct Banking Kempton Park - 260181599	-	-	-	769,521	850,560	-
ABSA BANK - Income Kempton Park - 260000004	-	-	-	3,437,801	8,095,380	1,728,306
ABSA BANK - Income Lethabong - 4055442546	-	-	-	2,670,918	467,337	1,675,273
ABSA BANK - Direct Banking Lethabong - 4055442596	-	-	-	259,570	149,990	13,459
ABSA BANK - Income Nigel - 270000010	-	-	-	3,206,833	1,859,622	1,908,206
ABSA BANK - Income Springs - 280000051	-	-	-	102,736	502,402	6,065
ABSA BANK - Direct Springs - 280000094	-	-	-	714,667	1,125,912	2,316,785
ABSA BANK - Fresh Produce Market - 1135470160	191,534	1,220,120	49,454	(629,801)	1,220,120	49,454
ABSA BANK - RSC Levies - 1018470132	-	-	-	802,650	802,650	-
ABSA BANK - EFF Account (EX CLF) - 4053834321	542,045,629	275,133,232	337,581,381	542,045,629	275,133,232	337,581,381
ABSA BANK - C R R Account (EX CDF) - 4053834779	769	753	703	769	753	703
ABSA BANK - Primary Bank Acc - 4053835084	142,303,806	136,953,414	91,655,748	142,303,806	136,953,414	91,655,748
ABSA BANK - Salary Account - 4055571973	34,087,292	6,226,280	13,091,058	32,393,374	4,235,901	10,834,395
ABSA BANK - Treasury Account - 4055571931	389,622,245	63,266,255	39,037,346	389,622,245	63,266,255	39,037,345
ABSA BANK - Expenditure Imprest Acc - 4055571915	15,051,689	4,084,411	78,810,003	(8,365,408)	(19,255,230)	52,030,565
ABSA BANK - MIG Account - 4055571884	84,806,962	51,311,824	88,578,883	84,806,962	51,311,824	88,578,883
ABSA BANK - Housing Account - 4055571842	99,377,265	116,240,993	81,831,809	99,377,265	116,240,993	81,831,809
ABSA BANK - Solid Waste - 1026820134	-	-	-	-	-	1,499,014

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity			
	2011	2010	2011	2010		
<b>16. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS (continued)</b>						
ABSA BANK - Lease Income - 4075756252	20,551,720	-	-	20,505,576	-	-
ABSA BANK - Guarantee account - Petty Cash and Floats	-	-	-	1,305,300	-	-
Short Term Deposits at various institutions with dates within 3 months	117,006	2,927,431	342,354,104	117,006	483,610	480,060
ABSA licences income bank account EMM - 4075756252	-	-	-	-	284	-
(BBC) ABSA Current account - 4052643454	1,413,583	143,096	7,240,807	512,894	169,248	1,197,158
(BBC) ABSA Current account - 9193942873	6,824,788	7,062,659	3,012,611	6,824,786	7,102,404	3,065,775
(EDC) ABSA Current account - 4055919492	129,873	422,121	104,027	129,873	415,626	104,027
(ERWAT) ABSA Current account - 260170120	51,849,070	15,878,449	6,202,443	51,832,594	15,861,106	6,179,919
(ERWAT) ABSA Current account - 260170139	2,110,471	1,346,619	198,059	2,110,471	1,346,619	198,059
(ERWAT) Clearing account	-	-	-	(5,281)	3,823	(127,759)
(ERWAT) INCA Bank - 50610027704	16,600,000	-	-	16,600,000	-	-
(Phase II) ABSA Current account - 4052348660	1,225,074	863,671	140,757	1,225,074	863,671	236,769
(Phase II) ABSA Call account - 2065919012	1,013,205	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,013,205	1,000,000	1,000,000
(LHI) FNB Current account - 62019238428	22,920	43,868	128,746	22,920	13,612	127,409
(LHI) Investec Call account - 1100182677580	252,972	250,000	250,000	252,972	250,000	251,491
(PP) ABSA Current account - 4050383636	148,341	454,857	141,589	148,341	454,857	185,720
(PP) ABSA Call account - 2070991540	11,069,539	11,000,000	-	11,069,539	11,000,000	-
(PP) ABSA Call account - 2065919054	1,013,205	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,013,205	1,000,000	1,000,000
(PP) ABSA Call account - 2070991574	306,229	-	-	306,229	-	-
Cash on hand - Entities	-	-	-	25,700	23,000	22,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,422,135,187</b>	<b>697,634,236</b>	<b>1,077,120,528</b>	<b>1,431,945,964</b>	<b>704,129,375</b>	<b>1,078,370,017</b>
<b>17. SHARE PREMIUM</b>						
<b>Issued</b>						
Share premium		7,442,007	7,442,007		-	-
<b>18. LONG-TERM LIABILITIES</b>						
<b>Held at amortised cost</b>						
Bank Loans	2,264,197,463	2,364,483,528	2,108,087,111	2,244,077,002		
Development Bank of South Africa	196,799,468	250,855,069	167,675,719	218,359,796		
Stock Loans	305,346	265,746,033	305,346	233,126,033		
Municipal bonds	1,615,000,000	-	1,615,000,000	-		
	<b>4,076,302,277</b>	<b>2,881,084,630</b>	<b>3,891,068,176</b>	<b>2,695,562,831</b>		
<b>Held at amortised cost - current</b>						
Bank loans	(136,602,911)	(383,395,975)	(117,849,358)	(368,713,578)		
Development Bank of South Africa	(57,411,274)	(50,683,899)	(57,411,274)	(50,683,899)		
Stock Loans	(93,590)	(97,000)	(93,590)	(97,000)		
	<b>(194,107,775)</b>	<b>(434,176,874)</b>	<b>(175,354,222)</b>	<b>(419,494,477)</b>		
	<b>3,882,194,502</b>	<b>2,446,907,756</b>	<b>3,715,713,954</b>	<b>2,276,068,354</b>		

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>18. LONG-TERM LIABILITIES (continued)</b>				
<b>Non-current liabilities</b>				
At amortised cost	4,076,302,277	2,881,084,630	3,891,068,176	2,695,562,831
At amortised cost - current portion	(194,107,775)	(434,176,874)	(175,354,221)	(419,494,477)
	<b>3,882,194,502</b>	<b>2,446,907,756</b>	<b>3,715,713,955</b>	<b>2,276,068,354</b>

Investments with a carrying value of R224,185,159 (2010 - R301,501,285) is encumbered in respect of long term liabilities above with a carrying value of R2,268,364,605 (2010 - R553,141,796) as disclosed in the Investment note.

## 19. UNSPENT CONDITIONAL GRANTS AND RECEIPTS

### Unspent conditional grants comprises

Restructuring	-	281,975	-	281,975
HIV / Aids Grant	-	1,249,227	-	1,249,227
Bontle Ke Botho	-	200,313	-	200,313
Environment & Tourism	-	390,976	-	390,976
Demilitarisation Project	-	5,989	-	5,989
Township Initiatives	7,211,274	5,300,126	7,211,274	5,300,126
UEM Danida	-	3,916,058	-	3,916,058
UEM Danida - Capex	639,757	-	639,757	-
Lethabong Housing Institute	-	598,945	-	598,945
Zonki Trust	725,181	725,181	725,181	725,181
Local Economic Development (LED) Grant	-	9,040	-	9,040
Consolidated Metropolitan Transport Fund (CMTF)	-	122,947	-	122,947
International Council For Local Environment Initiatives (ICLEI)	-	78,070	-	78,070
Public Transport Infrastructure Fund	33,978,118	45,508,940	33,978,118	45,508,940
Rondebult Water - Public Contribution	961,041	961,041	961,041	961,041
Department of Water Affairs & Forestry (DWA)	582,545	582,545	582,545	582,545
Local Economic Development (LED) - Industrial Hives	-	838,348	-	838,348
Local Economic Development (LED) - Street Trading Facilities	9,950,000	300,000	9,950,000	300,000
Expanded Public Works Programme	-	12,985,972	-	12,985,972
Gautrans	-	1,184,381	-	1,184,381
Provincial Housing Board (PHB)	76,483,435	76,923,390	65,689,902	66,129,857
Neighbourhood Development Partnership Grant	-	809,701	-	809,701
Eastgate Substation Public Contribution	-	2,815,347	-	2,815,347
Community Care Centres	-	7,578,278	-	7,578,278
Accreditation Capacity Enhancement	3,163,049	3,341,449	3,163,049	3,341,449
PHB Interest	6,035,352	42,682,365	6,035,352	42,682,365
Roodekop Ext - Public Contribution	1,844,676	1,844,676	1,844,676	1,844,676
Health - Public Contribution	-	43,728	-	43,728
HDA Urban Renewal	3,100,000	-	3,100,000	-
	<b>144,674,428</b>	<b>211,279,008</b>	<b>133,880,895</b>	<b>200,485,475</b>

### Movement during the year

Balance at the beginning of the year	211,279,008	170,026,714	200,485,475	159,233,181
Additions during the year	3,351,501,294	2,927,244,854	3,351,501,294	2,918,078,370
Income recognition during the year	(3,397,688,308)	(2,885,992,560)	(3,397,688,308)	(2,876,826,076)
Refunded to National Treasury	(18,400,000)	-	(18,400,000)	-
Appropriations	(2,017,566)	-	(2,017,566)	-
	<b>144,674,428</b>	<b>211,279,008</b>	<b>133,880,895</b>	<b>200,485,475</b>

See note 27 for reconciliation of grants from National/Provincial Government.



# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 20. PROVISIONS

#### Reconciliation of provisions - Economic entity - 2011

	Opening Balance	Additions	Utilised during the year	Under / (over) provision prior year	Total
COID provision	14,433,627	6,493,693	(1,510,270)	354,221	19,771,271
Leave and bonus provision	194,272,929	115,933,299	(85,808,525)	(1,587,809)	222,809,894
Landfill rehabilitation provision	113,357,825	13,602,939	(25,834,953)	-	101,125,811
WCA provision	7,068,916	7,706,273	(5,716,201)	-	9,058,988
Long service awards	243,344,197	93,165,905	(32,392,903)	-	304,117,199
GMRF	168,019,694	5,497,962	(79,427,454)	-	94,090,202
Post retirement medical provision	2,419,768	1,474,245	(255,294)	-	3,638,719
Other provisions	5,083,583	9,105,586	(3,810,202)	-	10,378,967
	<b>748,000,539</b>	<b>252,979,902</b>	<b>(234,755,802)</b>	<b>(1,233,588)</b>	<b>764,991,051</b>

#### Reconciliation of provisions - Economic entity - 2010

	Opening Balance	Additions	Utilised during the year	Under / (over) provision prior year	Reduction due to re-measurement or settlement without cost to entity	Total
COID provision	19,657,530	2,358,904	(1,098,763)	(436,542)	(6,047,502)	14,433,627
Leave and bonus provision	190,922,783	72,360,344	(69,010,198)	-	-	194,272,929
Landfill rehabilitation provision	217,468,979	27,425,546	(131,536,700)	-	-	113,357,825
WCA provision	-	19,073,770	(12,004,854)	-	-	7,068,916
Long service awards	223,109,156	48,690,139	(28,455,098)	-	-	243,344,197
GMRF	127,971,029	94,500,301	(54,451,636)	-	-	168,019,694
Post retirement medical provision	1,727,721	876,315	(184,268)	-	-	2,419,768
Other provisions	2,155,890	3,783,725	(856,032)	-	-	5,083,583
	<b>783,013,088</b>	<b>269,069,044</b>	<b>(297,597,549)</b>	<b>(436,542)</b>	<b>(6,047,502)</b>	<b>748,000,539</b>

#### Reconciliation of provisions - Controlling entity - 2011

	Opening Balance	Additions/Interest /Unwinding	Utilised/adjusted during the year	Under / (over) provision prior year	Total
COID provision	14,433,627	6,493,693	(1,510,270)	354,221	19,771,271
Leave and bonus provision	179,969,975	102,665,614	(73,866,198)	(1,168,191)	207,601,200
Landfill rehabilitation provision	113,357,825	13,602,939	(25,834,953)	-	101,125,811
WCA provision	7,068,916	7,706,273	(5,716,201)	-	9,058,988
Long service awards	243,344,197	93,165,905	(32,392,903)	-	304,117,199
GMRF	168,019,694	5,497,962	(79,427,454)	-	94,090,202
	<b>726,194,234</b>	<b>229,132,386</b>	<b>(218,747,979)</b>	<b>(813,970)</b>	<b>735,764,671</b>

#### Reconciliation of provisions - Controlling entity - 2010

	Opening Balance	Additions/Interest /Unwinding	Utilised during the year	Reversed during the year	Under / (over) provision prior year	Total
COID provision	19,657,530	2,358,904	(1,098,763)	(436,542)	(6,047,502)	14,433,627
Leave and bonus provision	178,737,641	60,264,724	(59,032,390)	-	-	179,969,975
Landfill rehabilitation provision	217,468,979	27,425,546	(131,536,700)	-	-	113,357,825
WCA provision	-	19,073,770	(12,004,854)	-	-	7,068,916
Long service awards	223,109,156	48,690,139	(28,455,098)	-	-	243,344,197
GMRF	127,971,029	94,500,301	(54,451,636)	-	-	168,019,694
	<b>766,944,335</b>	<b>252,313,384</b>	<b>(286,579,441)</b>	<b>(436,542)</b>	<b>(6,047,502)</b>	<b>726,194,234</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>20. PROVISIONS (continued)</b>				
Non-current liabilities	506,791,124	453,193,541	503,152,405	450,773,773
Current liabilities	258,199,927	294,806,998	232,612,266	275,420,461
	<b>764,991,051</b>	<b>748,000,539</b>	<b>735,764,671</b>	<b>726,194,234</b>

### COID provision

This provision is made for future expected outflows as a result of the economic entity's obligation to contribute to the pension fund and medical expenses that was incurred due to past contractual arrangements with various employees in the old Benoni- and Germiston local municipalities. The discount rate used in determining the present value of the obligation was 11.50% (2010 - 12.00%) and the inflation assumption used for the increase in expenses/contributions is 6.10% (2010 - 7.00%).

### Leave and bonus provision

The liability is based on the total accrued leave days at year end. A section 57 bonus provision is also provided for.

### Landfill rehabilitation provision

In terms of GRAP 19, provisions should be evaluated at each year-end to reflect the best estimate at that date of the provision. Due to the decrease from 5.00% to 3.90% of the CPIX there was a reduction in the provision (2010 - due to the substantial decrease of the CPIX from 12,20% to 5.00%, there was a substantial reduction in the provision). The discounting rate for 2011 is 11.50% (2010 - 12.00%). The net result of the re-estimation had the following effect on the current year amounts:

Reduction in the provision for Landfill site rehabilitation	R25,834,953 (2010 - R131,536,700)
Reduction in the cost of property, plant and equipment	R15,408,360 (2010 - R92,853,604)
Amount recognised in profit and loss due to re-estimation where the adjustment exceed the carrying amount of the asset	R10,426,593 (2010 - R38,683,096)

### Workmenscompensation provision

The provision is for the period March 2011 to June 2011 (2010 - March 2010 to June 2010) which has been estimated in the latest return submitted to the compensation commissioner.

### Long service awards provision

The economic entity offers various types of long service awards to its employees.

The key actuarial financial assumptions are as follows:

- Discount rate:	7.46% (2010 - 9.06%)
- General salary inflation (long-term)	6.13% (2010 - 6.40%)

An actuarial valuation was performed by ARCH Actuarial Consulting at 30 June 2011 as well as at 30 June 2010.

### GMRF provision

The provision is for the economic entity's obligation to the Germiston Municipal Retirement Fund due to the economic entity failing to meet its obligation to contribute to the fund due to the required investment yield not being achieved.

## 21. TRADE AND OTHER PAYABLES FROM EXCHANGE TRANSACTIONS

Trade payables	1,677,423,237	1,654,749,338	1,682,577,165	1,657,261,021
Receipts in advance	401,197,913	364,697,290	400,915,727	364,697,290
Lease rental payments liability	954,233	692,452	937,757	692,452
Other payables	174,177,703	120,559,629	169,503,033	102,763,251
Retentions	209,940,397	213,823,671	209,940,397	213,823,671
	<b>2,463,693,483</b>	<b>2,354,522,380</b>	<b>2,463,874,079</b>	<b>2,339,237,685</b>

## 22. DEPOSITS

Electricity and water	487,038,111	417,027,259	487,038,111	417,027,259
Rental deposits held	3,806,108	3,339,753	-	-
	<b>490,844,219</b>	<b>420,367,012</b>	<b>487,038,111</b>	<b>417,027,259</b>

Guarantees in lieu of electricity and water deposits is R67,013,292 (2010 - R73,069,121).

## 23. FINANCIAL LIABILITIES BY CATEGORY

The accounting policies for financial instruments have been applied to the line items below:

### Economic entity - 2011

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 23. FINANCIAL LIABILITIES BY CATEGORY (continued)

	Financial liabilities at amortised cost	Total
Long term and other liabilities	4,081,902,276	4,081,902,276
Deposits	490,844,219	490,844,219
Trade and other payables	2,463,818,262	2,463,818,262
Unspent conditional grants	144,674,428	144,674,428
	<b>7,181,239,185</b>	<b>7,181,239,185</b>

#### Economic entity - 2010

	Financial liabilities at amortised cost	Total
Long term and other liabilities	2,905,327,657	2,905,327,657
Deposits	420,367,012	420,367,012
Trade and other payables	2,354,626,247	2,354,626,247
Unspent conditional grants	211,279,008	211,279,008
	<b>5,891,599,924</b>	<b>5,891,599,924</b>

#### Controlling entity - 2011

	Financial liabilities at amortised cost	Total
Long term and other liabilities	3,891,068,176	3,891,068,176
Deposits	487,038,111	487,038,111
Trade and other payables	2,463,874,079	2,463,874,079
Unspent conditional grants	133,880,895	133,880,895
	<b>6,975,861,261</b>	<b>6,975,861,261</b>

#### Controlling entity - 2010

	Financial liabilities at amortised cost	Total
Long term and other liabilities	2,712,805,858	2,712,805,858
Deposits	417,027,259	417,027,259
Trade and other payables	2,339,237,685	2,339,237,685
Unspent conditional grants	200,485,475	200,485,475
	<b>5,669,556,277</b>	<b>5,669,556,277</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>24. REVENUE</b>				
Property rates	2,415,577,170	2,129,917,993	2,416,331,522	2,131,999,385
Property rates – Penalties imposed and collection charges	62,530,966	71,207,519	62,530,966	71,207,519
Service charges	10,525,374,206	8,074,858,086	10,556,594,851	8,105,124,753
Rental of facilities and equipment	68,879,298	67,101,869	49,063,908	48,719,059
Income from agency services	186,876,573	156,773,259	186,876,573	156,773,259
Fines	135,348,768	97,679,269	135,348,768	97,679,269
Licences and permits	30,049,466	27,662,851	30,049,466	27,662,851
Government grants and subsidies	3,407,484,103	2,885,992,560	3,397,688,308	2,876,826,076
Interest earned - outstanding debtors	213,809,407	271,115,244	212,198,108	270,958,631
Interest received - external investment	124,804,681	95,077,164	119,552,608	90,343,076
Rendering of services	7,397,104	5,851,972	-	-
Dividends received	65,577	27,321	-	-
Other income	104,029,538	117,340,106	69,723,590	92,012,250
	<b>17,282,226,857</b>	<b>14,000,605,213</b>	<b>17,235,958,668</b>	<b>13,969,306,128</b>

**The amount included in revenue arising from exchanges of goods or services are as follows:**

Rendering of services	7,397,104	5,851,972	-	-
Service charges	10,525,374,206	8,074,858,086	10,556,594,851	8,105,124,753
Rental of facilities and equipment	68,879,298	67,101,869	49,063,908	48,719,059
Income from agency services	186,876,573	156,773,259	186,876,573	156,773,259
Licences and permits	30,049,466	27,662,851	30,049,466	27,662,851
Interest earned - outstanding debtors	213,809,407	271,115,244	212,198,108	270,958,631
Interest received - external investment	124,804,681	95,077,164	119,552,608	90,343,076
Dividends received	65,577	27,321	-	-
Other income	104,029,538	117,340,106	69,723,590	92,012,250
	<b>11,261,285,850</b>	<b>8,815,807,872</b>	<b>11,224,059,104</b>	<b>8,791,593,879</b>

**The amount included in revenue arising from non-exchange transactions is as follows:**

**Taxation revenue**

Property rates	2,415,577,170	2,129,917,993	2,416,331,522	2,131,999,385
Property rates – Penalties imposed and collection charges	62,530,966	71,207,519	62,530,966	71,207,519
Fines	135,348,768	97,679,269	135,348,768	97,679,269

**Transfer revenue**

Government grants and subsidies	3,407,484,103	2,885,992,560	3,397,688,308	2,876,826,076
	<b>6,020,941,007</b>	<b>5,184,797,341</b>	<b>6,011,899,564</b>	<b>5,177,712,249</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>25. PROPERTY RATES</b>				
<b>Rates received</b>				
Residential	1,648,099,498	1,424,234,294	1,648,099,498	1,424,234,294
Commercial	1,109,305,048	1,023,197,584	1,109,305,048	1,023,197,584
State	19,317,918	6,564,461	19,317,918	6,564,461
Small holdings and farms	81,627,353	27,027,144	81,627,353	27,027,144
Vacant land	174,760,123	155,790,898	174,760,123	155,790,898
Other properties	19,319,018	11,220,441	19,319,018	11,220,441
Related entity elimination	(754,352)	(2,081,392)	-	-
Less: Income forgone	(636,097,436)	(516,035,437)	(636,097,436)	(516,035,437)
	<b>2,415,577,170</b>	<b>2,129,917,993</b>	<b>2,416,331,522</b>	<b>2,131,999,385</b>

### Valuations (R'000)

Residential	217,636,674	210,543,870	217,636,674	210,543,870
Commercial	80,795,614	78,234,916	80,795,614	78,234,916
Provincial and National Government	1,105,221	1,554,164	1,105,221	1,554,164
Municipal	5,369,809	5,410,910	5,369,809	5,410,910
Small holdings and farms	3,769,604	11,661,180	3,769,604	11,661,180
Sectional title	37,110,185	35,996,110	37,110,185	35,996,110
Vacant land	7,828,225	6,812,163	7,828,225	6,812,163
Other	10,912,508	1,255,866	10,912,508	1,255,866
	<b>364,527,840</b>	<b>351,469,179</b>	<b>364,527,840</b>	<b>351,469,179</b>

Valuations on land and buildings are performed every 3 to 5 years. The last general valuation roll came into effect on 1 July 2009 and the valuations have accordingly been adjusted in the current financial year. The previous valuation roll was based on land values only and the new roll is based on land values as well as improvements value and therefore the huge increase in valuations in the current year. Interim valuations are processed on an annual basis to take into account changes in individual property values due to alterations and subdivisions.

Rates are levied on a monthly basis. Interest is levied on rates outstanding after due date.

### 26. SERVICE CHARGES

Sale of electricity	7,372,546,484	5,583,802,448	7,406,088,553	5,614,993,190
Sale of water	1,771,208,392	1,457,562,120	1,774,487,037	1,460,433,824
Solid waste	616,605,582	562,602,062	618,192,526	564,339,330
Sewerage and sanitation charges	708,718,643	421,033,868	701,531,630	415,500,821
Fresh produce market	14,911,186	16,245,039	14,911,186	16,245,039
Other service charges	41,383,919	33,612,549	41,383,919	33,612,549
	<b>10,525,374,206</b>	<b>8,074,858,086</b>	<b>10,556,594,851</b>	<b>8,105,124,753</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>27. GOVERNMENT GRANTS AND SUBSIDIES</b>				
Equitable share	2,588,173,933	2,087,357,684	2,588,173,933	2,087,357,684
Ambulance Subsidy	52,870,000	96,850,000	52,870,000	96,850,000
National Government grants	515,068,519	492,331,874	515,068,519	492,331,874
Provincial Government grants	91,492,900	50,371,008	91,492,900	50,371,008
Public contributions	25,357,113	41,549,568	25,357,113	41,549,568
Health Subsidies	113,431,459	94,603,579	113,431,459	94,603,579
SETA	11,294,384	13,762,363	11,294,384	13,762,363
Department of Transport	9,795,795	9,166,484	-	-
	<b>3,407,484,103</b>	<b>2,885,992,560</b>	<b>3,397,688,308</b>	<b>2,876,826,076</b>
<b>National Government grants</b>				
Balance unspent at beginning of year	63,633,529	44,732,175	63,633,529	44,732,175
Current-year receipts	471,280,742	376,083,625	471,280,742	376,083,625
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(515,068,519)	(492,331,874)	(515,068,519)	(492,331,874)
Refunded to National Treasury	(18,400,000)	-	(18,400,000)	-
Debtor	36,277,960	135,149,603	36,277,960	135,149,603
	<b>37,723,712</b>	<b>63,633,529</b>	<b>37,723,712</b>	<b>63,633,529</b>
<b>Provincial Government grants</b>				
Balance unspent at beginning of year	83,905,728	70,412,211	83,905,728	70,412,211
Current-year receipts	85,592,921	63,864,525	85,592,921	63,864,525
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(91,492,900)	(50,371,008)	(91,492,900)	(50,371,008)
Transferred to appropriations	(455,635)	-	(455,635)	-
Debtor	6,026,241	-	6,026,241	-
	<b>83,576,355</b>	<b>83,905,728</b>	<b>83,576,355</b>	<b>83,905,728</b>
<b>Equitable share</b>				
Current-year receipts	2,588,173,933	2,087,357,684	2,588,173,933	2,087,357,684
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(2,588,173,933)	(2,087,357,684)	(2,588,173,933)	(2,087,357,684)
	-	-	-	-
<b>Public contributions</b>				
Balance unspent at beginning of year	10,263,855	6,645,206	10,263,855	6,645,206
Current-year receipts	23,200,665	44,964,205	23,200,665	44,964,205
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(25,357,113)	(41,549,568)	(25,357,113)	(41,549,568)
Transferred to appropriations	(1,561,931)	-	(1,561,931)	-
Debtor	-	204,012	-	204,012
	<b>6,545,476</b>	<b>10,263,855</b>	<b>6,545,476</b>	<b>10,263,855</b>
<b>Ambulance Subsidy</b>				
Current-year receipts	52,870,000	96,850,000	52,870,000	96,850,000
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(52,870,000)	(96,850,000)	(52,870,000)	(96,850,000)
	-	-	-	-
<b>Provincial Health Subsidies</b>				
Current-year receipts	29,335,459	94,603,579	29,335,459	94,603,579
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(113,431,459)	(94,603,579)	(113,431,459)	(94,603,579)
Debtor	84,096,000	-	84,096,000	-
	-	-	-	-

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>27. GOVERNMENT GRANTS AND SUBSIDIES (continued)</b>				
<b>SETA</b>				
Current-year receipts	11,294,384	13,762,363	11,294,384	13,762,363
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(11,294,384)	(13,762,363)	(11,294,384)	(13,762,363)
	-	-	-	-
<b>28. GENERAL EXPENSES</b>				
Advertising	7,681,658	9,301,989	7,135,509	8,780,704
Assessment rates & municipal charges	197,458	-	-	-
Auditors remuneration	16,149,125	15,005,045	14,186,136	12,972,809
Bank charges	9,604,424	8,033,349	9,026,193	7,506,620
Consulting and professional fees	144,555,925	114,799,407	140,828,145	110,777,791
Consumables	18,949,262	18,577,688	18,154,660	17,717,316
Stock adjustments and write-offs	10,245,977	3,757,910	8,803,832	3,757,910
Entertainment	1,797,306	2,275,499	1,115,249	1,745,583
Animal Costs	2,181,264	1,670,428	2,181,264	1,670,428
Gifts	224,333	322,386	224,333	322,386
Insurance	55,217,494	64,083,033	53,487,422	61,627,938
IT expenses	27,406,751	14,776,152	26,303,825	13,823,528
Rentals	101,061,731	46,329,825	99,494,956	45,017,494
Fleet	116,996,182	106,092,674	110,248,618	98,648,531
Marketing	8,158,287	11,712,276	6,864,614	10,211,084
Magazines, books and periodicals	595,954	389,054	594,724	363,136
Motor vehicle expenses - busses	6,774,912	5,691,874	-	-
Fuel and oil	3,400,850	3,221,297	3,400,850	3,221,297
Productions	279,928	1,965,868	279,928	1,965,868
Postage and courier	16,896,028	16,100,771	16,883,663	16,089,837
Printing and stationery	27,377,747	31,167,826	26,897,326	30,622,078
Research and development costs	225,479	236,223	-	-
Security (Guarding of municipal property)	6,723,436	7,007,559	-	-
Software expenses	3,911,590	491,590	3,781,839	421,169
Staff welfare	4,020,995	4,370,377	3,932,070	4,277,424
Subscriptions and membership fees	9,919,310	9,338,126	9,811,773	9,206,975
Telephone and fax	52,467,427	63,314,939	50,198,731	61,064,629
Training	28,226,545	16,628,079	26,574,555	15,490,140
Travel - local	6,684,940	6,001,820	5,783,179	5,408,439
Travel - overseas	1,342,472	3,583,145	1,342,472	3,583,145
Refuse	29,861,122	33,268,008	29,861,122	33,268,008
Title deed search fees	686,767	647,186	686,767	647,186
Electricity	45,395	41,817	-	-
Sewerage and waste disposal	14,485	19,924	-	-
Water	10,171	10,895	-	-
Uniforms	18,964,407	29,540,163	18,867,791	29,402,021
Laboratory expenses	2,087,110	1,866,351	-	-
Venue expenses	4,955,493	4,258,193	4,955,493	4,258,193
Other expenses	318,285,568	217,433,432	334,966,737	229,003,887
	<b>1,064,185,308</b>	<b>873,332,178</b>	<b>1,036,873,776</b>	<b>842,873,554</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>29. EMPLOYEE RELATED COSTS</b>				
Basic	3,006,538,289	2,773,273,741	2,919,622,483	2,693,452,194
Medical aid - entity contributions	342,815,830	322,679,606	342,535,724	322,418,496
UIF	21,005,708	20,022,260	20,979,264	19,996,919
WCA	24,281,876	20,262,745	23,513,034	19,073,769
SDL	31,651,124	29,163,024	31,468,038	28,445,736
Other payroll levies	788,114	742,139	788,114	718,323
Leave pay provision charge	98,246,369	62,058,670	95,130,761	58,989,363
Standby Allowances	18,107,835	16,589,856	18,107,835	16,589,856
Post-employment benefits - Pension - Defined contribution plan	548,707,269	491,375,188	547,258,257	490,515,218
Overtime payments	338,191,380	329,297,483	332,031,382	323,022,782
Long-service awards	60,857,386	20,657,844	60,813,260	20,556,800
Ad Hoc Travelling Allowances	1,009,551	1,164,876	1,009,551	1,164,876
Other contributions	26,784,758	23,756,318	-	-
Other related costs	581,337	490,665	-	-
Less: Employee costs capitalised to PPE	(36,244,211)	(29,677,652)	(36,244,211)	(29,677,652)
	<b>4,498,271,587</b>	<b>4,094,684,031</b>	<b>4,364,281,072</b>	<b>3,971,687,008</b>



# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 29. EMPLOYEE RELATED COSTS (continued)

#### Senior Management Remuneration

##### 30 June 2011

	Basic Salary	Total Allowances	Performance Bonus	Total
City Manager	2,025,540	33,600	223,960	2,283,100
Deputy City Manager: Strategic	1,548,690	224,438	192,960	1,966,088
Chief Financial Officer	1,650,000	16,800	182,440	1,849,240
Chief Audit Executive	1,198,448	13,440	149,320	1,361,208
General Manager: Electricity and Energy	1,329,821	118,800	181,740	1,630,361
General Manager: Organisational Performance	1,039,390	77,410	-	1,116,800
Executive Director: Health	1,143,524	106,272	154,680	1,404,476
Executive Director: Corporate and Legal	1,192,491	16,800	74,660	1,283,951
Executive Director: Human Resources	1,040,224	104,736	62,240	1,207,200
Executive Director: Sport, Recreation, Arts and Culture	1,128,160	-	14,690	1,142,850
Executive Director: City Development	1,128,160	16,800	44,060	1,189,020
Executive Director: Community Safety	1,026,815	118,145	102,800	1,247,760
Executive Director: Housing	1,128,160	16,800	44,060	1,189,020
Executive Director: Economic Development	1,128,160	16,800	-	1,144,960
Executive Director: ICT	1,128,160	-	-	1,128,160
Chief Director: Roads, Transport and Civil Works	945,958	195,642	42,660	1,184,260
Chief Director: Water Services	965,701	175,899	65,850	1,207,450
	<b>20,747,402</b>	<b>1,252,382</b>	<b>1,536,120</b>	<b>23,535,904</b>

##### 30 June 2010

	Basic Salary	Total Allowances	Performance Bonus	Total
City Manager	1,800,000	33,600	-	1,833,600
Deputy City Manager: Strategic	1,407,900	32,400	65,000	1,505,300
Deputy City Manager: Corporate	1,429,560	25,200	66,000	1,520,760
Chief Financial Officer	1,500,000	16,800	-	1,516,800
Chief Audit Executive	1,089,498	13,440	50,300	1,153,238
General Manager: Electricity and Energy	1,198,128	118,800	60,800	1,377,728
General Manager: Organisational Performance	939,390	77,410	-	1,016,800
General Manager: 2010 & Other Projects	976,075	96,095	49,500	1,121,670
Executive Director: Health	1,031,433	106,272	51,750	1,189,455
Executive Director: Corporate and Legal	1,084,083	16,800	36,600	1,137,483
Executive Director: Human Resources	921,420	104,736	28,700	1,054,856
Executive Director: Sports, Recreation, Arts and Culture	953,040	-	28,790	981,830
Executive Director: City Development	953,040	16,800	30,480	1,000,320
Executive Director: Community Safety	851,695	118,145	44,000	1,013,840
Executive Director: Housing	1,007,190	16,800	19,380	1,043,370
Executive Director: Economic Development	953,040	16,800	32,180	1,002,020
Executive Director: ICT	1,000,000	-	-	1,000,000
Chief Director: Roads, Transport and Civil Works	792,498	195,642	15,000	1,003,140
Chief Director: Water Services	757,541	175,899	-	933,440
	<b>20,645,531</b>	<b>1,181,639</b>	<b>578,480</b>	<b>22,405,650</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>30. REMUNERATION OF COUNCILLORS</b>				
Executive Mayor	964,260	952,586	964,260	952,586
Mayoral Committee Members	7,955,112	7,764,372	7,955,112	7,764,372
Speaker	747,408	751,764	747,408	751,764
Councillors	51,709,725	47,874,493	51,709,725	47,874,493
Councillors' pension contribution	5,531,980	5,170,249	5,531,980	5,170,249
	<b>66,908,485</b>	<b>62,513,464</b>	<b>66,908,485</b>	<b>62,513,464</b>

### In-kind benefits

The Executive Mayor, Chief Whip, Speaker and Mayoral Committee Members are full-time. Each is provided with an office and secretarial support at the cost of the Council.

The Executive Mayor has use of a Council owned vehicle for official duties. The Mayor has full-time bodyguards. From time to time this service is also used by other councillors.

### 31. DEBT IMPAIRMENT

Contributions to debt impairment provision	1,445,288,730	1,425,172,320	1,445,304,490	1,417,262,543
--	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

### 32. INTEREST REVENUE

#### Dividend revenue

Unit trusts - Local	65,577	27,321	-	-
---------------------	--------	--------	---	---

#### Interest revenue

Bank	75,203,574	53,192,342	73,941,609	51,575,046
External investments	41,508,614	41,236,545	37,518,506	38,119,753
Interest received - other	8,092,493	648,277	8,092,493	648,277
	<b>124,804,681</b>	<b>95,077,164</b>	<b>119,552,608</b>	<b>90,343,076</b>
	<b>124,870,258</b>	<b>95,104,485</b>	<b>119,552,608</b>	<b>90,343,076</b>

### 33. DEPRECIATION AND AMORTISATION

Property, plant and equipment (PPE)	2,139,091,916	2,109,572,429	2,108,579,444	2,080,532,732
Investment property	1,566,117	972,386	593,732	-
Intangible assets	5,551,727	1,750,699	5,289,650	1,376,627
	<b>2,146,209,760</b>	<b>2,112,295,514</b>	<b>2,114,462,826</b>	<b>2,081,909,359</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>34. IMPAIRMENTS OF ASSETS</b>				
<b>Impairments</b>				
Property, plant and equipment (PPE)	23,430,662	357,859	-	330,955
<b>Reversal of impairments</b>				
Property, plant and equipment (PPE)	(428,819)	-	(101,698)	-
<b>Total impairment losses (recognised) reversed</b>	<b>23,001,843</b>	<b>357,859</b>	<b>(101,698)</b>	<b>330,955</b>

### Impairment

#### 2011

In the 2009/10 financial year it was confirmed that Van Dyk Park Pump station in Silver street, Van Dyk Park had been taken out of commission & components removed because sewage flew directly into the ERWAT outfall sewer. This financial year it was confirmed that the pump station is still functional.

The pump station was recognised in the 2010/11 asset register at the carrying value of the pump station had there been no impairment last year.

The reversal of impairment occurred on 30 June 2011 therefore no depreciation is charged for the financial year.

**ERWAT - Controlled entity:** Grootvlei Biosure plant (Cost 2011: R 22,996,855; 2010 R 22,996,855) is not currently operational as the Grootvlei mine was liquidated. The contract between ERWAT and the new owners of the Aurora mine could not be renewed due to the financial difficulties the new owners are experiencing. The Grootvlei Biosure plant is impaired in this financial year as the plant is no longer providing economic benefits.

#### 2010

During 2010 impairments occurred in the Roads and Storm water and the Community facility sectors. The date the impairments were recorded was 30 June 2010.

The impairment amounts were calculated as follows:

The recoverable amount is the highest of:

1. Fair value less cost to sell: Depreciated Replacement Cost less cost to sell
2. Value in use: Depreciated Replacement Cost

Once the current replacement cost (CRC) has been determined, the depreciated replacement cost (DRC) will be calculated. DRC is a powerful technique to determine the fair value of assets.

To determine the DRC, one requires knowledge of the following:

- The estimated useful life (EUL) of the asset or component under review;
- The estimated current replacement cost (CRC) – CRC is an estimate of replacing the asset with a modern equivalent of similar capacity.

DRC is calculated as follows:

$$DRC = RUL/EUL \times CRC$$

Where RUL = Remaining Useful life.

#### Roads and stormwater

##### • Road Bridge

Flood damage as a result of high intensity rainfall caused the water, carried by the stream, to exceed the capacity of the bridge. The flood water washed away parts of the embankment, the bridge abutments and the floor and part of the substructure of the bridge. It is also evident that the river course has deviated from the original, possibly as a result of the flooding and silting, with the result that the water approaches the bridge diagonally which will increase the risk of damage.

Carrying value before the impairment: R508,448

Recoverable amount is calculated as the highest of:

- Fair value less cost to sell: Depreciable Replacement Cost less cost to sell: R177,494
- Value in use: Depreciable Replacement Cost: R177,494

The road bridge will not be sold; therefore there will not be any selling cost to deduct from the fair value.

The recoverable amount is R177,494.

Impairment of the road bridge: R330,954

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>35. FINANCE COSTS</b>				
Non-current borrowings	364,414,768	267,198,309	361,665,572	264,140,253
Interest on convertible instruments	12,441,414	14,881,061	-	-
Trade and other payables	114,930	-	114,930	-
Other interest paid	23,065,789	44,919,355	20,832,936	43,317,359
	<b>400,036,901</b>	<b>326,998,725</b>	<b>382,613,438</b>	<b>307,457,612</b>

## 36. TAXATION

### Major components of the tax expense

#### Current

Local income tax	24,614	979,884	-	-
------------------	--------	---------	---	---

#### Deferred

Originating and reversing temporary differences	181,952	(35,674)	-	-
	<b>206,566</b>	<b>944,210</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>

Two of the economic entity's entities has assessable tax losses. The cumulative assessable tax loss of these entities is R7,478,836 (2010: R11,421,329).

## 37. AUDITORS' REMUNERATION

Fees	16,149,125	15,005,045	14,186,136	12,972,809
------	------------	------------	------------	------------

## 38. RENTAL OF FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT

### Facilities and equipment

Rental of facilities	68,845,462	67,059,803	49,030,072	48,676,993
Rental of equipment	33,836	42,066	33,836	42,066
	<b>68,879,298</b>	<b>67,101,869</b>	<b>49,063,908</b>	<b>48,719,059</b>

Included in the above rentals are operating lease rentals at straight-lined amounts of R12,136,096 (2010 - R14,172,056).

## 39. CONTRACTED SERVICES

Information Technology Services	6,873,455	7,791,679	6,873,455	7,791,679
Security contracts	139,549,070	121,356,224	139,549,070	121,356,224
Meter management contracts	120,841,556	78,702,023	120,841,556	78,702,023
Environment contracts	245,442,870	257,572,255	245,442,870	257,572,255
Other Contractors	95,437,037	91,967,289	102,126,843	98,097,588
	<b>608,143,988</b>	<b>557,389,470</b>	<b>614,833,794</b>	<b>563,519,769</b>

## 40. GRANTS AND SUBSIDIES PAID

### Other subsidies

Urban renewal Germiston	94,845	-	94,845	-
Discretionary grant: Sport and Social support	3,940,090	4,141,449	3,940,090	4,141,449
Subsidy: SPCA	2,430,750	2,315,000	2,430,750	2,315,000
Discretionary grant: General	20,296,421	-	20,296,421	-
Free basic electricity	87,210,149	42,811,835	87,210,149	42,811,835
Discretionary grant: Educational	6,777,172	5,633,159	6,777,172	5,633,159
Grants: Education (External)	3,042,607	2,191,626	3,042,607	2,191,626
	<b>123,792,034</b>	<b>57,093,069</b>	<b>123,792,034</b>	<b>57,093,069</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>41. BULK PURCHASES</b>				
Electricity	4,783,858,428	3,775,465,432	4,746,661,733	3,755,448,110
Water	1,357,958,433	1,128,744,933	1,357,614,155	1,128,744,933
Sewer purification	40,828,285	46,892,364	330,940,954	265,870,140
	<b>6,182,645,146</b>	<b>4,951,102,729</b>	<b>6,435,216,842</b>	<b>5,150,063,183</b>

## 42. CASH GENERATED FROM OPERATIONS

Deficit	(578,646,070)	(1,513,778,914)	(636,012,693)	(1,530,082,346)
<b>Adjustments for:</b>				
Depreciation and amortisation	2,146,209,760	2,112,295,513	2,114,462,827	2,081,909,359
Gain on sale of fixed assets	(4,871,992)	(34,708,229)	(4,871,992)	(34,665,026)
Loss on sale of fixed assets	25,254,929	1,126,471	24,773,397	926,212
Impairment loss (reversal)	23,001,843	357,859	(101,698)	330,955
Debt impairment	1,445,288,730	1,425,172,320	1,445,304,490	1,417,262,543
Movements in operating lease assets and accruals	-	(81,539)	-	-
Movements in retirement benefit assets and liabilities	96,930,072	106,432,249	96,930,072	106,428,252
Movements in provisions	32,398,870	57,841,056	24,978,797	52,103,505
Movement in tax receivable and payable	21,338	(53,478)	-	-
Annual charge for deferred tax	174,777	(35,674)	-	-
Other non-cash items	(12,070,665)	-	(12,076,185)	-
<b>Changes in working capital:</b>				
Inventories	(24,568,194)	29,762,544	(24,494,920)	29,762,544
Other receivables from exchange transactions	(144,838,775)	166,712,477	(137,417,251)	126,603,491
Consumer debtors	(1,713,452,345)	(1,478,010,873)	(1,740,819,361)	(1,733,503,234)
Movement in long term receivables through bad debt provision	-	-	-	11,979,393
Trade and other payables from exchange transactions	86,400,957	278,453,143	124,636,396	292,933,270
Other receivables	33,194,386	(136,243,557)	33,194,386	(133,001,437)
Unspent conditional grants and receipts	(66,604,580)	41,252,294	(66,604,580)	41,252,294
Movement in Consumer deposits	-	-	-	-
Change in available for sale assets	(30,426)	(26,804)	-	-
	<b>1,343,792,615</b>	<b>1,056,466,858</b>	<b>1,241,881,685</b>	<b>730,239,775</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 43. COMMITMENTS

#### Authorised capital expenditure

##### Already contracted and provided for

• Community	84,080,000	172,373,675	84,080,000	172,373,675
• Infrastructure	453,380,070	556,868,008	447,479,812	552,404,381
• Other assets	164,861,850	222,925,582	164,861,850	222,900,186
	<b>702,321,920</b>	<b>952,167,265</b>	<b>696,421,662</b>	<b>947,678,242</b>

##### Not yet contracted for and authorised

• All	2,009,523,352	1,212,412,865	1,678,363,823	1,212,412,865
-------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

This committed expenditure relates to Property, plant and equipment and will be financed by available bank facilities, retained surpluses, external funding (bond issue, loans, grants, contributions etc) , existing cash resources etc.

#### Operating leases - as lessee (expense)

##### Minimum lease payments due

- within one year	10,925,163	12,464,740	10,714,322	12,255,596
- in second to fifth year inclusive	4,664,453	10,849,439	4,507,494	10,484,680
	<b>15,589,616</b>	<b>23,314,179</b>	<b>15,221,816</b>	<b>22,740,276</b>

Operating lease payments represent rentals payable by the economic entity for certain of its office buildings and photocopier machines. Leases are negotiated for periods ranging from two years to five years, for office building, and the expired photocopier machine leases are incurred on a month to month basis. The rentals escalate on average at 8.45% for office buildings and 0.00% for photocopier machines.

The actual lease contract amounts range between R8,000 and R300,000 (2010 - R8,000 and R300,000) per month on the office buildings and between R62 and R4,218 (2010 - R62 and R4,250) per month on the photocopier machines.

#### Operating leases - as lessor (income)

##### Minimum lease payments due

- within one year	9,276,103	12,317,569	9,276,103	12,317,569
- in second to fifth year inclusive	25,749,617	28,142,005	25,749,617	28,142,005
- later than five years	121,344,032	120,749,928	121,344,032	120,749,928
	<b>156,369,752</b>	<b>161,209,502</b>	<b>156,369,752</b>	<b>161,209,502</b>

Certain of the economic entity's property generates lease rental income. The majority of these leases are on a month to month basis. Lease periods range from month-to-month up to 99 years. Monthly lease payments range from R 0 (social benefit) up to R 178 403 (2010 - R 368,294).

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
Figures in Rand	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 44. CONTINGENCIES

#### Controlling entity

Category A: Claims exceeding R 500 000.

Category B: Claims less than R 500 000.

Category C: Other legal matters.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 44. CONTINGENCIES (continued)

#### CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

##### Category A Claims:

	CCC/Region	2011	2010
Engen Petroleum Ltd/Atlas road - Claim as a result of rerouting of a provincial road	Kempton Park	14,061,088.00	14,061,088.00
WLT Advertising CC - Claim for damages as a result of conduct	Metro	31,706,247.00	31,706,247.00
Dehal Inc - Advocates claim for work done	Metro	-	2,600,000.00
Van Deventer- Claim for damages arising from cancelled land transaction	Kempton Park	30,000,000.00	30,000,000.00
South African Rail Commuter Corporation Ltd - Claim for damages due to derailment of passenger train	Kempton Park	2,200,362.00	2,200,362.00
Snyman & Robbertse - Claim for legal costs and damages following not guilty verdict of disciplinary hearing	Metro	-	5,000,000.00
Neiljud - Claim for arrear rates	Metro	20,000,000.00	20,000,000.00
Summer Symphony 264 CC - Claim for compensation resulting from expropriation of a portion of the Strydom Land for Housing purposes	Metro	49,652,700.00	49,652,700.00
Hentic (Pty) Ltd and Mercedes Cura - Appeal against finding	Springs	-	2,500,000.00
Technology Corporate Management - Council Attorneys were served with notice of a summary judgement and furnished Council with instructions to invest trust moneys in terms of section 78 (2A) of the Attorneys Act	Metro	5,279,949.00	5,279,949.00
Group 15 - Possible claim submitted by employees of EMM**	Metro	2,000,000,000.00	2,000,000,000.00
Hometalk - Possible claim for losses in respect of developments	Metro	65,000,000.00	65,000,000.00
IMATU obo McCulloch and other - Arbitration award	Metro	1,327,995.00	-
J Masondo - Claim for damages	Metro	1,500,000.00	-
R McBride -	Metro	2,400,000.00	6,823,010.00
	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>2,223,128,341.00</b>	<b>2,234,823,356.00</b>

#### \*\*Group 15

The grading dispute in the EMM stemmed from employees who were of the opinion that the EMM should be graded at higher grade upon amalgamation. They opined that the remuneration structure should be linked to the determination of the Minister on the upper limits regarding remuneration of public office bearers. This resulted in a dispute being declared by the employees. The dispute was arbitrated & the employees were awarded a back pay implementation of a higher grade retrospective to 1 July 2003. The award was still subjected to a Labour Court review as at 30 June 2011, but subsequent to the reporting date the labour court ruled in favour of the municipality and the award was set aside. The employees indicated that they apply for leave to appeal against the labour court ruling. The amount disclosed is a management estimate and the calculation, as well as factor's are subject to estimation uncertainty. The nature of the contingent liability as well as amount disclosed could thus differ substantially from the actual outcome.

	CCC/Region	2011	2010
<b>Category B Claims:</b> Other Claims	Metro	1,899,555.00	1,746,217.00
<b>Category C Claims:</b> Other Matters	Metro	618,898.00	240,000.00
	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>2,518,453.00</b>	<b>1,986,217.00</b>

#### CONTINGENT ASSETS



# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 44. CONTINGENCIES (continued)

Category A Claims:	CCC/Region	2011	2010
Mofokeng & Maqubela - loss incurred by Council as a result of bad conduct	Metro	4,000,000.00	4,000,000.00
Other contingent Assets	Metro	860,000.00	3,444,776.00
	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>4,860,000.00</b>	<b>7,444,776.00</b>
	<b>CCC/Region</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Category B Claims:</b> Other Claims	Metro	0.00	888,413.00
<b>Category C Claims:</b> Other Matters	Metro	0.00	95,459.00
	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>983,872.00</b>

#### Controlled entities

##### BBC

The entity is a defendant in a legal action amounting to R50,000 for accidents that involves busses and third parties as well as a labour case of R250,000.

##### EDC

EDC entered into contracts of performance with Xihangu & Aquacor and these companies indicated that they reserve their rights after the contracts were cancelled.

SA 1 Consulting disputed the cancellation of its contract and indicated its intent on taking legal action for the amount of R 857 280 being the amount that would have been payable for the remainder of the cancelled contract.

R Docrat's contracts were also cancelled before the end date. An invoice to the amount of R5 716 was submitted and which is still in dispute.

Due to the fact that the company's last 3 financial years' AGM's were held late there is a possibility that the Registrar of Companies may fine the company R3000. The probability of this and that the payment will be made is remote.

##### ERWAT

ERWAT is currently in dispute with Black Child Productions CC and Trinity Entertainment CC. ERWAT was to act as DWA's (Department of Water Affairs) implementing agent as per the memorandum of understanding for the war on leaks programme in the Ekurhuleni area. The service provider was to be recommended by ERWAT, in stead the above close corporation was contracted without any knowledge of ERWAT and they are claiming R10 000 000 for work performed from ERWAT. According to ERWAT the R10 000 000 should be paid by the Department of Water Affairs.

At 30 June 2011 the company had contingent liabilities in respect of bank and other guarantees and other matters arising in the ordinary course of business from which it is anticipated that no material liabilities will arise. In the ordinary course of business, the company has given guarantees amounting to 2011: R 2,999,270 (2010: R 2,999,270) to third parties.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 45. RELATED PARTIES

Relationships  
 Controlled entities Refer to note 7  
 Close family member of key management Declarations are retained in a register at tender office.

Tenders awarded to family members of staff.

#### 2011

- 1.C-ED 03/2011: Appointment as a contractor to operate the Rietfontein Waste Site 1Dec- 30 June 2011 for the amount of R3,431,900.
- 2.C-CL 02/2011: The appointment of attorneys to provide legal services-as and when until 30 June 2012 for the amount of R 577,300.
- 3.C-ED 70/2010: The cutting and cleaning of metro parks grass maintenance areas.Kwa Thema & Springs South for the amount of R3,000,000.
4. C-CS 07/2011: The maintenance os grass areas and gardens of community safety stands, on an as and when basis,from date of award until 30 June 2013 for the amount of R301 600.
5. C-IS 137/2010: The hire of plant and equipment for all customer care centres on an as and when basis, with effectyof award until 30 June 2013 for the amount of R850,000.
6. C-CL 02/2011: The appointment os attorneys to provide legal service- as and when until 30 June 2012

#### 2010

1. IS (CW) 55/2009: Construction of ablution blocks and upgrading of stores at Kempton Park Roads Depot: Awarded 15 March 2010 to Motshethale/Seletje Joint Venture (SJ Lekgwathi has 40% ownership) For the amount of R7,975,780.00 (PM Lekgwathi employed at Boksburg Finance Dept is the wife of SJ Lekgwathi)
2. A-ED (WMS) 12/2009: The appointment of a development contractor to appoint community based contractors to render comprehensive refuse removal services in specified areas from 1 July 2010 until 30 June 2014. Awarded May 2010 but with effect of 1 July 2010 until 30 June 2015 Awarded 17 May 2010 to Tedcor (Pty) Ltd and Mazambane Trading (Pty) Ltd Joint Venture to form Hlanzekile Waste Services (Pty) Ltd (SJ Lekgwathi has 50% ownership.)  
 \*\* Portion of bid for South of N17, Van Dyk Park, Buhle Park Phase 2 and Boksburg – estimated amount of R110,500,000 (R110,5 Million) for the period of the contract  
 \*\* Portion of bid for Tembisa and adjacent area – estimated amount of R182,000,000. R182 Million) for the period of the contract. (PM Lekgwathi employed at Boksburg Finance Dept is the wife of SJ Lekgwathi)
3. Zolile Zozo Trading and Projects: Thandi Sylvia Sekgomane's son, Zolile is operating as a supplier to the municipality. The total amount of transactions for the 2009/20101 year totals to R 906,384.

### Related party balances

#### Amounts included in Trade Receivable and Consumer Debtors regarding related parties - municipal entities

ERWAT	2,545,903	8,462,335
Pharoe Park Housing Company	-	1,814,626
Brakpan Bus Company	659,552	16,177,371
Lethabong Housing Institute	106,837	1,000,202
Pharoe Park Phase II	21,068	2,738,344
Ekurhuleni Development Company	1,336	7,476

#### Amounts included in Trade Payable regarding related parties - municipal entities

ERWAT	26,581,512	18,533,273
Pharoe Park Housing Company	7,070	-
Brakpan Bus Company	3,780	9,735

### Related party transactions

#### Sales to related parties - municipal entities

ERWAT	34,688,802	32,684,458
Pharoe Park Housing Company	2,142,215	2,397,991

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>45. RELATED PARTIES (continued)</b>				
Pharoe Park Phase II			3,119,005	2,691,587
Ekurhuleni Development Company			18,191	30,123
Brakpan Bus Company			110,000	200
Lethabong Housing Institute			124,023	839,195
<b>Purchases from (sales to) related parties - municipal entities</b>				
ERWAT			354,030,147	289,391,002
<b>Grants to related parties - municipal entities</b>				
Pharoe Park			4,187,301	-
Ekurhuleni Development Company			7,476	-
Pharoe Park Phase II			6,865,669	-
ERWAT			17,153,779	15,977,926
Lethabong Housing Institute			1,011,509	-

An amount of R7,219,613 being an amount disclosed as a grant to ERWAT (included above), has been accounted for by ERWAT in the previous financial year.

## 46. CHANGE IN DISCOUNT RATE

### Discount rate

Management revised the discount rate used for calculating provisions in the current period. The discount rate was adjusted from 12.00% to 11.50% (2010 - from 14.00% to 12.00%) due to the weighted average cost of capital remaining the same.

## 47. PRIOR PERIOD ERRORS

### 2011 Controlling entity

Retirement benefit obligation: The actuaries based the valuation of the obligation on a 70% subsidy in the prior periods, but was subsequently corrected to the correct percentage of 60%.

Reclassification of manual correction journals to the appropriate financial period.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 47. PRIOR PERIOD ERRORS (continued)

#### 2010 Controlling entity

##### **Property, Plant & Equipment**

Write-off of immovable assets recorded in the BAUD asset register system to be replaced by immovable properties which are componentised in the IMQS asset register system.

Write-off of immovable assets which could not be verified in the past but that were never corrected in the register - Validation of asset register.

Asset register validations.

Property held for sale has been reallocated from Property, plant and equipment to Inventory.

Library books were accounted for in the current year within Property, plant and equipment - these books were previously expensed immediately.

##### **Other receivables from Exchange Transactions (VAT) and Service Charges (Sale of electricity)**

Pre-paid electricity over declared on agent sales.

##### **Other receivables from Exchange Transactions (Agent debtors) and Service Charges (Sale of electricity)**

Additional pre-paid electricity and relating debtor on agent sales when accrual accounting is applied.

##### **Provisions and Employee Related Cost**

Provision for Germiston retirement Fund contractual obligation in respect of shortfall in growth of investments.

##### **Intangible Assets and Operating expenditure**

Intangible Assets incorrectly charged to operating expenditure in the past.

##### **Leases as Lessor**

The lease register was validated during the current year and a subsequent adjustments were made.

##### **Retirement benefit obligation**

The economic entity obtained an actuarial valuation for the determination of the obligation and adjusted it retrospectively.

##### **Long service awards**

The economic entity obtained an actuarial valuation for the determination of the obligation and adjusted it retrospectively.

##### **Notes**

Utilization of Long-term Liabilities Reconciliation were disclosed with incorrect amounts. The note has been amended to reflect the correct amounts.

The correction of the errors results in adjustments as follows:

##### **Statement of financial position**

Property, plant and equipment (PPE)	-	8,158,552,680	-	8,159,560,116
Consumer Debtors	4,822,390	-	4,822,390	-
Intangible assets	-	12,213,926	-	12,213,926
Provisions	-	(353,236,075)	-	(351,080,185)
Trade and other payables from exchange transactions	-	2,156,101	-	-
Other receivables from exchange transactions	-	(22,053,351)	-	(22,558,582)
Inventories	-	25,430,100	-	25,430,100
Investment property	-	32,926,886	-	32,926,886
Retirement benefit obligation	(163,223,531)	(1,218,237,600)	(163,223,531)	(1,218,237,600)
Other investments	-	720,526	-	-
Fair value adjustment assets-available-for-sale reserve	-	181,044	-	-
Minority interest	-	(26,659)	-	-
Current tax payable	-	178,097	-	-
Opening accumulated surplus	40,258,406	(6,953,869,206)	40,258,406	(6,953,029,778)

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 47. PRIOR PERIOD ERRORS (continued)

#### Statement of Financial Performance

Service charges	(4,822,390)	(5,499,569)	(4,822,390)	(5,499,569)
Debt impairment	-	(548,802)	-	-
Rental of facilities and equipment	-	4,417,003	-	4,417,003
Finance costs	-	14,862,177	-	14,862,177
General expenses	-	(10,690,971)	-	(10,690,971)
Depreciation	-	228,227,438	-	227,217,468
Deficit on disposal of assets	-	(6,331,624)	-	(6,331,623)
Employee related costs	122,965,125	92,360,163	122,965,125	92,360,163
Impairment of assets	-	(1,562,064)	-	(1,559,532)
Taxation	-	(178,097)	-	-
Minority interest	-	7,877	-	-

### 48. COMPARATIVE FIGURES

Certain comparative figures have been renamed and certain descriptions have changed:

#### Statement of Financial Position

Some amounts were re-classified.

#### Statement of Financial Performance

Some amounts were re-classified.

#### Notes

Certain notes have been amended.

### 49. RISK MANAGEMENT

#### Capital risk management

The economic entity's objectives when managing capital are to safeguard the economic entity's ability to continue as a going concern in order to maintain an optimal capital structure to reduce the cost of capital.

The capital structure of the economic entity consists of debt, which includes the borrowings disclosed in note 18 and cash and cash equivalents disclosed in note 16.

Consistent with others in the industry, the economic entity monitors capital on the basis of the gearing ratio.

There are no externally imposed capital requirements.

There have been no changes to what the economic entity manages as capital, the strategy for capital maintenance or externally imposed capital requirements from the previous year.

The gearing ratio at 2011 and 2010 respectively were as follows:

#### Total borrowings

Other financial liabilities	18	(194,107,775)	(434,176,874)	(175,354,221)	(419,494,477)
Less: Cash and cash equivalents	16	1,431,945,964	704,129,375	1,338,863,442	664,625,409
Net debt		(1,626,053,739)	(1,138,306,249)	(1,514,217,663)	(1,084,119,886)
Total equity		41,867,086,554	42,504,133,427	41,200,051,306	41,895,220,463
<b>Total capital</b>		<b>40,241,032,815</b>	<b>41,365,827,178</b>	<b>39,685,833,643</b>	<b>40,811,100,577</b>

#### Financial risk management

The economic entity's activities expose it to a variety of financial risks: market risk (including currency risk, fair value interest rate risk and price risk), credit risk and liquidity risk.

The economic entity's overall risk management program focuses on the unpredictability of financial markets and seeks to minimise potential adverse effects on the economic entity's financial performance.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 49. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

Risk management is carried out by the risk management department under policies approved by the accounting officer. Economic entity treasury identifies, evaluates and hedges financial risks in close co-operation with the economic entity's operating units. The accounting officer provides written principles for overall risk management, as well as written policies covering specific areas, such as foreign exchange risk, interest rate risk, credit risk, use of derivative financial instruments and non-derivative financial instruments, and investment of excess liquidity.

#### Liquidity risk

The economic entity's risk to liquidity is a result of the funds available to cover future commitments. The economic entity manages liquidity risk through an ongoing review of future commitments and credit facilities.

Cash flow forecasts are prepared and adequate utilised borrowing facilities are monitored.

The table below analyses the economic entity's financial liabilities into relevant maturity groupings based on the remaining period at the statement of financial position to the contractual maturity date.

#### Economic entity

At June 30, 2011	Less than 1 year	Between 1 and 2 years	Between 2 and 5 years	Over 5 years
Long term and other liabilities	198,731,183	65,967,878	667,295,806	3,149,907,409
Trade and other payables	2,463,693,483	-	-	-
At June 30, 2010	Less than 1 year	Between 1 and 2 years	Between 2 and 5 years	Over 5 years
Long term and other liabilities	284,199,615	35,616,282	651,191,552	1,934,320,207
Trade and other payables	2,354,522,380	-	-	-

#### Controlling entity

At June 30, 2011	Less than 1 year	Between 1 and 2 years	Between 2 and 5 years	Over 5 years
Long term and other liabilities	175,354,221	48,297,548	552,209,927	3,115,206,480
Trade and other payables	2,463,874,079	-	-	-
At June 30, 2010	Less than 1 year	Between 1 and 2 years	Between 2 and 5 years	Over 5 years
Long-term and other liabilities	269,517,218	35,616,282	651,191,552	1,756,480,806
Trade and other payables	2,339,237,685	-	-	-

#### Interest rate risk

The economic entity's interest rate risk arises from long-term borrowings. Borrowings issued at variable rates expose the economic entity to cash flow interest rate risk. Borrowings issued at fixed rates expose the economic entity to fair value interest rate risk. Economic Entity policy is to maintain approximately 100% of its borrowings in fixed rate instruments.

The economic entity analyses its interest rate exposure on a dynamic basis. Various scenarios are simulated taking into consideration refinancing, renewal of existing positions, alternative financing and hedging. Based on these scenarios, the economic entity calculates the impact on surplus and deficit of a defined interest rate shift. For each simulation, the same interest rate shift is used for all currencies.

At year end, financial instruments exposed to interest rate risk is as follows:

#### Cash flow interest rate risk

Financial instrument	Current interest rate	Due in less than a year	Due in one to two years	Due in two to five years	Due in three to four years	Due after five years
Trade and other receivables - normal credit terms	13.00 %	1,780,586,993	-	-	-	-
Long-term receivables	13.00 %	2,332,670	-	-	-	-

#### Fair value interest rate risk

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 49. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

Financial instrument	Current interest rate	Due in less than a year	Due in one to two years	Due in two to five years	Due after five years	
Long-term and other liabilities	12.00 %	198,731,183	65,967,878	667,295,806	3,149,907,918	-

#### Credit risk

Credit risk consists mainly of cash deposits, cash equivalents, investments and trade debtors. The municipality only deposits cash with major banks and makes investments in entities with high quality credit standing and limits exposure to any one counter-party.

Trade receivables comprise a widespread customer base. Management evaluates credit risk relating to customers on an ongoing basis.

If customers are independently rated, these ratings are used. Otherwise, if there is no independent rating, risk control assesses the credit quality of the customer, taking into account its financial position, past experience and other factors. Individual risk limits are based on internal or external ratings in accordance with limits set by the council. The utilisation of credit limits is regular monitored. Sales to retail customers are settled in cash or using major credit cards.

Financial assets exposed to credit risk at year end were as follows:

Financial instrument	Economic entity - 2011	Economic entity - 2010	Controlling entity - 2011	Controlling entity - 2010
Other investments	251,006,172	330,301,117	246,895,311	326,976,342
Long-term receivables	2,332,670	27,834,344	2,332,670	27,834,344
Consumer debtors	1,780,586,993	1,485,072,121	1,780,586,993	1,485,072,121
Other receivables	376,597,244	269,976,998	374,879,991	270,657,126
Cash and cash equivalents	1,431,945,964	704,129,375	1,338,863,442	664,625,409
Unlisted shares	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000

#### Price risk

The economic entity is exposed to equity price risk because of investments held by the economic entity and classified on the statement of financial position as available-for-sale. The economic entity is not exposed to commodity price risk.

The economic entity has a R4,000,000 investment in unlisted shares, which is the exposure to price risk. The price risk on this investment cannot be determined due to the fact that the shares are not listed and therefore unknown.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 50. GOING CONCERN

#### 2011

##### Controlling entity

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Investments and Liquidity Ratio's

The Metro's cash and cash equivalents held at financial year end were as follows over the last three years:

Table 31: Cash and cash equivalents as at 30 June 2011

	June 2009	June 2010	June 2011
Cash and Cash Equivalents	1,064,929,448	664,626,412	1,338,863,442
Liquidity Ratio	0.95	0.73	1.00
Acid Ratio	0.90	0.69	0.96
Number of days total cash held (total cash and investments)	49.49	20.23	40.50
Number of days total cash held (operating cash)	31.90	14.19	12.16
Number of days total cash held (Un-encumbered cash)	(8.26)	(20.76)	(14.67)
Cost coverage	1.44	0.80	0.40

Cash and cash equivalents have increased significantly as a result of the following:

- Increased borrowings to fund long term infrastructure
- Increased collections
- On-going cost reduction strategies – all heads of departments have cost savings targets included in the performance agreements

#### 2010

##### Controlling entity

The Metro's cash and cash equivalents held at financial year end were as follows over the last three years:

	June 2008	June 2009	June 2010
Cash and Cash Equivalents	2,318,386,503	1,064,929,449	664,625,412
Liquidity Ratio	1.72	0.96	0.73
Acid Test Ratio	1.66	0.90	0.69
Number of Days Cash on Hand (Actual Cash)	114.15	49.49	20.23
Cash Adjusted for capital funding only received in July 2010			1,479,627,133
Adjusted Liquidity Ratio			0.96
Adjusted Acid Test Ratio			0.92
Adjusted Number of Days Cash on Hand (Actual Cash)			45.00

The fact that a portion of the long term funding for the capital projects for the 2009/10 financial year was only taken up in July 2010, had a significant impact on the closing cash. Had the transaction been finalised by 30 June 2010, the closing cash balance would have been R1,479,625,412 - a 39% increase from June 2009. The liquidity position has increased as a result of three key factors:

1. A strategic decision was taken to fund long term infrastructure from long term funding and not cash reserves as in the past.
2. Debtors collection levels increased to just below 91% - this is the highest collection level that the Metro has achieved since inception of the Metro in 2000.
3. A Revenue Management and Enhancement Project has been embarked upon to increase the Metro's revenue base.

The above indicators as well as the power to levy taxes suggest that the Going Concern Assumption is appropriate.



# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 50. GOING CONCERN (continued)

#### Controlled entities

##### BBC

##### 2011

The entity has an interim contract with the department of transport for the provision of transport services for which it receives a subsidy on a monthly basis. This contract has been renewed to March 2012. This places uncertainty on the company's earnings and cash flows after this period. The management is endeavouring to ensure that a long term contract is put in place.

##### 2010

The company failed to secure a long term contract with the Department of Transport due to the age of the busses that did not meet the requirements of the contract. The company acquired new busses in the current year as well as increased the quantity of new busses leased from EMM, which means that the requirements will be met in all likelihood. This will ensure that the company will secure said contract with the Department of Transport in the near future.

##### EDC

##### 2011

The entity's revenue is based on its management services provided to the housing companies. The ability of the company to continue as a going concern is dependent on the viability of Pharoe Park Housing Company (Pty) Ltd and Phase II Housing Company (Pty) Ltd. As the collection of rental income of the companies are improving, the transfer of rental stock from EMM and the turnaround strategy for the companies should be able to continue as a going concern. In addition the controlling entity budgetted to supply grants of R6.5 Million, R7.0 Million, R7.6 Million over 3 years respectively to Pharoe Park and Phase II in total, beginning in the current year. This will ensure that the associated entities remains going concerns and should result in EDC also remaining a going concern as result of the management fees' recoverability.

##### 2010

The entity's revenue is based on its management services provided to the housing companies. The ability of the company to continue as a going concern is dependent on the viability of Pharoe Park Housing Company (Pty) Ltd and Phase II Housing Company (Pty) Ltd. As the collection of rental income of the companies are improving, the transfer of rental stock from EMM and the turnaround strategy for the companies should be able to continue as a going concern. In addition the controlling entity budgetted to supply grants of R6.5 Million, R7.0 Million, R7.6 Million over 3 years respectively. This will ensure that the entity remains a going concern.

##### ERWAT

##### 2011

We draw attention to the fact that at 30 June, 2011, the entity had accumulated surpluses of R 633,275,299 and that the entity's total assets exceed its liabilities by R 635,936,093.

The annual financial statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting policies applicable to a going concern. This basis presumes that funds will be available to finance future operations and that the realisation of assets and settlement of liabilities, contingent obligations and commitments will occur in the ordinary course of business.

The ability of the entity to continue as a going concern is dependent on the continued support of Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality (parent municipality) by way of service charges for treatment of waste water and the provision of related engineering services paid each year in terms of the service delivery agreement entered into between ERWAT and Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality.

##### 2010

We draw attention to the fact that at 30 June, 2010, the entity had accumulated surpluses of R 583,361,925 and that the entity's total assets exceed its liabilities by R 585,267,058.

The ability of the entity to continue as a going concern is dependent on the continued support of EKURHULENI METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY (Parent Municipality) by way of service charges for treatment of waste water and the provision of related engineering services paid each year in terms of the service delivery agreement entered into between ERWAT and EKURHULENI METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY.

##### Phase II

##### 2011

The entity is reliant on rental income and the collection thereof. The collection of rental income for the current year has shown a decline due to disputes with tenants. Mediation is in process and it is hoped that collection rates will increase in the ensuing year. Collection costs have declined.

The entity has applied a turnaround strategy for the ensuing three years. The controlling entity has authorised certain grants amounting to R4.13 Million (2011), R3.5 Million (2012) and R3.8 Million (2013).

The above will ensure that the entity remains a going concern for the following twelve months.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 50. GOING CONCERN (continued)

#### 2010

The entity is reliant on rental income and the collection thereof. The collection of rental income for the year has improved and collection costs have declined.

The entity has applied a turnaround strategy for the ensuing three years. The controlling entity has authorised certain grants for the ensuing three years.

The above will ensure that the entity remains a going concern for the following twelve months.

#### LHI

#### 2011

The entity is experiencing cash flow challenges on a monthly basis. Several factors contribute to the viability and ultimately the going concern of the entity. The entity is dependent on the support from the controlling entity. The turnaround strategy, which includes the development of properties in Bedfordview, should have a positive effect on the entity to remain a going concern. Grants budgeted for by the controlling entity will also create a positive position going forward.

#### 2010

The entity is experiencing cash flow challenges on a monthly basis. Several factors contribute to the viability and ultimately the going concern of the entity. The entity is dependent on the support from the controlling entity. The turnaround strategy, which includes the development of properties in Bedfordview, should have a positive effect on the entity to remain a going concern. Grants budgeted for by the controlling entity will also create a positive position going forward.

#### Pharoe Park

#### 2011

The entity is reliant on rental income and the collection thereof. The collection of rental income for the current year has shown a decline due to disputes with tenants. Mediation is in process and it is hoped that collection rates will increase in the ensuing year. Collection costs have declined.

The entity has applied a turnaround strategy for the ensuing three years. The controlling entity has authorised certain grants amounting to R2.37 Million (2011), R3.5 Million (2012) and R3.8 Million (2013).

The above will ensure that the entity remains a going concern for the following twelve months.

#### 2010

The entity is reliant on rental income and the collection thereof. The collection of rental income for the current year has improved and collection costs have declined.

The entity has applied a turnaround strategy for the ensuing three years. The controlling entity has authorised certain grants for the ensuing three years.

The above will ensure that the entity remains a going concern for the following twelve months.

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 51. EVENTS AFTER THE REPORTING DATE

#### 2011

##### Controlling entity

##### **Separation of Powers**

The mandate for Local Government (section 152 of the Constitution) is to:

- Promote democratic and accountable government for local communities;
- Ensure the provision of sustainable services to communities;
- Promote a safe and healthy environment;
- Encourage community participation in local government.

Inadequacies in accountability and poor governance at Local Government level were highlighted amongst other challenges in the State of Local Government Overview Report (COGTA 2009).

Separation of powers between the legislative and executive arms of government is congruent with internationally accepted principles of good governance. It creates independent oversight of the effective achievement of the municipal mandate. It further establishes an appropriate level of accountability, representation and participation through:

- Clear delineation of powers and functions among the spheres of government;
- Executive accountability for service delivery and development;
- Independent and representative oversight by the Legislative arm.

The Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality accordingly in April 2011 (Item A-RC {15-2011}) approved in principle the separation of legislative and executive powers and the implementation thereof. The final approval for the implementation was granted by Council on the 18th August 2011.

#### 2010

##### Controlling entity

##### **1. Issuing of Municipal Bond for the funding of the 2009/10 Capital Expenditure**

The Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality has raised R815m in a 10-year, fixed-rate bond issuance for the funding of the 2009/10 capital infrastructure. R1.516 billion total bids were received (1.9x oversubscribed). The bond is priced at 185 basis points over the relevant government benchmark bond (R208), with a final rate of 10.56%. Ekurhuleni is the third metropolitan municipality in South Africa to issue in the local debt capital market following the City of Johannesburg and the City of Cape Town. The transaction was concluded on the 28th July 2010.

##### **2. Write-off of Municipal Services Accounts of Municipal Entities**

The Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality will consider the writing off of municipal arrears of the Municipal Entities at a Council Meeting in September 2010. The following amounts are involved:

ERWAT – R8 224 466,01 (Including VAT)

Ekurhuleni Development Company – R5 571 955,39

##### **3. Resignation of Senior Staff**

The Deputy City Manager for Operations, Mr. Johan Leibbrandt has resigned during July 2010 and left the employ of the municipality at the end of August 2010.

The General Manager: 2010, Mr. Joe Mojapelo has resigned during July 2010 and left the employ of the municipality at the end of September 2010.

##### **4. Provision of Guarantees for Loans of Municipal Entities**

Council resolved to provide the following guarantees to loans of municipal entities:

##### **Guarantee already approved:**

Brakpan Bus Company: Nedbank loan of R15 million over a 5 year period at a floating interest rate of prime less 150 basis points namc, the effective rate at balance sheet date was 8,50%.

##### **Guarantee approved in principle:**

ERWAT: Nedbank loan of R550 million over a 20 year period at a floating interest rate of JIBAR plus 3.10rr%, the effective rate at balance sheet date was 9,75%.

##### **5. Approval of a Turnaround Strategy for the Ekurhuleni Development Company**

Council approved the implementation of a Turnaround Strategy for the Ekurhuleni Development Company during July 2010. With the implementation of this strategy, a subsidy of R6.5 million per year will be paid over to the Ekurhuleni Development Company for the next

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 51. EVENTS AFTER THE REPORTING DATE (continued)

three financial years.

#### 6. Approval of Service Level Agreement between EMM and the Brakpan Bus Company

Council approved a Service Level Agreement between the EMM and the Brakpan Bus Company during July 2010.

#### 7. Extension of Free Basic Electricity funding agreement with Eskom

Council approved the extension of the existing funding agreement for the rendering of Free Basic Electricity by Eskom. The first funding agreement provided for the roll out of Ekurhuleni funded free units of electricity to all households in the areas supplied by Eskom, as well as the payment of monthly accounts for the free basic electricity rendered by Eskom. Council has now extended the agreement to ensure the continuation of the roll out of free basic electricity to Eskom supply areas within the Ekurhuleni boundaries until 30 June 2013. The expense to Council is estimated at R5 million per month at a number of 100 000 customers and at a rate of 100 kilowatt-hours per month targeted to the Eskom Homelight tariff customers. This value is calculated at 2009/2010 costs.

#### 8. Resignation of Executive Mayor

The Executive Mayor has resigned with effect from November 2010. A new mayor has been appointed with effect from November 2010.

#### Controlled entities

##### BBC

The company has continued its negotiations with the Department of Transport for the continuation of the subsidy previously received. These negotiations were incomplete at the date of the signing of these financial statements.

##### ERWAT

Management decided to impair the Grootvlei Biosure plant, after reporting date, as it is providing no economic benefit. The impairment is R22,996,855, reducing the surplus for the year to R49,742,316.

### 52. UNAUTHORISED, FRUITLESS, WASTEFUL AND IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE

#### 2011

##### Controlling entity

Department	Description	Amount	Classification
SCM	Non compliance with supply chain management policy	R 13,500,136.00	Irregular
Employee	B A Mbatha, meter reader related to Amafa Audio Visual Enterprise cc (AMA124)	R 79,044.00	Irregular
	G Tshabalala, caretaker related to Entsikeni Grading cc (ENT005)	R 129,562.00	Irregular
	D Britz, inspector related to Rotary Turbo Technology cc (ROT013)	R 291,030.70	Irregular
Councillor	J S Mashinini related to Dadewethu Business Enterprise cc (DAD002)	R 15,440.00	Irregular

#### 2010

##### Controlling entity

Department	Description	Amount	Classification
Environmental Development	Investigation into allegations of higher rates charged by suppliers whom have been engaged to provide specialized waste removal vehicles to EMM outside of contract.	R 1,017,750.00	Fruitless & Wasteful
SCM	Non compliance with supply chain management policy	R 37,338,901.00	Irregular
	Travel claim by David Duma	R 1,992.00	Fruitless & wasteful

#### Controlled Entities

Unauthorised, Fruitless, wasteful and irregular expenditure of the controlled entities amounted to R3,291,315 (2010 - R1,961,963).

### 53. IN-KIND DONATIONS AND ASSISTANCE

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 53. IN-KIND DONATIONS AND ASSISTANCE (continued)

The economic entity received the following in-kind donations and assistance:

Two chartered accountants (up to 31 March 2011) and seven registered engineers (up to 31 May 2011) have been seconded to the economic entity as part of the partnership between DLG and SAICA.

### 54. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE IN TERMS OF MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT ACT

#### Contributions to organised local government

Current year subscription / fee	9,811,773	8,650,000	9,811,773	8,650,000
Amount paid - current year	(9,811,773)	(8,650,000)	(9,811,773)	(8,650,000)
	-	-	-	-

#### Material losses through criminal conduct and fraudulent activities

Current year	3,108,162	7,086,613	3,108,162	7,086,613
--------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------

#### 2011

- Irregular audit journals passed at the Kempton Park CCC - R1,307,585
- Improper conduct by an official at the corporate CCA office - R236,852
- Fraudulent sale of pre-paid electricity using the IMS system at the Tembisa CCA - R1,495,725
- Allegations against improper behaviour of the area manager in the City Development department - R68,000

#### 2010

- Audit involving possible pre-paid electricity income fraud committed by the cashiers at the Finance department - R 3,404,156.
- Money rolling scheme by six (6) cashiers at Boksburg licencing station - R 3,682,457.

#### Audit fees

Opening balance	430,734	-	386,185	-
Current year fees	16,149,125	15,049,594	14,186,136	12,972,809
Amount paid - current year	(16,579,859)	(14,618,860)	(14,572,321)	(12,586,624)
	-	<b>430,734</b>	-	<b>386,185</b>

#### PAYE and UIF

Current year payroll deductions	584,522,907	510,536,529	566,989,131	495,522,895
Amount paid - current year	(584,241,051)	(510,536,529)	(566,989,131)	(495,522,895)
	<b>281,856</b>	-	-	-

#### Pension and Medical Aid Deductions

Opening balance	15,909	-	-	-
Current year payroll deductions	819,708,652	982,095,827	792,775,976	955,032,473
Amount paid - current year	(819,710,366)	(982,079,918)	(792,775,976)	(955,032,473)
	<b>14,195</b>	<b>15,909</b>	-	-

#### Councillors' arrear consumer accounts

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 54. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE IN TERMS OF MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT ACT (continued)

The following Councillors had arrear accounts outstanding for more than 90 days at 30 June 2011:

	Outstanding Less than 90 Days	Outstanding more than 90 days			Credit	Total (Ex VAT)
		Normal Account	Arrangement	Hand-Over		
BABA NJ	470.90	1,115.05	-	-	-	1,585.95
BALE NE	2,911.19	-	5,536.66	-	-	8,447.85
BODIBE RS	669.13	-	-	37,448.90	-	38,118.03
CHAUKE HM	1,108.32	1,579.00	-	12,326.61	-	15,013.93
DHLADHLA MK	1,369.05	21.87	572.14	3,911.23	-	5,874.29
DUBA QB	140.23	595.00	-	-	-	735.23
GERSBACH GAK	-	1,189.00	-	-	-	1,189.00
GUMEDE T	3,376.79	1,455.29	-	4,641.47	-	9,473.55
KHUMALO TL	275.00	1,182.56	-	11,028.28	-	12,485.84
KLAAS GS	-	-	7,011.99	5,312.94	-10,150.67	2,174.26
KOMANE CL	1,869.31	35,646.56	-	-	-	37,515.87
KWILI MS	2,466.93	1,537.56	-	-	-	4,004.49
LESHABANE SM	670.84	-	6,466.04	62,203.83	-	69,340.71
LETSIMO ST	438.40	1,901.18	-	77,331.14	-	79,670.72
LETSOHA KT	947.66	1,383.75	-	32,488.79	-	34,820.20
MABASO SJ	302.21	1,282.02	-	23,330.96	-	24,915.19
MABENA VM	-	-	900.17	-	-505.40	394.77
MABUZA TH	1,982.56	2,600.67	-	36,064.67	-	40,647.90
MALOPE S	187.25	-	-	6,440.83	-	6,628.08
MARAQANA SR	1,138.64	14,046.55	-	12,056.37	-	27,241.56
MASHININI SJ	1,770.03	7,885.00	-	-	-	9,655.03
MATABANE TJ	235.80	1676.60	-	-	-	1912.40
MOKHETHOA SS	217.71	362.62	7,219.79	-	-	7,800.12
MORAKE P	-	-	2,539.25	3,075.86	-26.55	5,588.56
MOTAUNG A	684.80	928.45	-	17,154.47	-	18,767.72
MXABANGELI VS	386.06	-	-	25,236.62	-	25,622.68
NGOBESE AK	3,821.23	12,414.64	-	21,929.12	-	38,164.99
NGOBESE SS	527.14	905.66	-	7,797.82	-	9,230.62
NKOSI MI	885.54	721.73	-	31,672.55	-	33,279.82
NOMVETE JP	2,030.60	56.48	54,814.06	-	-	57,701.14
NTOMBELA SI	1,305.28	15,249.69	-	-	-	16,554.97
NXUMALO IS	106.11	-	-	13,417.17	-	13,523.28
NXUMALO A	285.63	1,374.77	-	-	-	1,660.40
PAPU EM	1,709.02	6,384.02	-	20,127.20	-	28,220.24
PHETLA TJ	1,317.02	5,032.98	-	22,082.76	-	28,432.76
RADEBE NM	1,269.91	9,630.12	-	-	-	10,900.03
RADEBE TG	563.12	1,462.44	-	9,788.74	-	11,814.30
RAMPAI TC	674.18	627.30	-	-	-	1,301.48
SENTSHO LD	9,799.67	9,672.97	-	-	-	19,472.64
SHABALALA RR	858.27	731.62	-	10,060.33	-	11,650.22
SHABALALA HV	1.90	57.66	-	-	-	59.56
SHABANGU SP	3,248.70	909.27	-	-	-	4,157.97
SITHOLE MM	167.80	312.32	-	3,719.44	-	4,199.56
SWANEPOEL CD	3,767.67	758.13	-	-	-13.49	4,512.31
TERBLANCHE HJ	953.22	1,726.31	-	2,846.50	-	5,526.03
ZIDE VG	676.98	356.42	-	23,853.42	-	24,886.82
ZUMA LZ	1,251.89	305.86	-	6,645.96	-	8,203.71
ZWANE TS	1,831.76	4,891.75	-	-	-	6,723.51
<b>Total Councillor Arrears</b>	<b>60,671.45</b>	<b>150,770.87</b>	<b>85,060.10</b>	<b>543,993.98</b>	<b>-10,696.11</b>	<b>829,800.29</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 54. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE IN TERMS OF MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT ACT (continued)

#### Councillor Arrears 30 June 2011

Declared municipal services accounts in respect Councillors have been monitored on monthly basis to ensure compliance with provisions of Systems act which states that councillor account may not be in arrears for periods in excess of 90 day's.

Status of councillor accounts as at end May 2011, prior to municipal elections can be summarized as follows :

• Number of accounts linked	192	
• Accounts in arrears (+90 Days)	3	R 19 465.00
• Accounts with arrangement	1	R 1 060.02
• Arrear Debt (30 – 90 Days)	54	R 30 405.06

Municipal elections on 18 May 2011 however resulted in approximately 129 new councillors being elected. Personal details in respect of all elected councillors were received during August 2011.

Verification process against billing data basis was performed based on submitted id numbers and re-elected councillor information.

The results can be summarized as follows :

• Linked accounts	
• Number of councillors	154
• Number of accounts linked	248
• Accounts in arrears (+90 Days – June 2011)	R 693 781
• Not linked (No positive link to billing account)	50

#### PROCESS.

- Letter to all councillors to confirm linked accounts - September 2011
- Letter to all councillors in arrears to settle or arrange debt - September 2011
- Letter to all councillors NOT linked to submit account details - September 2011

- Monthly deductions against salary accounts based on outstanding debt and arrangement instalment as from September 2011. The following Councillors' had arrear accounts outstanding for more than 90 days.

June 30, 2010	Outstanding less than 90 days	Outstanding more than 90 days	Total
Khumalo JV	1,801	3,892	5,693
Mabena VM	1,668	112	1,780
Mabena VM	-	2,288	2,288
Mashinini SJ	1,440	471	1,911
Mashinini SJ	-	48,015	48,015
Mofokeng GJ	352	769	1,121
Radebe ML	407	231	638
Ndita M	-	17,502	17,502
Mafanga ZL	758	9,273	10,031
Sibeko G	-	109	109
Radebe GT	688	9,601	10,289
Dube NA	3,794	1,913	5,707
	<b>10,908</b>	<b>94,176</b>	<b>105,084</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>55. UTILISATION OF LONG-TERM LIABILITIES RECONCILIATION</b>				
Outstanding long-term liabilities	2,887,913,569	2,281,861,192	2,695,562,832	2,076,914,571
Redemption of loans	(436,011,112)	(193,947,623)	(419,494,477)	(181,351,739)
New loans	1,630,000,000	800,000,000	1,615,000,000	800,000,000
	4,081,902,457	2,887,913,569	3,891,068,355	2,695,562,832
Used to finance property, plant and equipment:	(2,887,913,569)	(2,281,861,192)	(2,695,562,832)	(2,076,914,571)
Opening Balance				
Redemption of loans	436,011,112	193,947,623	419,494,477	181,351,739
Capital financed from external loans for the year	(1,043,571,750)	(1,298,767,872)	(1,043,571,750)	(1,283,767,872)
Capital expenditure for 2009/2010 financed from loans taken up this year	(498,767,872)	-	(483,767,872)	-
Long term liabilities taken up in following financial year in respect of capital expenditure of current year	-	830,000,000	-	815,000,000
<b>Unspend long term liabilities</b>	<b>87,660,378</b>	<b>331,232,128</b>	<b>87,660,378</b>	<b>331,232,128</b>

Cash set aside for the repayment of long-term liabilities for the year is R176,754,221 (2010 - R297,061,904).

Long-term liabilities have been utilized in accordance with the Municipal Finance Management Act. Sufficient cash has been set aside to ensure that long-term liabilities can be repaid on redemption date.

## 56. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS

Paragraph 12(1)(d)(i) of Government gazette No. 27636 issued on 30 May 2005 states that a supply chain management policy must provide for the procurement of goods and services by way of a competitive bidding process.

Paragraph 36 of the same gazette states that the accounting officer may dispense with the official procurement process in certain circumstances, provided that he records the reasons for any deviations and reports them to the next meeting of the Council and includes a note to the group annual financial statements.

### The expenses incurred, as listed hereunder, have been approved/condoned

Emergencies	14,444,367	2,185,119	13,092,617	2,185,119
Sole supplier	45,648,550	16,248,779	45,169,059	16,248,779
Appointment of consultants	29,210,530	18,228,827	29,210,530	18,228,827
Upgrade electricity infrastructure	1,419,892	4,331,861	1,419,892	4,331,861
Upgrade water infrastructure	1,469,100	5,051,795	1,469,100	5,051,795
Events	2,382,208	11,869,741	2,382,208	11,869,741
Other	148,031,379	185,281,118	147,832,842	185,010,849
Extension of contract	75,942,258	67,126,413	75,942,258	67,126,413
Deviations less than R200,000	3,266,684	4,926,318	3,266,684	4,926,318
	<b>321,814,968</b>	<b>315,249,971</b>	<b>319,785,190</b>	<b>314,979,702</b>



# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	Economic entity		Controlling entity	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### 57. UNACCOUNTED ELECTRICITY AND WATER

#### Electricity

	2010/2011		2009/2010	
	Units	Value	Units	Value
Units purchased	10,838,522,687.00	4,708,908,535.47	10,872,634,812.00	3,725,462,920.18
Units sold	<u>10,506,921,076.04</u>	<u>4,543,779,184.95</u>	<u>10,577,771,862.87</u>	<u>3,624,429,361.85</u>
Units lost	331,601,610.96	165,129,350.52	294,862,949.13	101,033,558.33
Units lost %	3.06%	3.51%	2.71%	2.71%

#### Water

	2010/2011		2009/2010	
	Units	Value	Units	Value
Units on hand 1 July	2,720,000.00	9,621,456.00	2,720,000.00	8,834,016.00
Units purchased	<u>332,557,257.00</u>	<u>1,353,017,318.87</u>	<u>319,747,745.00</u>	<u>1,128,744,933.37</u>
	335,277,257.00	1,362,638,774.87	322,467,745.00	1,137,578,949.37
Units on hand 30 June	<u>1,246,000.00</u>	<u>5,069,381.42</u>	<u>2,720,000.00</u>	<u>9,621,456.00</u>
Units for sale	334,031,257.00	1,357,569,393.45	319,747,745.00	1,127,957,493.37
Units sold	<u>235,171,946.78</u>	<u>955,425,771.99</u>	<u>214,012,502.00</u>	<u>754,960,773.62</u>
Units lost	98,859,310.22	402,143,621.46	105,735,243.00	372,996,719.75
Units lost %	29.60%	29.62%	33.07%	33.07%

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand

### 58. STATEMENT OF COMPARATIVE AND ACTUAL INFORMATION

#### Economic entity - 2011

	Original budget	Budget adjustments (i.t.o. s28 and s31 of the MFMA)	Final budget	Actual outcome	Variance	Actual outcome as % of final budget	Actual outcome as % of original budget
<b>Financial Performance</b>							
Property rates	2,627,703,474	2,627,703,474	2,627,703,474	2,478,108,136	149,595,338	94 %	94 %
Service charges	11,305,575,879	10,963,998,877	10,963,998,877	10,525,374,206	438,624,671	96 %	93 %
Investment revenue	58,557,414	56,999,868	56,999,868	124,870,258	(67,870,390)	219 %	213 %
Transfers recognised - operational	2,809,343,707	2,878,560,614	2,878,560,614	3,396,189,719	(517,629,105)	118 %	121 %
Other own revenue	943,590,357	892,067,527	892,067,527	751,262,146	140,805,381	84 %	80 %
<b>Total revenue (excluding capital transfers and contributions)</b>	<b>17,744,770,831</b>	<b>17,419,330,360</b>	<b>17,419,330,360</b>	<b>17,275,804,465</b>	<b>143,525,895</b>	<b>99 %</b>	<b>97 %</b>
Employee costs	(4,766,731,295)	(4,456,291,838)	(4,456,291,838)	(4,498,271,587)	41,979,749	101 %	94 %
Remuneration of councillors	(69,740,424)	(69,740,424)	(69,740,424)	(66,908,485)	(2,831,939)	96 %	96 %
Debt impairment	(1,374,839,152)	(1,659,085,805)	(1,659,085,805)	(1,445,288,730)	(213,797,075)	87 %	105 %
Depreciation and asset impairment	(1,986,363,120)	(1,956,637,386)	(1,956,637,386)	(2,169,211,603)	212,574,217	111 %	109 %
Finance charges	(503,964,627)	(442,009,230)	(442,009,230)	(400,036,901)	(41,972,329)	91 %	79 %
Materials and bulk purchases	(6,727,257,572)	(6,607,894,254)	(6,607,894,254)	(6,182,645,146)	(425,249,108)	94 %	92 %
Transfers and grants	(139,785,450)	(138,552,620)	(138,552,620)	(123,792,034)	(14,760,586)	89 %	89 %
Other expenditure	(3,410,201,126)	(3,351,453,798)	(3,351,453,798)	(2,979,383,867)	(372,069,931)	89 %	87 %
<b>Total expenditure</b>	<b>(18,978,882,766)</b>	<b>(18,681,665,355)</b>	<b>(18,681,665,355)</b>	<b>(17,865,538,353)</b>	<b>(816,127,002)</b>	<b>96 %</b>	<b>94 %</b>
<b>Surplus/(Deficit)</b>	<b>(1,234,111,935)</b>	<b>(1,262,334,995)</b>	<b>(1,262,334,995)</b>	<b>(589,733,888)</b>	<b>(672,601,107)</b>	<b>47 %</b>	<b>48 %</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand

### 58. STATEMENT OF COMPARATIVE AND ACTUAL INFORMATION (continued)

	Original budget	Budget adjustments (i.t.o. s28 and s31 of the MFMA)	Final budget	Actual outcome	Variance	Actual outcome as % of final budget	Actual outcome as % of original budget
Transfers recognised - capital	686,703,643	792,321,200	792,321,200	11,294,384	781,026,816	1 %	2 %
<b>Surplus (Deficit) after capital transfers and contributions</b>	<b>(547,408,292)</b>	<b>(470,013,795)</b>	<b>(470,013,795)</b>	<b>(578,439,504)</b>	<b>108,425,709</b>	<b>123 %</b>	<b>106 %</b>
Taxation	(27,153)	(212,304)	(212,304)	(206,566)	(5,738)	97 %	761 %
Attributable to minorities	-	-	-	(1,973,842)	1,973,842	DIV/0 %	DIV/0 %
<b>Surplus/(Deficit) for the year</b>	<b>(547,435,445)</b>	<b>(470,226,099)</b>	<b>(470,226,099)</b>	<b>(580,619,912)</b>	<b>110,393,813</b>	<b>123 %</b>	<b>106 %</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand

### 58. STATEMENT OF COMPARATIVE AND ACTUAL INFORMATION (continued)

	Original budget	Budget adjustments (i.t.o. s28 and s31 of the MFMA)	Final budget	Actual outcome	Variance	Actual outcome as % of final budget	Actual outcome as % of original budget
<b>Capital expenditure and funds sources</b>							
Total capital expenditure	2,419,859,546	2,355,085,857	2,355,085,857	1,974,005,867	381,079,990	84 %	82 %
<b>Sources of capital funds</b>							
Transfers recognised - capital	682,398,000	761,672,554	761,672,554	583,650,690	178,021,864	77 %	86 %
Public contributions and donations	19,506,000	17,844,676	17,844,676	22,677,262	(4,832,586)	127 %	116 %
Borrowing	1,359,560,239	1,127,481,144	1,127,481,144	1,043,571,750	83,909,394	93 %	77 %
Internally generated funds	358,395,307	448,087,483	448,087,483	324,106,165	123,981,318	72 %	90 %
<b>Total sources of capital funds</b>	<b>2,419,859,546</b>	<b>2,355,085,857</b>	<b>2,355,085,857</b>	<b>1,974,005,867</b>	<b>381,079,990</b>	<b>84 %</b>	<b>82 %</b>
<b>Cash flows</b>							
Net cash from (used) operating	1,477,084,668	1,611,840,952	1,611,840,952	1,343,792,615	268,048,337	83 %	91 %
Net cash from (used) investing	(2,205,926,439)	(2,192,672,450)	(2,192,672,450)	(1,863,481,364)	(329,191,086)	85 %	84 %
Net cash from (used) financing	943,039,044	1,192,564,301	1,192,564,301	1,247,505,338	(54,941,037)	105 %	132 %
<b>Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>214,197,273</b>	<b>611,732,803</b>	<b>611,732,803</b>	<b>727,816,589</b>	<b>(116,083,786)</b>	<b>119 %</b>	<b>340 %</b>
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year	1,080,497,139	704,145,543	704,145,543	704,129,375	16,168	100 %	65 %
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at year end</b>	<b>1,294,694,412</b>	<b>1,315,878,346</b>	<b>1,315,878,346</b>	<b>1,431,945,964</b>	<b>(116,067,618)</b>	<b>109 %</b>	<b>111 %</b>

### Controlling entity - 2011

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand

### 58. STATEMENT OF COMPARATIVE AND ACTUAL INFORMATION (continued)

	Original budget	Budget adjustments (i.t.o. s28 and s31 of the MFMA)	Final budget	Actual outcome	Variance	Actual outcome as % of final budget	Actual outcome as % of original budget
<b>Financial Performance</b>							
Property rates	2,627,703,474	2,627,703,474	2,627,703,474	2,478,862,488	148,840,986	94 %	94 %
Service charges	10,963,980,877	10,963,998,877	10,963,998,877	10,556,594,851	407,404,026	96 %	96 %
Investment revenue	56,373,001	56,373,001	56,373,001	119,552,608	(63,179,607)	212 %	212 %
Transfers recognised - operational	2,805,410,707	2,869,458,614	2,869,458,614	3,386,393,924	(516,935,310)	118 %	121 %
Other own revenue	830,032,272	836,658,760	836,658,760	688,132,405	148,526,355	82 %	83 %
<b>Total revenue (excluding capital transfers and contributions)</b>	<b>17,283,500,331</b>	<b>17,354,192,726</b>	<b>17,354,192,726</b>	<b>17,229,536,276</b>	<b>124,656,450</b>	<b>99 %</b>	<b>100 %</b>
Employee costs	(4,624,898,032)	(4,441,821,592)	(4,441,821,592)	(4,364,281,072)	(77,540,520)	98 %	94 %
Remuneration of councillors	(69,740,424)	(69,740,424)	(69,740,424)	(66,908,485)	(2,831,939)	96 %	96 %
Debt impairment	(1,372,736,652)	(1,658,089,305)	(1,658,089,305)	(1,445,304,490)	(212,784,815)	87 %	105 %
Depreciation and asset impairment	(1,951,840,280)	(1,951,840,280)	(1,951,840,280)	(2,114,361,129)	162,520,849	108 %	108 %
Finance charges	(469,833,466)	(438,408,966)	(438,408,966)	(382,613,438)	(55,795,528)	87 %	81 %
Materials and bulk purchases	(6,608,759,554)	(6,607,894,254)	(6,607,894,254)	(6,435,216,842)	(172,677,412)	97 %	97 %
Transfers and grants	(139,785,450)	(138,552,620)	(138,552,620)	(123,792,034)	(14,760,586)	89 %	89 %
Other expenditure	(3,285,191,383)	(3,313,522,511)	(3,313,522,511)	(2,944,365,863)	(369,156,648)	89 %	90 %
<b>Total expenditure</b>	<b>(18,522,785,241)</b>	<b>(18,619,869,952)</b>	<b>(18,619,869,952)</b>	<b>(17,876,843,353)</b>	<b>(743,026,599)</b>	<b>96 %</b>	<b>97 %</b>
<b>Surplus/(Deficit)</b>	<b>(1,239,284,910)</b>	<b>(1,265,677,226)</b>	<b>(1,265,677,226)</b>	<b>(647,307,077)</b>	<b>(618,370,149)</b>	<b>51 %</b>	<b>52 %</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand

### 58. STATEMENT OF COMPARATIVE AND ACTUAL INFORMATION (continued)

	Original budget	Budget adjustments (i.t.o. s28 and s31 of the MFMA)	Final budget	Actual outcome	Variance	Actual outcome as % of final budget	Actual outcome as % of original budget
Transfers recognised - capital	686,703,643	792,321,200	792,321,200	11,294,384	781,026,816	1 %	2 %
<b>Surplus (Deficit) after capital transfers and contributions</b>	<b>(552,581,267)</b>	<b>(473,356,026)</b>	<b>(473,356,026)</b>	<b>(636,012,693)</b>	<b>162,656,667</b>	<b>134 %</b>	<b>115 %</b>
<b>Surplus/(Deficit) for the year</b>	<b>(552,581,267)</b>	<b>(473,356,026)</b>	<b>(473,356,026)</b>	<b>(636,012,693)</b>	<b>162,656,667</b>	<b>134 %</b>	<b>115 %</b>

# Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand

### 58. STATEMENT OF COMPARATIVE AND ACTUAL INFORMATION (continued)

	Original budget	Budget adjustments (i.t.o. s28 and s31 of the MFMA)	Final budget	Actual outcome	Variance	Actual outcome as % of final budget	Actual outcome as % of original budget
<b>Capital expenditure and funds sources</b>							
Total capital expenditure	2,160,091,107	2,237,722,181	2,237,722,181	1,926,052,998	311,669,183	86 %	89 %
<b>Sources of capital funds</b>							
Transfers recognised - capital	682,398,000	761,672,554	761,672,554	573,716,524	187,956,030	75 %	84 %
Public contributions and donations	19,506,000	17,844,676	17,844,676	22,677,262	(4,832,586)	127 %	116 %
Borrowing	1,100,001,800	1,099,330,414	1,099,330,414	1,043,571,750	55,758,664	95 %	95 %
Internally generated funds	358,185,307	358,874,537	358,874,537	286,087,462	72,787,075	80 %	80 %
<b>Total sources of capital funds</b>	<b>2,160,091,107</b>	<b>2,237,722,181</b>	<b>2,237,722,181</b>	<b>1,926,052,998</b>	<b>311,669,183</b>	<b>86 %</b>	<b>89 %</b>
<b>Cash flows</b>							
Net cash from (used) operating	1,433,753,000	1,504,877,000	1,504,877,000	1,241,881,685	262,995,315	83 %	87 %
Net cash from (used) investing	(1,938,297,000)	(2,136,919,000)	(2,136,919,000)	(1,815,916,822)	(321,002,178)	85 %	94 %
Net cash from (used) financing	700,091,000	1,195,506,000	1,195,506,000	1,248,273,170	(52,767,170)	104 %	178 %
<b>Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>195,547,000</b>	<b>563,464,000</b>	<b>563,464,000</b>	<b>674,238,033</b>	<b>(110,774,033)</b>	<b>120 %</b>	<b>345 %</b>
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year	1,031,243,000	664,624,000	664,624,000	664,625,409	(1,409)	100 %	64 %
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at year end</b>	<b>1,226,790,000</b>	<b>1,228,088,000</b>	<b>1,228,088,000</b>	<b>1,338,863,442</b>	<b>(110,775,442)</b>	<b>109 %</b>	<b>109 %</b>





# **Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Group**

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2011